

$$\begin{aligned} 4. \quad (-a)(-b) &= -(a(-b)) \quad \text{by (2)} \\ &= -(-(ab)) \quad \text{by (2)} \\ &= ab \quad \text{by (3)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 8. \quad (-1)a &= -(1.a) \quad \text{by (2)} \\ &= -a \end{aligned}$$

Direct Sum of Rings and Some Remarks

الجمع المباشر للحلقات والبيان

Definition:

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(R', +', \cdot')$ be any two rings.
Let $X = R \times R' = \{(a, b) : a \in R \wedge b \in R'\}$

Define $\oplus, \otimes$ on X by:

$$(a, b) \oplus (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$$

$$(a, b) \otimes (c, d) = (a \cdot c, b \cdot d)$$

Then $(X, \oplus, \otimes)$ is a ring.

This ring is called the direct sum of R with R' .

From above example, we have the following remarks:

Remarks:

1. If $(R, +, \cdot)$, $(R', +', \cdot')$ are Comm. ring, then the ring of direct sum of R, R' is Comm. ring

2. If the ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ has unity 1 and the ring $(R', +', \cdot')$ has unity $\bar{1}$, then the ring $R \times R'$ has

unity $(1, 1)$

3. If R and R' are rings with unity $1, 1'$ and $a \in R, b \in R'$ such that a is an invertible in $R \times R'$ and $(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = (a, b)^{-1}$.

Example:

let $Z_2 \times Z_3 = \{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}), (\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{2}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{1}, \bar{1}), (\bar{2}, \bar{1}), (\bar{0}, \bar{2}), (\bar{1}, \bar{2}), (\bar{2}, \bar{2})\}$

$$\text{and } (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \oplus (\bar{c}, \bar{d}) = (\bar{a} + \bar{c}, \bar{b} + \bar{d})$$

$$(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \odot (\bar{c}, \bar{d}) = (\bar{a} \cdot \bar{c}, \bar{b} \cdot \bar{d})$$

Then $(Z_2 \times Z_3, \oplus, \odot)$ is a ring.

$\oplus$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{1})$
$(\bar{0}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{1})$
$(\bar{1}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$
$(\bar{2}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{0})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$
$(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{2})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{2})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{2})$
$(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{2})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{2})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{2})$
$(\bar{2}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$	$(\bar{2}, \bar{2})$	$(\bar{0}, \bar{2})$	$(\bar{1}, \bar{2})$

المقاييس الجمعية

$$(\bar{0}, \bar{0}) = (\bar{0}, \bar{0})$$

$$(\bar{0}, \bar{1}) = (\bar{0}, \bar{1})$$

$$(\bar{1}, \bar{0}) = (\bar{1}, \bar{0})$$

$$(\bar{1}, \bar{1}) = (\bar{1}, \bar{1})$$

$$(\bar{2}, \bar{0}) = (\bar{2}, \bar{0})$$

$$(\bar{2}, \bar{1}) = (\bar{2}, \bar{1})$$

Definition : let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and

$a, b \in R$, $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$. If $a \cdot b = 0$, then a is called left zero divisor and b is called right zero divisor.

In Comm. ring, every left zero divisor is right zero divisor and conversely.

Examples :

1. In $(\mathbb{Z}_3, +, \cdot)$, $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}$ are not zero divisors.

2. In $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +, \cdot)$, $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}$ are not zero divisors, but $\bar{2}$ is zero divisor, (since $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{2} = \bar{0}$).

3. In $(\mathbb{Z}_6, +, \cdot)$, $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{5}$ are not zero divisors.

But $\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}$ are zero divisors.

Remark :- let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a Comm. ring with

unity 1. If $a \in R$, $a \neq 0$, a is an invertible element, then a is not zero divisor.

That is, a is invertible element $\Rightarrow a$ is not zero divisor

Proof :- If $a \in R$, $a \neq 0$ and a is an invertible element.

$\therefore \exists a^{-1} \in R$ s.t. $a^{-1} \cdot a = a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$

Suppose a is a zero divisor.

Then $\exists b \in R, b \neq 0$ s.t. $a \cdot b = 0$

$$\bar{a} \cdot (a \cdot b) = \bar{a} \cdot 0 \Rightarrow (\bar{a} \cdot a) \cdot b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \cdot b = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0 \text{ C! (since } b \neq 0 \text{)}$$

Thus a is not zero divisor.

a is zero divisor $\Rightarrow a$ is not invertible.

Now, we have the following example:

Example:

Consider the direct sum of $(R, +, \cdot)$ with $(R, +, \cdot)$

$$(a, 0) \odot (0, b) = (0, 0) \quad \forall a \neq 0, \forall b \neq 0$$

Then all element of the form $(a, 0), (0, b)$ where $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ are zero divisors.

Theorem:

let R be a comm. ring. Then R has no zero divisor $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in R, a \neq 0, a \cdot b = a \cdot c$ which implies $b = c$.

proof: $\Rightarrow$ If R has no zero divisor

let $a \cdot b = a \cdot c$ and $a \neq 0$

$$a \cdot b + (-a \cdot c) = a \cdot c + (-a \cdot c)$$

$$a \cdot b - a \cdot c = 0 \Rightarrow a(b - c) = 0$$

The Cancellation law holds with respect to multiplication operation

but $a \neq 0$ and R has no zero divisor.

Then $b \cdot c = 0$ and hence $b = 0$.

← Suppose R has zero divisor, so

$\exists a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ s.t. $a \cdot b = 0$

let $a \cdot 0 = 0$ (by properties of ring)

Then $a \cdot b = a \cdot 0$ and hence $b = 0$ C!

Therefore R has no zero divisor.

Theorem: let R be a comm. ring with unity 1

and R has no zero divisor. Then the equation $a^2 = a$ has only two solutions $a = 0$ or $a = 1$.

"Integral domain" (Zam)

Definition: let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a comm. ring with

unity 1. Then R is called an integral domain

↔ R has no zero divisor.

Examples: ① All rings $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$,

$(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ have no zero divisors. Then all these rings are integral domains.

② $(\mathbb{Z}_3, +_3, \cdot_3)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_5, +_5, \cdot_5)$ are integral domains

③ $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +_4, \cdot_4)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_6, +_6, \cdot_6)$ are not integral domains

Class

Theorem: $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$ is an integral domain $\Leftrightarrow$
 n is prime number.

Proof: $\Rightarrow$ $\text{qf } (\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$ is an integral domain

To prove n is a prime number
 suppose n is not prime number

$\therefore \exists m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ s.t. } n = m \cdot k \quad 1 < m < n$
 $1 < k < n$
 $\Rightarrow \bar{0} = \bar{m} \cdot \bar{k}$ and $\bar{m}, \bar{k}$ are no zero element.

Then $\bar{m}, \bar{k}$ are zero divisors in $\mathbb{Z}_n$.
 which is contradiction since $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is an integral domain.
 Thus n is prime number.

$\Leftarrow$ $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$ is Comm. ring with unity 1
 To prove $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$ is an integral domain,
 we must prove that $\mathbb{Z}_n$ has no zero divisor

suppose $\mathbb{Z}_n$ has zero divisor

$\exists \bar{m}, \bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_n \text{ s.t. } \bar{m} \neq \bar{0} \text{ and } \bar{k} \neq \bar{0}, \bar{m} \cdot \bar{k} = \bar{0}$
 $\Rightarrow \bar{m} \cdot \bar{k} = \bar{n} \cdot \bar{r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$\Rightarrow n/mk$. Then n/m or n/k (since n is)
 prime

$\text{qf } n/m \Rightarrow m = \text{multiply of } n \Rightarrow \bar{m} = \bar{0} \text{ !}$

$\text{qf } n/k \Rightarrow k = \text{multiply of } n \Rightarrow \bar{k} = \bar{0} \text{ !}$

Thus $\mathbb{Z}_n$ has no zero divisor.

Definition:

let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a comm. ring with unity 1.
 Then $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called field $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in R, a \neq 0, a$