

## Ch.4: Machine Scheduling Problem

Suppose that  $m$  machines  $M_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$  have to process  $n$  jobs  $j (j = 1, \dots, n)$ . A **schedule** is for each job an allocation of one or more time intervals to one or more machines. A schedule is **feasible** if at any time, there is at most one job on each machine; each job is run on at most one machine. A schedule is optimal if it minimizes (or maximizes) a given optimality criterion. A scheduling problem type can be specified using three- field classification  $\alpha / \beta / \gamma$  composed of machine environment, the job characteristics, and the optimality criterion.

### 4.1 Job Data

Let  $n$  denote the number of jobs. The following data is specified for each job  $j (j=1, 2, \dots, n)$ :

- $p_{ij}$  A processing time of its  $i$ th operation,  $i=1, 2, \dots, m_j$ , where  $m_j$  is the number of operations on job  $j$ . If  $m_j=1$ , we shall write  $p_j$  instead of  $p_{ij}$ .
- $r_j$  A release date on which job  $j$  become available for processing.
- $d_j$  A due date, the time by which job  $j$  ideally be completed.
- $\tilde{d}_j$  A deadline, the time by which  $j$  must be completed.
- $w_j$  The weight of job  $j$  representing the importance of job  $j$  relative to another job.
- $f_j$  A non-decreasing real cost function measuring the cost  $f_j(t)$  incurred if job  $j$  completed at time  $t$ .

In general  $p_{ij}, d_j, r_j, \tilde{d}_j$  and  $w_j$  are given positive integer constants.

### 4.2 Machine environment

The first field  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$  represents the machine environment. If  $\alpha_1 \in \{\phi, P, Q, R\}$ , each job  $j$  consists of a single operation which can be processed on any machine  $M_i$ . Let  $p_{ij}$  denote the time to process job  $j$  on  $M_i$ .

$\alpha_1 = \phi$ : **Single machine**, there is only one machine,  $p_{ij} = p_j$  for all  $j$ .

$\alpha_1 = P$ : **Identical parallel machines**; there are multiple machines operate at the same speed,  $p_{ij} = p_j (i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ .

$\alpha_1 = Q$ : **Uniform parallel machines**; there are multiple machines, each machine  $M_i$  has its own speed  $v_i$ ,  $p_{ij} = p_j / v_i$  for all  $M_i$  and jobs  $j$ .

$\alpha_1 = R$ : **Unrelated parallel machines**; there are multiple machines with different job-related speeds, that is the processing times are unrelated. If machine  $M_i$  runs job  $j$  with a job-dependent speed  $v_{ij}$ ,  $p_{ij} = p_j / v_{ij}$  for all  $M_i$  and jobs  $j$ .

In parallel machine environment, a job can be processed in any of the machines.

If  $\alpha_1 \in \{J, F, O\}$ , each job  $j$  consists of a set of operations  $\{O_{1j}, O_{2j}, \dots, O_{m_jj}\}$ .

$\alpha_1 = J$ : **Job-shop**; each job  $j$  consists of a chain of operations  $\{O_{1j}, O_{2j}, \dots, O_{m_jj}\}$ , which must be processed in that order. Each operation  $O_{ij}$  must be processed on a designated machine for  $p_{ij}$  units of time. The order in which operations are processed is fixed by the ordering of the chain, but the order may be different for different jobs.

$\alpha_1 = F$ : **Flow-shop**; is a special case of job-shop, each job  $j$  consists of a chain of operations  $\{O_{1j}, O_{2j}, \dots, O_{mj}\}$ , where  $O_{ij}$  is to be processed on machine  $M_i$  for  $p_{ij}$  units of time. The order of the operations is the same for every job.

$\alpha_1 = O$ : **Open-shop**; each job  $j$  composed of a chain of operations  $\{O_{1j}, O_{2j}, \dots, O_{mj}\}$ , where  $O_{ij}$  is to be processed on  $M_i$  for  $p_{ij}$  units of time. The order in which operations are executed is arbitrary.

$\alpha_2 \in \{\phi\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers.

$\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $m$ , the number of machines, is constant and equal to  $\alpha_2$ .

$\alpha_2 = \phi$ :  $m$  is variable.

### 4.3 Job Characteristics

The second field  $\beta \in \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6\}$  indicates certain job characteristics which are defined as follows:

$\beta_1 \in \{pmtn, \phi\}$

$\beta_1 = pmtn$ : Preemptions are allowed, the processing of any job can be interrupted at no cost and resumed at a later time on any machine or at the same time on a different machine.

$\beta_1 = \phi$ : Preemptions are not allowed, once a job is started on a machine, the job occupies that machine until it is finished.

$\beta_2 \in \{ prec, tree, chain, \phi \}$

$\beta_2 = prec$ : A general precedence relation  $\prec$  exists between the jobs, that is, if  $i \prec j$  (job  $i$  precedes job  $j$ ), then job  $i$  must be completed before job  $j$  can be started.

$\beta_2 = tree$ : A precedence tree describes the precedence relation between jobs, that is each vertex in the associated graph has outdegree or indegree of at most one.

$\beta_2 = chain$ : Precedence constraints between jobs are of chain-type where each vertex in the associated graph has outdegree and indegree of at most one.

$\beta_2 = \phi$ : There is no precedence relation for the jobs; jobs are independent.

$\beta_3 \in \{ r_j, \phi \}$

$\beta_3 = r_j$ : Jobs have release dates.

$\beta_3 = \phi$ :  $r_j=0, (j=1,2,\dots,n)$ ; all jobs are released at the same time.

$\beta_4 \in \{ \tilde{d}_j, \phi \}$

$\beta_4 = \tilde{d}_j$ : Jobs have deadlines.

$\beta_4 = \phi$ : No deadlines are specified.

$\beta_5 \in \{ p_{ij} = 1, pl \leq p_{ij} \leq pu, \phi \}$

$\beta_5 = p_{ij} = 1$ : Each operation has a unit processing time.

$\beta_5 = pl \leq p_{ij} \leq pu$ : Processing times are bounded below by  $pl$  and above by  $pu$ .

$\beta_5 = \phi$ : No bounds on processing times.

$\beta_6 \in \{ s_f, \phi \}$

$\beta_6 = s_f$ : There are sequence independent family set-up times, jobs are subdivided into families and a set-up time is incurred whenever there is a switch from processing a job in a family to a job in

another family

$\beta_6 = \phi$ : There are no set-up times.

#### 4.4 Optimality Criteria

The third field  $\gamma$  defines the optimality criterion or the objective, the value which is to be optimized (minimized). Given a schedule, the following can be computed for each job  $j$ :

$C_j$  The completion time, the time at which the processing of job  $j$  is completed.

$F_j$  The flow time, the time job  $j$  spends in the system,  $F_j = C_j - r_j$ .

$L_j$  The lateness,  $L_j = C_j - d_j$ , the amount of time by which the completion time of job  $j$  exceed its due date. Lateness can be negative if job  $j$  finishes earlier than its due date.

$T_j$  The tardiness,  $T_j = \max\{L_j, 0\}$ .

$E_j$  The earliness,  $E_j = \max\{-L_j, 0\}$ .

$U_j$  The unit penalty, a unit penalty of job  $j$  if it fails to meet its deadline.

$$U_j = 0 \text{ if } C_j \leq d_j, U_j = 1 \text{ otherwise.}$$

The cost  $f_j$  for each job  $j$  usually takes one of the variables described above or the product of the weight  $w_j$  with one of the variables. The optimality criterion can be any function of the costs  $f_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Common optimality criteria are usually in the form:

1.  $f = f_{\max} = \max\{f_j | j = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

2.  $f = \sum f_j$ .

The following objective functions have frequently been chosen to be minimized.

$$f = \sum (w_j) C_j : \text{The total (weighted) completion time.}$$

Introducing due dates  $d_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, n$ ) we have the following objective functions:

$$f = C_{\max} : \text{The maximum completion time (makespan)}$$

$$f = L_{\max} = \max_j \{L_j\} : \text{The maximum lateness.}$$

$$f = T_{\max} = \max_j \{T_j\} : \text{The maximum tardiness.}$$

$$f = \sum T_j : \text{The total tardiness.}$$

$$f = \sum U_j : \text{The total number of late jobs.}$$

We may also choose to minimize:

$$f = \sum w_j T_j : \text{The total weighted tardiness.}$$

$$f = \sum w_j U_j : \text{The total weighted number of late jobs.}$$

$$f = \sum w_j E_j : \text{The total weighted earliness.}$$

### Example (4.1):

$1/r_j / \sum w_j C_j$  is the problem of minimizing the total weighted completion time on single machine subject to non-trivial release date.

$P3/pmtn, prec / L_{max}$  is the problem of minimizing maximum lateness on three identical parallel machines subject to general precedence constraint, allowing preemption.

### Example (4.2):

Consider the following schedule:

$j$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$P_j$	7	3	2	9	5	1	2	6
$d_j$	5	13	20	5	30	21	29	25

Then to calculate the total completion time, maximum lateness, total earliness, total tardiness, and the total number of late jobs:

$j$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$P_j$	7	3	2	9	5	1	2	6
$d_j$	5	13	20	5	30	21	29	25
$C_j$	7	10	12	21	26	27	29	35
$L_j$	2	-3	-8	16	-4	6	0	10
$E_j$	0	3	8	0	4	0	0	0
$T_j$	2	0	0	16	0	6	0	10

Then:  $\sum C_j = 7 + 10 + 12 + 21 + 26 + 27 + 29 + 35 = 167, L_{max} = 16, \sum E_j = 15, \sum T_j = 34, \sum U_j = 4.$

## 4.5 Single Machine Scheduling Problems

### 4.5.1 $1 / / \sum C_j$ Problem

This is the problem of sequencing  $n$  jobs on a single machine to minimize the total completion time. This problem is solved by the SPT (shortest processing

time) rule. The jobs are sequenced in non-decreasing order of processing times  $P_j$ .

### Example (4.3):

Solve the following  $1 // \sum C_j$  problem:

$j$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$P_j$	7	3	2	9	5	1	2	6

To minimize  $\sum C_j$ , we use the SPT rule as follows:

$j$	6	3	7	2	5	8	1	4
$P_j$	1	2	2	3	5	6	7	9
$C_j$	1	3	5	8	13	19	26	35

Then by SPT rule:  $\sum C_j = 1 + 3 + 5 + 8 + 13 + 19 + 26 + 35 = 110$ . That is the optimal schedule is  $s = (6, 3, 7, 2, 5, 8, 1, 4)$  with  $\sum C_j = 110$ .

### 4.5.2 $1 // \sum w_j C_j$ Problem

This is the problem of sequencing  $n$  jobs on a single machine to minimize the weighted total completion time. This problem is solved by the SWPT (shortest weighted processing time) rule. The jobs are sequenced in non-decreasing order of processing times  $P_j/w_j$ .

### Example (4.4):

Consider the following schedule:

$j$	1	2	3	4	5
$P_j$	6	10	12	18	4
$w_j$	2	4	3	3	4

To minimize  $\sum w_j C_j$ , we must first find  $P_j/w_j$  for each job  $j$ :

$j$	1	2	3	4	5
$P_j$	6	10	12	18	4
$w_j$	2	4	3	3	4
$P_j/w_j$	3	2.5	4	6	1

Then, use the SWPT rule as follows:

$j$	5	2	1	3	4
$P_j/w_j$	1	2.5	3	4	6
$P_j$	4	10	6	12	18
$w_j$	4	4	2	3	3
$C_j$	4	14	20	32	50
$w_j C_j$	16	56	40	96	150

Then by SWPT:  $\sum w_j C_j = 358$ . That is the optimal schedule is  $s = (5, 2, 1, 3, 4)$  with  $\sum w_j C_j = 358$  ( $\sum w_j C_j = 498$  for the original sequence).

### 4.5.3 $1 // L_{max}$ Problem

This is the problem of sequencing  $n$  jobs on a single machine to minimize the maximum lateness. This problem is solved by the EDD (earliest due date) rule. The jobs are sequenced in non-decreasing order of due dates  $d_j$ .

#### Example (4.5):

Consider the following schedule:

$j$	1	2	3	4
$P_j$	4	5	3	2
$d_j$	7	8	5	4

To minimize  $L_{max}$  we use the EDD rule:

$j$	4	3	1	2
$P_j$	2	3	4	5
$d_j$	4	5	7	8
$C_j$	2	5	9	14
$L_j$	-2	0	2	6

$\therefore L_{max} = 6$  (for the original schedule  $L_{max} = 10$ ). The optimal schedule is  $s = (4, 3, 1, 2)$  with  $L_{max} = 6$ .

### 4.5.4 $1 // \sum U_j$ Problem

This is the problem of sequencing  $n$  jobs on a single machine to minimize the number of late jobs (minimize the total unit penalties). This problem is solved

by Moore algorithm. Let  $E$  denote the set of early jobs and  $L$  denote the set of late jobs. The jobs of  $E$  are sequenced in EDD rule followed by the jobs of  $L$ .

### Moore (and Hodgson) Algorithm

**Step 1:** Number the jobs in EDD order. Set  $E = \phi, L = \phi, k = 0, t = 0$ .

**Step 2:** Let  $k = k + 1$ . If  $k > n$  go to step 4.

**Step 3:** Let  $t = t + P_k$  and  $E = E \cup \{k\}$ . If  $t \leq d_k$  go to step 2. If  $t > d_k$ , find  $j \in E$  with  $P_j$  as large as possible and let  $t = t - P_j, E = E - \{j\}, L = L \cup \{j\}$ . Go to step 2.

**Step 4:**  $E$  is the set of early jobs and  $L$  is the set of late jobs.

### Example (4.6):

Minimize  $\sum U_j$  for the following schedule:

$j$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$P_j$	5	3	1	8	4	7	5	3
$d_j$	12	32	10	18	23	27	15	24

To minimize  $\sum U_j$  we use Moore algorithm:

$j$	3	1	7	4	5	8	6	2
$P_j$	1	5	5	8	4	3	7	3
$d_j$	10	12	15	18	23	24	27	32
$C_j$	1	6	11	19				
$C_j$	1	6	11	*	15	18	25	28

$\therefore \sum U_j = 1, E = \{3,1,7,5,8,6,2\}, L = \{4\}$ . The optimal schedule is:  $s = (3,1,7,5,8,6,2,4)$  (in the original schedule  $\sum U_j = 3$ ).

### Example (4.7):

Minimize  $\sum U_j$  for the following schedule:

$j$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$P_j$	4	2	7	6	4	7	5	5
$d_j$	12	27	10	15	30	22	8	28

### Solution:

To minimize  $\sum U_j$  we use Moore's algorithm:



$j$	7	3	1	4	6	2	8	5
$P_j$	5	7	4	6	7	2	5	4
$d_j$	8	10	12	15	22	27	28	30
$C_j$	5	12						
$C_j$	5	*	9	15	22	24	29	
$C_j$	5	*	9	15	*	17	22	26

**Remark:** 5<sup>th</sup> job (Job 6) is selected although it is early since it has the greatest  $P_j$  among all jobs in  $E$ .

$\therefore \sum U_j = 2, E = \{7,1,4,2,8,5\}, L = \{3,6\}$ . The optimal schedule is:  $s=(7,1,4,2,8,5,3,6)$ . Also,  $s=(7,1,4,2,8,5,6,3)$  is an optimal schedule.

**Example (4.8):**

Minimize  $\sum U_j$  for the following schedule:

$j$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$P_j$	4	3	1	5	2	3	1	3
$d_j$	7	6	4	7	9	6	4	5

**Solution:**

To minimize  $\sum U_j$  we use Moore's algorithm:

$j$	3	7	8	2	6	1	4	5
$P_j$	1	1	3	3	3	4	5	2
$d_j$	4	4	5	6	6	7	7	9
$C_j$	1	2	5	8				
$C_j$	1	2	*	5	8			
$C_j$	1	2	*	*	5	9		
$C_j$	1	2	*	*	5	*	10	
$C_j$	1	2	*	*	5	*	*	7

$\therefore \sum U_j = 4, E = \{3,7,6,5\}, L = \{8,2,1,4\}$ . The optimal schedule is:  $s=(3,7,6,5,8,2,1,4)$ .