

Functional Analysis

FOURTH GRADE,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION FOR PURE SCIENCES: IBN AL-HAITHAM
UNIVERSITY OF BAGHDAD

2026-2027

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	ii
-------------------	----

1	Linear Space	1
1.1	Examples of Linear Space	3
1.2	Linear Subspace	11
1.3	Linear Transformation Mapping	12
2	Normed Linear Space	29
2.1	Examples of Normed Linear Space	31
2.2	Product of Normed Spaces	41
2.3	Normed space and Metric space	44
2.4	Generalizations of Some Concepts from Metric Space . .	46
2.5	Convergence in Normed Space	49
2.6	Convexity in Normed Linear Space	53
2.7	Continuity in Normed Linear Space	56
2.8	Boundedness in Normed Linear Space	61
2.9	Bounded Linear Transformation	65
3	Banach Space	68
3.1	Examples of Banach Space	68
3.2	Some Properties of Banach Space	73
4	Inner Product Space	82
4.1	Examples of Inner Product Space	83

4.2	Some Properties of Inner Product Space	88
4.3	Hilbert Space	100
4.4	Orthogonality and Orthonormality in Inner Product Space	103

Chapter One

Fundamental Concepts

In this chapter, we introduce the following concepts:

- 1.1. Linear Spaces, Examples of Linear Spaces, General Properties of Linear Space and of Linear Subspaces.
- 1.2. Linear Combination, The Linearly Independent , Dimensional Linear Spaces.
- 1.3. The Convexity, Examples of Convex Sets, Some Properties About Convex Sets.
- 1.4. Linear Operator (Linear Transformation) and Linear Functional.

Linear (Vector) Space

A linear space (also called vector space), denoted by L or V , is a collection of objects called **vectors**, which may be added together and multiplied by numbers, called **scalars** which are taken from a field F . Before defining linear space, we first define an arbitrary field.

Definition (1.1):- Let F be a non-empty set and $(+)$ and $(.)$ be two binary operations on F . The ordered triple $(F, +, .)$ is called **field** if

1. $(F, +)$ is a commutative group
2. $(F - \{e\}, .)$ is a commutative group, where e is the identity with respect to $(+)$.
3. $(.)$ is distributed over $(+)$ (from left and right)

Example (1.2):- Let $(+)$ and $(.)$ are **ordinary addition and multiplications**.

Then

1. Each of $(\mathbb{R}, +, .)$, $(\mathbb{C}, +, .)$, and $(\mathbb{Q}, +, .)$ are examples of fields
2. $(\mathbb{Z}, -, .)$ is not field (Definition 1.1(1) does not hold)
and $(\mathbb{Z}, +, .)$ is not field (Definition 1.1(2) does not hold)

Definition (1.3):- A **vector space** (or **linear space**) over a field F is a nonempty set L of elements $x, y, \dots$ (called vectors) with two algebraic operations, these

operations are called vector addition (+) and multiplication of vectors by scalars ($\cdot$), then we say that $(L, +, \cdot)$ is a vector (Linear) space over F .

1) Vectors addition satisfy :-

$(L, +)$ be a commutative group

2) Multiplication by scalars satisfy :-

a) $\alpha \cdot x \in L, \forall \alpha \in F, x \in L$

b) $(\alpha\beta) \cdot x = \alpha(\beta \cdot x), \forall x \in L \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in F$

c) $\alpha \cdot (x + y) = \alpha \cdot x + \alpha \cdot y$

d) $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot x$

Distributive laws

e) $1 \cdot x = x, \forall x \in L, 1 \text{ is the unity } F$

Remarks(1.4):-

(1) The field $F = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$

(2) If $F = \mathbb{C}$ then we say that the $(L, +, \cdot)$ is complex vector (complex linear) space

(3) If $F = \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow (L, +, \cdot)$ is called real vector (linear)space

(4) The vectors addition is a mapping such that $+: L \times L \rightarrow L$

(5) The multiplication by scalars (scalar multiplication) is a mapping such that

$\cdot : F \times L \rightarrow L$

(6) We can denote the zero vector by 0_L and the scalar by 0.

Examples of Linear (Vector) Space

Example (1.5):- The Euclidean space : $\mathbb{R}^n = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n); x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$, with ordinary addition and multiplication. i.e ,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x + y &= (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n) \\ \alpha \cdot x &= (\alpha \cdot x_1, \alpha \cdot x_2, \dots, \alpha \cdot x_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

Then $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \cdot)$ is real Linear space over the field $F = \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: It is clear that the following conditions are satisfied

(1) To prove $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ is commutative group

a) **The closure:** $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to prove $x + y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$y \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

$$x + y = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

b) **Associative :** to prove $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z), \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(x + y) + z = ((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, \dots, y_n)) + (z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

$$= (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) + (z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

$$= ((x_1 + y_1) + z_1, \dots, (x_n + y_n) + z_n) \text{ (+ asso. on } \mathbb{R})$$

$$= (x_1 + (y_1 + z_1), \dots, (x_n + (y_n + z_n)))$$

$$= (x_1, \dots, x_n) + (y_1 + z_1, \dots, y_n + z_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (x_1, \dots, x_n) + ((y_1, \dots, y_n) + (z_1, \dots, z_n)) \\
&= x + (y + z)
\end{aligned}$$

c) **Identity:** It is clear that, there exist a unique identity $e = (0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0_L$

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ s.t. } x + 0_L = 0_L + x = x$$

d) **Inverse:** $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \exists (-x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the invers of x s.t.

$$x + (-x) = (-x) + x = 0_L$$

e) **Commutative:** $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $x + y = y + x$

$\Rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ is commutative group

(2) Scalar Multiplication :

a) To prove $\alpha \cdot x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \cdot (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= (\alpha \cdot x_1, \dots, \alpha \cdot x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ (since } \alpha \cdot x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \\
&\quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n)
\end{aligned}$$

b) $(\alpha\beta) \cdot x = (\alpha\beta) \cdot (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ((\alpha\beta) \cdot x, \dots, (\alpha\beta) \cdot x_n) \\
&= (\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x_1), \dots, \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x_n)) \\
&= \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x_1, \dots, \beta \cdot x_n) \\
&= \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\
&= \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x)
\end{aligned}$$

c) $\alpha \cdot (x + y) = \alpha \cdot ((x_1, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, \dots, y_n))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha \cdot ((x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)) \\
&= (\alpha \cdot (x_1 + y_1), \dots, \alpha \cdot (x_n + y_n)) \\
&= ((\alpha \cdot x_1 + \alpha \cdot y_1), \dots, (\alpha \cdot x_n + \alpha \cdot y_n))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\alpha.x_1, \dots, \alpha.x_n) + (\alpha.y_1, \dots, \alpha.y_n) \\
&= \alpha.(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \alpha.(y_1, \dots, y_n) \\
&= \alpha.x + \alpha.y
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{d) } (\alpha + \beta).x &= (\alpha + \beta).(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= ((\alpha + \beta).x_1, \dots, (\alpha + \beta).x_n) \\
&= (\alpha.x_1 + \beta.x_1, \dots, \alpha.x_n + \beta.x_n) \\
&= \alpha.(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \beta.(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \alpha.x + \beta.x
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{e) } 1.x &= 1.(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (1.x, \dots, 1.x) \\
&= (x_1, \dots, x_n) = x.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, .)$ is linear space over $\mathbb{R}$.

Example (1.6):- Consider the space $\mathbb{C}^n$ with two operations defined as in the previous example, then $(\mathbb{C}^n, +, .)$ is complex linear space over $\mathbb{C}$. **(H.W.)**

Example (1.7):- Show that the space $(l^2, +, .)$ is linear space over F where $l^2 = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots), x_i \in F, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \infty\}$ is the space of (real or complex) sequences, with ordinary addition and multiplication. i.e ,

$$\begin{aligned}
x + y &= (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) + (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3, \dots) \\
\alpha.x &= \alpha.(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (\alpha.x_1, \alpha.x_2, \alpha.x_3, \dots), \forall x, y \in l^2, \alpha \in F
\end{aligned}$$

Solution:

1. To prove that $(l^2, +)$ is commutative group?

❖ **The closure** : Let $x, y \in l^2$ to prove $x + y \in l^2$

$$x \in l^2 \Rightarrow x = (x_1, x_2, \dots); x_i \in F \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \infty$$

$$y \in l^2 \Rightarrow y = (y_1, y_2, \dots); y_i \in F \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^2 < \infty$$

$$x + y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots); x_i + y_i \in F, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$\text{To prove } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^2 < \infty.$$

$$|x_i + y_i|^2 = |(x_i + y_i)|^2$$

$$= |x_i^2 + 2x_i y_i + y_i^2|$$

$$\leq |x_i|^2 + 2|x_i y_i| + |y_i|^2$$

$$\leq |x_i|^2 + |x_i|^2 + |y_i|^2 + |y_i|^2, \text{ because } (2|x_i y_i| \leq |x_i|^2 + |y_i|^2)$$

$$= 2|x_i|^2 + 2|y_i|^2$$

Taking the sum to the both sides of the above inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^2 < \infty + \infty = \infty$$

❖ The associative: **(H.W.)**

❖ **The identity** : $\exists e = (0, 0, \dots) \in l^2 \forall x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in l^2$

$$\text{such that } e + x = x + e = x$$

It is clear that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |0|^2 < \infty$ **(H.W.)**

❖ **The inverse** : $\forall x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in l^2, \exists -x = (-x_1, -x_2, \dots) \in l^2$

such that $-x + x = x + -x = 0$. It is clear that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |-x_i|^2 < \infty$ (H.W.)

2. Multiplication by scalars :

❖ To prove that $\alpha \cdot x \in l^2$, $\forall x \in l^2, \alpha \in F$

$\alpha \cdot x = (\alpha \cdot x_1, \alpha \cdot x_2, \dots)$ to prove $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha x_i|^2 < \infty$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha \cdot x_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha|^2 |x_i|^2 = |\alpha|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \infty.$$

The rest of the conditions are homework.

Remark (1.8):- In general the inequality holds, for any $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$|x_i + y_i|^p \leq 2^{p-1} [|x_i|^p + |y_i|^p].$$

Example (1.9):- Show that the space $(l^p, +, \cdot)$ is linear space over F where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $l^p = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots), x_i \in F, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p < \infty\}$ with ordinary addition and multiplication? (H.W.)

Example (1.10):- Consider the space $l^\infty = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots), x_i \in F, |x_i| \leq c_x, i=1, 2, \dots\}$

where c_x is a real number which may depend on x but does not depend on i . Then $(l^\infty, +, \cdot)$ is linear space over F when $+$ and $\cdot$ are defined as in Example (1.7).

Solution:

To prove that $(l^\infty, +)$ is commutative group?

❖ **The closure :** Let $x, y \in l^\infty$ to prove $x + y \in l^\infty$

$$x \in l^\infty \Rightarrow x = (x_1, x_2, \dots); x_i \in F \text{ and } |x_i| < c_x \quad \forall i \in N$$

$$y \in l^\infty \Rightarrow y = (y_1, y_2, \dots); y_i \in F \text{ and } |y_i| < c_y \quad \forall i \in N$$

$$x + y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots); x_i + y_i \in F, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$\text{To prove } |x_i + y_i| < c_q; c_q > 0.$$

$$\text{Now, } |x_i + y_i| \leq |x_i| + |y_i| \leq c_x + c_y = c_q.$$

Thus, $x + y \in l^\infty$.

❖ The rest of the conditions are homework.

Example (1.11):- The space $(C^b[a, b], +, \cdot)$ is linear space over $\mathbb{R}$ where $+$ and

defined as $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad \forall f, g \in C^b[a, b], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

Solution:

The space $C^b[a, b] = \{ f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous and bounded function} \}$

(1) To prove that $(C^b[a, b], +)$ is commutative group

(0 is continuous and bounded since its constant) $\Rightarrow 0 \in C^b[a, b]$

(d) To prove that $\forall f \in C^b[a, b], \exists -f \in C^b[a, b]$

Such that $f + (-f) = (-f) + f = 0$,

since $f \in C^b[a, b] \Rightarrow f$ is continuous and bounded

$\Rightarrow -f$ is also continuous (by previous proposition) and $-f$ is also bounded

since $(|-f(x)| = |f(x)| \leq k, \quad k \geq 0)$

$= -f \in C^b[a, b]$ and $(f + (-f))(x) = f(x) + (-f(x)) = 0 = 0(x)$

(e) $\forall f, g \in C^b[a, b]$, to prove that $f + g = g + f$

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) = g(x) + f(x) = (g + f)(x)$$

$\therefore C^b[a, b]$ is comm group

(2) Scalar Multiplication

(a) $\forall f \in C^b[a, b], \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, to prove that $\alpha f \in C^b[a, b]$

$\therefore f \in C^b[a, b] \Rightarrow f$ is also continuous $\Rightarrow \alpha f$ also continuous (i)

$f \in C^b[a, b] \Rightarrow f$ is bounded $\Rightarrow |f(x)| \leq k, k \geq 0$

But $|(\alpha f)(x)| = |\alpha f(x)| \leq |\alpha| |f(x)| \leq |\alpha| k$

$\Rightarrow \alpha f$ is bounded (ii)

From (i) and (ii) we get, $\alpha f \in C^b[a, b]$

(b) $\forall f \in C^b[a, b]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, to prove $(\alpha\beta)f = \alpha(\beta f)$

$$\begin{aligned} ((\alpha\beta)f)(x) &= (\alpha\beta)f(x) = \alpha(\beta f(x)) = \alpha(\beta f)(x) \\ &\Rightarrow (\alpha\beta)f = \alpha(\beta f) \end{aligned}$$

(c) $\forall f, g \in C^b[a, b], \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, to prove $\alpha(f + g) = \alpha f + \alpha g$

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(f + g))(x) &= \alpha(f + g)(x) = \alpha(f(x) + g(x)) \\ &= \alpha f(x) + \alpha g(x) = (\alpha f)(x) + (\alpha g)(x) \end{aligned}$$

(d) $\forall f \in C^b[a, b], \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, to prove $(\alpha + \beta)f = \alpha f + \beta f$

$$\begin{aligned} ((\alpha + \beta)f)(x) &= (\alpha + \beta)f(x) = \alpha f(x) + \beta f(x) \\ &= (\alpha f)(x) + (\beta f)(x) = (\alpha f + \beta f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

(e) Let $f \in C^b[a, b]$ and 1 is the unity of $\mathbb{R}$, then $(1f)(x) = 1 f(x) = f(x)$.

General Properties of Linear Space (without prove)

Theorem(1.12):- Let $(L, +, \cdot)$ be a linear space over F . Then

- (1) $0 \cdot x = 0_L, \quad \forall x \in L$
- (2) $\alpha \cdot 0_L = 0_L, \quad \alpha \in F$
- (3) If $\alpha \cdot x = 0_L \Rightarrow \alpha = 0$ or $x = 0_L$
- (4) $(-\alpha \cdot x) = (-\alpha) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot (-x), \quad \forall x \in L, \alpha \in F$
- (5) $\alpha \cdot (x - y) = \alpha \cdot x - \alpha \cdot y, \quad \forall x, y \in L, \alpha \in F$

- (6) If $x, y \in L \Rightarrow \exists! z \in L$ such that $x + z = y$
- (7) If $x \neq 0_L$ and $\alpha_1 x = \alpha_2 x \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$
- (8) If $x \neq 0_L, \alpha \neq 0, y \neq 0_L$ and $\alpha \cdot x = \alpha \cdot y \Rightarrow x = y$

Linear subspace

Definition(1.13):- Let L be a linear space over F and $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq L$, then we say that S is **linear subspace** of L if S itself is a linear space over F .

Theorem (1.14):- If L be a linear space over F and $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq L$, then S is linear subspace if satisfy the following conditions

$$(1) x + y \in S, \forall x, y \in S$$

$$(2) \alpha \cdot x \in S, \forall x \in S \text{ and } \alpha \in F$$

Or satisfy the equivalent condition of two conditions above ,

$$\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y \in S, \forall x, y \in S \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in F$$

Remark(1.15):-

- (1) A special subspace of L is improper subspace $S = L$
- (2) Another special subspace of any linear space L is $S = \{0\}$
- (3) Every other subspace of L ($S \neq \{0\}$ and $S \neq L$) is called proper

Example (1.16):- show that $S = \{(x, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x_2 = 3x_1\}$ is subspace of $\mathbb{R}^2$?

Solution :- It is clear that $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, and $S \neq \emptyset$ because $(0,0) = 0 \in S$

To prove that $\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y \in S, \forall \alpha, \beta \in F = \mathbb{R}, x, y \in S$.

$$x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)$$

$$\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y = (\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2) + (\beta y_1, \beta y_2)$$

$$= (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \alpha x_2 + \beta y_2)$$

$$\text{Now, } \alpha x_2 + \beta y_2 = \alpha(3x_1) + \beta(3y_1) = 3(\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y \in S.$$

Example (1.17):- show that $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ is subspace of $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$?

Solution:- It is clear that $S \subseteq M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$, and $S \neq \emptyset$ because $0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S$

$\forall x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in F$.

$$\text{To prove that } \alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y = \alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 \\ b_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 \\ b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha a_1 \\ \alpha b_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta a_2 \\ \beta b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha a_1 + \beta a_2 \\ \alpha b_1 + \beta b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S$$

Example (1.18):- show that $S = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2; ax_1 + bx_2 = 0\}$ is subspace of

$\mathbb{R}^2$? (H.W.)

Example (1.19):-The set $S = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 ; x_1 = 1 + x_2\}$ is not subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$?

Solution:

Consider $\alpha = 2$ and $x = (2, 1, 0) \in S$, because $(2 = 1 + 1)$

$\alpha \cdot x = 2 \cdot (2, 1, 0) = (4, 2, 0) \notin S$, because $(4 \neq 1 + 2)$

Hence, S is not subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Theorem(1.20):-Let S_1 and S_2 be two subspaces of linear space L . then

- (1) $S_1 \cap S_2$ is subspace of linear space L .
- (2) $S_1 + S_2$ is subspace of linear space L
- (3) $S_1 \subseteq S_1 + S_2$, $S_2 \subseteq S_1 + S_2$. **(H.W.)**

Exercise (1.21)

(1) Which of the following subsets of $\mathbb{R}^3$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$

- a) $S_1 = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3); x_1 = x_2 \text{ and } x_3 = 0\}$
- b) $S_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3); x_3 = x_2 + 1\}$
- c) $S_3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3); x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0\}$
- d) $S_4 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3); x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = k\}$

(2) If S_1 and S_2 are subspaces of linear space L , then $S_1 \cup S_2$ not necessary subspace of L (Give an example)

(3) If $S \neq \emptyset$ is any subset of L show that $\text{span } S$ is subspace of L .

(4) Show that the Cartesian product $L = L_1 \times L_2$ of two linear spaces over the same field becomes a vector space, we define the two algebraic operations by $(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2)$ and $\alpha \cdot (x_1, x_2) = (\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2)$

$\forall x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in L$ and $\alpha \in F$.

(5) Let H be a subspace of a linear space L . The coset of an element $x \in L$ with respect to H is denoted by $x + H$ where

$x + H = \{z, z = x + h, h \in H\}$. Define $\frac{L}{H} = \{x + H : x \in L\}$.

Show that $(\frac{L}{H}, +, \cdot)$ is linear space over F under algebraic operations defined as

$$(x + H) + (y + H) = (x + y) + H, \quad \forall x + H, y + H \in \frac{L}{H}$$

$$\alpha \cdot (x + H) = \alpha \cdot x + H, \quad \forall x + H \in \frac{L}{H}, \alpha \in F$$

Note : The space $(\frac{L}{H}, +, \cdot)$ is called quotient space (or factor space).

Dimensional Linear Spaces

Definition (1.22):- A **linear combination** of vectors $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ of a linear space L is an expression of the form $\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are any scalars in $\mathbb{R}$.

i.e., x is linear combination of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ if $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ s.t.

$$x = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n.$$

Example (1. 23):- Let $S = \{(1,2,3), (1,0,2)\}$, Express $x = (-1,2,-1)$, as a linear combination of x_1 and x_2 .

Solution: We must find scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in F$ such that $x = \alpha_1 \cdot x_1 + \alpha_2 \cdot x_2$

$$(-1,2,-1) = \alpha_1 \cdot (1,2,3) + \alpha_2 \cdot (1,0,2)$$

$$= (\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1, 3\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2, 0, 2\alpha_2)$$

$$\text{So, } \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = -1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2 = -\alpha_1 - 1$$

$$2\alpha_1 + 0 = 2 \Rightarrow 2\alpha_1 = 2 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = 1$$

$$\text{and, } 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = -1$$

$$\therefore \alpha_2 = -1 - 1 = -2 .$$

Example (1. 24):-If $S = \{(1,2,3), (1,0,2)\}$. Show that $x = (-1,2,0)$, is not linear combination of x_1, x_2 .

Solution:

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in F$ and $x_1, x_2 \in S$ such that $x = \alpha_1 \cdot x_1 + \alpha_2 \cdot x_2$, we have

$$(-1,2,0) = \alpha_1 \cdot (1,2,3) + \alpha_2 \cdot (1,0,2)$$

$$= (\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1, 3\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2, 0, 2\alpha_2)$$

$$\text{So, } \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = -1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2 = -\alpha_1 - 1 = -2$$

$$2\alpha_1 + 0 = 2 \Rightarrow 2\alpha_1 = 2 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = 1$$

but $3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \neq 0$, i.e. $3(1) + 2(-2) \neq 0$.The system has no solution

$\therefore x$ not linear combination of x_1, x_2 .

Example (1.25):- Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ where $x_1 = (1,2)$, $x_2 = (0,1)$ and $x_3 = (1,1)$. Express $(1,0)$ as a linear combination of x_1, x_2 and x_3 .

Solution:

We must find scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in F$ such that $x = \alpha_1 \cdot x_1 + \alpha_2 \cdot x_2 + \alpha_3 \cdot x_3$

$$(1,0) = \alpha_1 \cdot (1,2) + \alpha_2 \cdot (0,1) + \alpha_3 \cdot (1,1)$$

$$(1,0) = (\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1) + (0, \alpha_2) + (\alpha_3, \alpha_3)$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = 1 - \alpha_3$$

$$2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0 \Rightarrow 2(1 - \alpha_3) + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0 \Rightarrow -\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 = -2$$

$$\alpha_2 = -2 - \alpha_3$$

This system has multiple solutions in this case there are multiple possibilities for the α_i .

Definition (1.26):- Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq L$ the smallest subspace of L contains M is called **subspace generated** by M and denoted by $[M]$ or $\text{span } M$.

Remark(1.27):-

1. Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq L$, the set of all linear combinations of vectors of M is called **span** of M .

2. $M \subset \text{span}(M)$.

3. $\text{Span}(M) =$ the intersection of all subspace of L containing M .

Example (1.28):- Find span $\{x_1, x_2\}$ where $x_1 = (1,2,3)$ and $x_2 = (1,0,2)$?

Solution :- The span $\{x_1, x_2\}$ is the set of all vectors $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$(x, y, z) = \alpha_1 \cdot (1, 2, 3) + \alpha_2 \cdot (1, 0, 2)$$

We wish to know for what values of (x, y, z) does this system of equations have solutions for α_1, α_2

$$\alpha_1 \cdot (1, 2, 3) + \alpha_2 \cdot (1, 0, 2) = (x, y, z)$$

$$(\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1, 3\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2, 0, 2\alpha_2) = (x, y, z)$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = x \Rightarrow \alpha_2 = x - \alpha_1$$

$$2\alpha_1 = y \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}y$$

$$3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = z \Rightarrow 6\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 - 2z = 0$$

$$6\left(\frac{1}{2}y\right) + 4\left(x - \frac{1}{2}y\right) - 2z = 0$$

$$3y + 4x - 2y - 2z = 0$$

$$4x + y - 2z = 0$$

So, solutions when $4x + y - 2z = 0$

Thus span $\{x_1, x_2\}$ is the plane $4x + y - 2z = 0$

Example (1.29):-Show that $\{x_1, x_2\}$ span $\mathbb{R}^2$, when $x_1 = (1,1), x_2 = (2,1)$.

Solution : we being asked to show that any vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$ can written as a linear combination of x_1, x_2 . Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $(a, b) = \alpha_1 \cdot (1,1) + \alpha_2 \cdot (2,1)$

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_1) + (2\alpha_2, \alpha_2) = (a, b)$$

$$\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = a \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = a - 2\alpha_2$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = b \Rightarrow \alpha_2 = b - (a - 2\alpha_2)$$

$$-\alpha_2 = b - a \Rightarrow \alpha_2 = a - b$$

$\alpha_1 = a - 2(a - b) = 2b - a$. Note that these two vectors span $\mathbb{R}^2$, that is every vector $\mathbb{R}^2$ can be expressed as a linear combination of them.

Example (1.30):-Show that $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ span $\mathbb{R}^2$, where $x_1 = (1,1), x_2 = (2,1), x_3 = (3,2)$. **(H.W.)**

Definition (1.31):- Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a subset of L , then S is called **linearly independent** if there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that

$$\text{if } \alpha_1 \cdot x_1 + \alpha_2 \cdot x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \cdot x_n = 0 \text{ then } \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0.$$

Definition (1.32):- Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a subset of L , then S is said to be **linearly dependent** if it is not linearly independent that is if

$$\alpha_1 \cdot x_1 + \alpha_2 \cdot x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \cdot x_n = 0 \text{ but the } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \text{ not all zero.}$$

Example (1.33):- Determine $S = \{x_1, x_2\}$ is linearly dependent or independent where $x_1 = (1, 2, 3)$, $x_2 = (1, 0, 2)$.

Solution : Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in F$

$\alpha_1(1, 2, 3) + \alpha_2(1, 0, 2) = (0, 0, 0)$, only solution is trivial solution $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$.

Thus, S is linearly independent.

Example (1.34):- Determine $S = \{x_1, x_2\}$ is linearly dependent or independent where $x_1 = (1, 1, 1)$, $x_2 = (2, 2, 2)$?

Solution: Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in F$

$$\alpha_1(1, 1, 1) + \alpha_2(2, 2, 2) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = -2\alpha_2$$

So, S is linearly dependent

Theorem (1.35):- (without prove)

(1) Every m vectors set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, if $m > n$ then, the set is linearly dependent

(2) A linearly independent set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ has at most n vectors.

Remark (1.36):- Let L linear space over F , $S \subseteq L$ and $x_0 \in L$, then

(1) If $0_L \in S \Rightarrow S$ is linear dependent. i.e., every subspace is linear dependent set

(2) If $x_0 \neq 0_L \Rightarrow \{x_0\}$ is linearly independent

Definition (1.37):- Let L be a linear space over F . A subset B of L is a **basis** if it is linearly independent and spans L i.e.,

(1) B is linearly independent

(2) $\text{Span}(B) = L$ B تولد لـ

The number of elements in a basis for L is called the **dimension** of L and is denoted by $\dim(L)$.

Example(1.38):- Consider the linear space $(\mathbb{R}^3, +, \dots)$

The dimension of L is 3. i.e., $\dim(\mathbb{R}^3) = 3$

Since $B = \{(1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1)\}$ is basis for $\mathbb{R}^3$

Remark (1.39):-

(1) Every linear space $L \neq \{0\}$ has a basis

(2) If $L = \{0\} \Rightarrow \dim(L) = 0$

(3) If L finite dimension linear space and S be a subspace of L , then $\dim S \leq \dim L$.

(4) If $\dim S = \dim L \Rightarrow S = L$

(5) If $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a linearly independent in L then for each $x \in L$ there exists $c > 0$ and $\alpha_i \in F$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$ and

$$\|x\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \right\| \geq c \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|.$$

(6) The dimension of quotient space (or factor space) is called codimension of

M and denoted by $\text{codim}(M) = \dim\left(\frac{L}{M}\right)$.

The Convexity

Definition (1.40):- Let A be a subset of linear space L then, we say that A is **convex set** if satisfy the following condition :

$\forall x, y \in A, \lambda \in [0,1]$ then $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A$.

Examples About Convex Sets

Example(1.41):- If $A = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow A$ is convex set

Solution :

Let $x, y \in A, \lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$x \in (a, b) \Rightarrow a < x < b \Rightarrow \lambda a < \lambda x < \lambda b \quad \dots (1)$$

$$y \in (a, b) \Rightarrow a < y < b \Rightarrow (1 - \lambda)a < (1 - \lambda)y < (1 - \lambda)b \quad (2)$$

By summing up (1) and (2)

$$\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)a < \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y < \lambda b + (1 - \lambda)b$$

$$a < \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y < b.$$

Example(1.42):- Every linear subspace is convex, but the converse is not true in general

Solution :

Let M be a subspace of linear space $L \Rightarrow \alpha x + \beta y \in M, \forall x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in F$.

Put $\alpha = \lambda, \beta = 1 - \lambda, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in M, \forall x, y \in M, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \Rightarrow M \text{ is convex set}$$

For the converse, consider the following example

Let $L = \mathbb{R}^2, M = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$, then M is convex set but not subspace.

❖ To prove M is convex set.

Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in M$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. To prove $\lambda(x_1, y_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2) \in M$.

$(x_1, y_1) \in M \Rightarrow x_1 \geq 0, y_1 \geq 0$ and $(x_2, y_2) \in M \Rightarrow x_2 \geq 0, y_2 \geq 0$.

Thus, $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1 \geq 0$ and $\lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2 \geq 0$.

Then, $\lambda(x_1, y_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2) = (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1, \lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2) \in M$.

❖ Show that M is not a subspace (H.W.)

Theorem(1.43): (Some Properties About Convex Sets)

1. The singleton set is convex set
2. The intersection of convex set is convex.
3. The empty set and the whole space are convex. (H.W.)
4. If A is convex set $\Rightarrow \alpha A$ also convex $\alpha \in F$. (H.W.)
5. If A and B are convex set $\Rightarrow A + B$ also convex set.

Proof (1) Let $A = \{x\}$ to prove A is convex set.

Take $x \in A$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ then $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x = x \in M$.

Proof (2) Let A and B are convex sets To prove $A \cap B$ is convex set.

Let $x, y \in A \cap B$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ to prove $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A \cap B$.

$x, y \in A$ and A is convex $\Rightarrow \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A$ (1)

$x, y \in B$ and B is convex $\Rightarrow \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in B$ (2)

From (1)&(2) we get $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A \cap B$. Then, $A \cap B$ is convex set.

Proof (5) Let $a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2 \in A + B$, then $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$.

To prove $\lambda (a_1 + b_1) + (1 - \lambda)(a_2 + b_2) \in A + B$, $\forall \lambda \in [0,1]$

Since A is convex set and $a_1, a_2 \in A \Rightarrow \lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda)a_2 \in A \forall \lambda \in [0,1] \dots (1)$

Since B is convex set and $b_1, b_2 \in B \Rightarrow \lambda b_1 + (1 - \lambda)b_2 \in B \forall \lambda \in [0,1] \dots (2)$

By summing up (1) and (2) we get

$$\lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda)a_2 + \lambda b_1 + (1 - \lambda)b_2 \in A + B$$

i.e., $\lambda (a_1 + b_1) + (1 - \lambda)(a_2 + b_2) \in A + B$. Thus, $A + B$ is a convex set.

Linear operator and linear functional

Definition(1.44):- Let L and L' are linear spaces over the same field F . A mapping $T: L \rightarrow L'$ is called **Linear operator** or **(Linear transformation)** if

$$T(\alpha.x + \beta.y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y), \forall x, y \in L \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in F$$

Note : The linear operator $T: L \rightarrow F$ is said to be **linear functional**.

Examples of Linear Functional

Example (1.45):- Let $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $T(x) = mx, m \in \mathbb{R}$ the T is linear functional

Solution : Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha x + \beta y) &= m(\alpha x + \beta y) = m(\alpha x) + m(\beta y) \\ &= \alpha(mx) + \beta(my) \\ &= \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y) \end{aligned}$$

Example(1.46):- Let $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $T(x) = mx + b, m, b \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ then T is not linear functional ?

Solution: Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha x + \beta y) &= m(\alpha x + \beta y) + b = (m\alpha)x + (m\beta)y + b \\ &= \alpha(mx) + \beta(my) + b \dots\dots \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Now, } \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y) = \alpha(mx + b) + \beta(my + b)$$

$$= \alpha mx + \beta my + (\alpha + \beta)b \dots\dots \quad (2)$$

Let $\alpha = 1, \beta = 2$, we get $1 \neq 2$

Example(1.47):- Let $T: C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $T(f) = \int_a^b f(x)dx$

Show that T is linear functional.

Solution : Let $f, g \in C[a, b], \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. To prove that

$$T(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha T(f) + \beta T(g)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha f + \beta g) &= \int_a^b (\alpha f + \beta g)(x) dx \\ &= \int_a^b \alpha f(x) dx + \int_a^b \beta g(x) dx \\ &= \alpha \int_a^b f(x) dx + \beta \int_a^b g(x) dx = \alpha T(f) + \beta T(g). \end{aligned}$$

Example(1.48):- Show that $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_2 + 1) \text{ is not linear operator}$$

Solution : $T(0) = T((0,0)) = (0,1) \neq (0,0) \Rightarrow T$ not linear functional.

Exercise 1.49

- (1) If $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t $T(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$. Show that T is not linear functional.
- (2) If $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2, 0)$. Is T linear transformation ?
- (3) If $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t $T(x_1, x_2) = (\alpha x_1, x_2), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Is T linear transformation ?
- (4) If $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ s.t $T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_2, x_1 - x_2, 0)$. Is T linear transformation ?

Some Theorems About Linear operators

Theorem(1.50):- Let L, L', L'' are linear spaces over the same field F such that $T: L \rightarrow L'$ and $g: L' \rightarrow L''$ linear operators then

$$(1) \quad T(0_L) = 0_{L'} \text{ and } g(0_{L'}) = 0_{L''}$$

$$(2) \quad T(x - y) = T(x) - T(y)$$

$$(3) \quad goT \text{ is linear operator .}$$

Theorem(1.51):- Let L, L' are linear spaces over the same field F and $T_1, T_2: L \rightarrow L'$ are two linear operators. Define $+$ and $\cdot$ as follows:

$$(T_1 + T_2)(x) = T_1(x) + T_2(x), \forall x \in L$$

$$(\lambda T_1)(x) = \lambda \cdot T_1(x), \quad \forall x \in L, \quad \lambda \in F$$

Then, $T_1 + T_2$ and αT_1 are linear operators

Proof :- Let $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha, \beta \in F$ then

$$(1) (T_1 + T_2)(\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y) = T_1(\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y) + T_2(\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y) \\ = \alpha \cdot T_1(x) + \beta \cdot T_1(y) + \alpha \cdot T_2(x) + \beta \cdot T_2(y)$$

$$= \alpha \cdot (T_1(x) + T_2(x)) + \beta \cdot (T_1(y) + T_2(y))$$

$$= \alpha \cdot (T_1 + T_2)(x) + \beta \cdot (T_1 + T_2)(y)$$

$$(2) \lambda T_1(\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y) = \lambda \cdot [\alpha \cdot T_1(x) + \beta \cdot T_1(y)] \\ = (\lambda \alpha) \cdot T_1(x) + (\lambda \beta) \cdot T_1(y) \\ = \alpha \cdot (\lambda \cdot T_1(x)) + \beta \cdot (\lambda \cdot T_1(y)) \\ = \alpha \cdot (\lambda T_1)(x) + \beta \cdot (\lambda T_1)(y).$$

Chapter 2

Normed Linear Space

Definition 2.1.

Let $L(F)$ be a linear space over a field F . A mapping $\| \cdot \| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called **norm** if the following conditions hold

$$(1) \quad \|x\| \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L. \quad (\text{Positivity})$$

$$(2) \quad \|x\| = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = \mathbf{0}_L.$$

$$(3) \quad \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in L. \quad (\text{Triangle Inequality})$$

$$(4) \quad \|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\| \quad \forall x \in L, \forall \alpha \in F.$$

$(L, \| \cdot \|)$ is called **normed linear space**.

Remark 2.2.

From now on, the field F is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.3.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed linear space. Then, for each $x, y \in L$

$$(1) \quad \|\mathbf{0}_L\| = 0.$$

$$(2) \quad \|x\| = \|-x\|.$$

$$(3) \quad \|x - y\| = \|y - x\|.$$

$$(4) \quad | \|x\| - \|y\| | \leq \|x - y\|. \quad (\text{Reverse Triangle Inequality})$$

$$(5) \quad | \|x\| - \|y\| | \leq \|x + y\|. \quad (\text{Reverse Triangle Inequality})$$

(6) Every subspace of a normed space is itself normed space with respect to the same norm.

Proof. (1) $\|\mathbf{0}_L\| = \|0\mathbf{0}_L\|$ (see Theorem (1.3)(1))

$$= 0 \|\mathbf{0}_L\| = 0.$$

$$(2) \quad \|-x\| = |-1| \|x\| = \|x\| \quad \forall x \in L.$$

$$(3) \quad \|x - y\| = \|(y - x)\| = \|y - x\| \quad (\text{by part (2)}).$$

$$(4) \quad \text{We must prove } -\|x - y\| \leq \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

$$\|x\| = \|x - y + y\| \leq \|x - y\| + \|y\| \quad (\text{by Definition 2.1(3)}).$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \|y\| = \|y - x + x\| \leq \|y - x\| + \|x\| \quad (\text{by Definition 2.1(3)}).$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|y\| - \|x\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad (\text{II})$$

$$\text{Hence, by (I) and (II), we get } \|x - y\| \geq |\|x\| - \|y\|| \quad \forall x, y \in L.$$

$$(5) \quad \text{We must prove } -\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x + y\|$$

$$\|x\| = \|x + y - y\| \leq \|x + y\| + \|-y\| \quad (\text{by Definition 2.1(3)}).$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x + y\| \quad (\text{III})$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \|y\| = \|y + x - x\| \leq \|y + x\| + \|-x\| \quad (\text{by Definition 2.1(3)}).$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|y\| - \|x\| \leq \|x + y\|$$

$$\|x\| - \|y\| \geq -\|x + y\| \quad (\text{IV})$$

$$\text{Hence, by (III) and (IV), we get } -\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x + y\|$$

$$\forall x, y \in L.$$

□

2.1 Examples of Normed Linear Space

Example 2.4.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}$ be a linear space over $\mathbb{R}$ with $\| \cdot \| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|x\| = |x|$.

Show that $(\mathbb{R}, \| \cdot \|)$ is a normed space.

Solution: We show that

$$(1) \|x\| = |x| \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}; \text{ hence } \|x\| \geq 0.$$

$$(2) \text{ Let } x \in \mathbb{R}, \|x\| = 0 \iff |x| = 0 \iff x = 0.$$

$$(3) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha x| = |\alpha| |x| = |\alpha| \|x\|.$$

$$(4) \|x + y\| = |x + y| \leq |x| + |y| = \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Example 2.5.

Let $L = C$ be a complex linear space over C with $\| \cdot \| : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$\|z\| = |z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \quad \forall z = a + ib$. Show that $(C, \| \cdot \|)$ is a normed space.

Solution: We show that

$$(1) \|z\| = |z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \geq 0 \quad \forall z = a + ib \in C; \text{ hence } \|z\| \geq 0.$$

$$(2) \text{ Let } z = a + ib \in C$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\| = |z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = 0 &\iff a^2 + b^2 = 0 \iff a^2 = b^2 = 0 \iff a = \\ b = 0 &\iff z = 0 + 0i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3) \text{ Let } z, w \in C$$

$$\|z + w\|^2 = |z + w|^2 = (z + w)(\overline{z + w}) \quad (|z|^2 = z\bar{z})$$

$$= (z + w)(\bar{z} + \bar{w})$$

$$= z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} + w\bar{z} + \bar{w}z$$

$$= z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} + \underbrace{w\bar{z} + \bar{w}z}_{(\overline{wz} = \overline{\bar{w}z} = \overline{w\bar{z}})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} + 2\operatorname{Re} w\bar{z} \quad (z + \bar{z} = 2\operatorname{Re} z) \\
&\leq z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} + 2|w||z| \quad (\operatorname{Re} w\bar{z} \leq |w||z|) \\
&= |z|^2 + |w|^2 + 2|w||z| = \|z\|^2 + \|w\|^2 + 2\|w\|\|z\| \\
&= (\|z\| + \|w\|)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|z + w\|^2 \leq (\|z\| + \|w\|)^2$, hence, $\|z + w\| \leq \|z\| + \|w\|$.

(4) Let $z \in C, \alpha \in C, \|\alpha z\| = |\alpha z| = |\alpha(a + ib)|$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sqrt{(\alpha a)^2 + (\alpha b)^2} = \sqrt{\alpha^2(a^2 + b^2)} \\
&= \sqrt{\alpha^2} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = |\alpha| |z| = |\alpha| \|z\|.
\end{aligned}$$

As an application to Example 2.5: Let $z = 2 + 3i, w = 1 - i$, then

$$\|z + w\| = \|(2 + 1) + (3i - i)\| = \|3 + 2i\| = \sqrt{3^2 + 2^2} = \sqrt{13}.$$

$$\|5z\| = \|10 + 15i\| = \sqrt{10^2 + 15^2} = \sqrt{325} = 5\sqrt{13}.$$

$$5\|z\| = 5\sqrt{2^2 + 3^2} = 5\sqrt{13}.$$

Example 2.6.

Show that the linear space $C^b(\mathbb{R})$ is a normed space under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \forall f \in C^b(\mathbb{R}).$$

(1) Since $|f(x)| \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\|f\| = \sup |f(x)| \geq 0$. Hence, $\|f\| \geq 0$.

(2) $\|f\| = 0 \iff \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = 0$

$$\iff |f(x)| = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\iff f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \iff f = \hat{0} \text{ (zero mapping)}$$

(3) Let $f, g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\|f + g\| = \sup\{|f(x) + g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\leq \sup\{|f(x)| + |g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\leq \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\} + \sup\{|g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = \|f\| + \|g\|.$$

Hence, $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$.

(4) Let $f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\|\alpha f\| = \sup\{|(\alpha f)(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$= \sup\{|\alpha| |f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$= |\alpha| \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \text{ (By Theorem 2.7 below where } A = |f(x)|$$

and $\beta = |\alpha|$)

$$= |\alpha| \|f\|.$$

Theorem 2.7.

If A is a bounded above set and $\beta > 0$, then βA is bounded above and $\sup(\beta A) = \beta \sup(A)$.

As an application to Example 2.6: Let $f, g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x) =$

$\sin(x)$ and $g(x) = 2\cos(x) + 1$. Hence,

$$\|f\| = \sup\{|\sin(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = 1 \quad (\text{since } |\sin(x)| \leq 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

$$\|g\| = \sup\{|2\cos(x) + 1| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

$$\text{But } |2\cos(x) + 1| \leq 2|\cos(x)| + 1$$

$$\leq 2(1) + 1 = 3. \quad (\text{since } |\cos(x)| \leq 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

So, $\|g\| = 3$.

Example 2.8.

The linear space $C^b[a, b]$ of all real valued continuous functions on $[a, b]$ is a normed space under the norm defined in Example 2.6. **(H.W.)**

Example 2.9.

The linear space $C[0, 1]$ of all real valued continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ is a normed space with the norm defined as

$$\|f\| = \int_0^1 |f(x)| \, dx \quad \forall f \in C[0, 1].$$

solution: (1) Since $|f(x)| \geq 0, \forall x \in [0, 1]$, then $\int_0^1 |f(x)| \, dx \geq 0$. Thus,

$$\|f\| \geq 0.$$

$$(2) \|f\| = 0 \iff \int_0^1 |f(x)| \, dx = 0$$

$$\iff |f(x)| = 0 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

$$\iff f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

$$\iff f = \hat{0} \text{ (zero mapping).}$$

(3) Let $f, g \in C[0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\| &= \int_0^1 |f(x) + g(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (|f(x)| + |g(x)|) \, dx \\ &= \int_0^1 |f(x)| \, dx + \int_0^1 |g(x)| \, dx = \|f\| + \|g\| \end{aligned}$$

(4) Let $f \in C[0, 1], \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\|\alpha f\| = \int_0^1 |\alpha f(x)| \, dx = \int_0^1 |\alpha| |f(x)| \, dx = |\alpha| \int_0^1 |f(x)| \, dx = |\alpha| \|f\|.$$

As an application to Example 2.9: Let $f \in C[0, 1]$ such that $f(x) =$

x^3 and $g(x) = -x^2$. Find $\|f\|$, $\|g\|$ and $\|f + g\|$.

$$\|f\| = \int_0^1 |f(x)| \, dx = \int_0^1 |x^3| \, dx = \int_0^1 x^3 \, dx = \frac{1}{4}.$$

$$\|g\| = \int_0^1 |g(x)| \, dx = \int_0^1 |-x^2| \, dx = \int_0^1 x^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{3}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\| &= \int_0^1 |(f + g)(x)| \, dx = \int_0^1 \left| \underbrace{x^3 - x^2}_{\leq 0} \right| \, dx \\ &= \int_0^1 (x^2 - x^3) \, dx = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.10.

Consider the linear space F^n over F ($F = \mathbb{R}$ or C). Define $\| \cdot \| : F^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\|x\| = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} \quad \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$. Then $(F^n, \| \cdot \|)$ is a normed space.

solution: (1) For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$, $|x_i| \geq 0$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$.

Then $\max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} \geq 0$, then $\|x\| \geq 0$.

(2) $\|x\| = 0$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$

$$\iff \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} = 0$$

$$\iff |x_1| = \dots = |x_n| = 0 \iff x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$$

$$\iff x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (0, \dots, 0) = \mathbf{0}_{F^n}$$

(3) Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in F^n$

$$x + y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n)$$

$$\|x + y\| = \max\{|x_1 + y_1|, \dots, |x_n + y_n|\}$$

$$\leq \max\{|x_1| + |y_1|, \dots, |x_n| + |y_n|\}$$

$$\leq \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} + \max\{|y_1|, \dots, |y_n|\} = \|x\| + \|y\|$$

(4) Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$ and $\alpha \in F$

$$\|\alpha x\| = \max\{|\alpha x_1|, \dots, |\alpha x_n|\}$$

$$= \max\{|\alpha| |x_1|, \dots, |\alpha| |x_n|\} = |\alpha| \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} = |\alpha| \|x\|$$

As an application to Example 2.10: Consider the linear space $\mathbb{R}^3$ over

$\mathbb{R}$. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 2, -5), y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (0, -7, 3)$. Then ,

$$(1) \|x\| = \max\{|1|, |2|, |-5|\} = 5 \text{ and}$$

$$\|y\| = \max\{|0|, |-7|, |3|\} = 7.$$

$$\|x + 2y\| = \max\{|1|, |-12|, |11|\} = 12$$

(2) Find $\|2x - y\|$, $\|2x + 3y\|$, $\|3x\|$

(3) Show that

$$\max\{|x_1| + |y_1|, |x_2| + |y_2|, |x_3| + |y_3|\} \leq \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|\} + \max\{|y_1|, |y_2|, |y_3|\}.$$

Exercise 2.11.

(1) Let $L = C^2$ be a linear space over $F = C$. Define $\| \cdot \| : C^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|x\| = a|x_1| + b|x_2|$, $\forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in C^2$ and $a, b > 0$. Show that $\| \cdot \|$ is a norm on C^2 . (**H.W.**)

(2) Consider the linear space $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\|x\| = \min\{|x_1|, |x_2|\}$, $\forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Show that $\| \cdot \|$ is not a norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

solution: Let $x = (0, -3) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\|x\| = \min\{|0|, |-3|\} = \min\{0, 3\} = 0$$

Since $x \neq \mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, but $\|x\| = 0$. Condition (2) of the definition of the norm is not valid. Hence, $\| \cdot \|$ is not a norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

(3) Consider the linear space $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\|x\| = |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2$, $\forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Show that $\| \cdot \|$ does not satisfies condition (4).

solution: Let $x = (1, 3), \alpha = 2$

$$|\alpha| \|x\| = 2(|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2) = 2(1^2 + 3^2) = 20$$

$$\|\alpha x\| = \|2(1, 3)\| = \|(2, 6)\| = 2^2 + 6^2 = 40$$

Thus, $|\alpha| \|x\| = 20 \neq \|\alpha x\| = 40$.

Some Important Inequalities

To give more examples about normed space, it is important to present some inequalities.

If $l^p = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_i \in \mathbb{R}(\text{or } C) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p < \infty\}$ be a set of sequence space (see Example 1.6). Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in l^p$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in l^q$. Then

(1) Holder's Inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i y_i| \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $p > 1, q > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

(2) Cauchy Schwarz's Inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i y_i| \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

Note that Cauchy Schwarz's inequality is a special case of Holder's inequality where $p = q = 2$.

(3) Minkowski's Inequality

If $p \geq 1$

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

Remark 2.12.

The three inequalities above hold for the linear spaces $L = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $L = C^n$.

Example 2.13.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}^2$ be a linear space over $\mathbb{R}$. If $x = (-1, 2), y = (0, 5) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

(1) Verify Cauchy Schwarz inequality ($p = q = 2$).

(2) Verify Minkowski's inequality ($p = 3$).

Now we can give the following examples

Example 2.14.

(1) Show that the linear space $\mathbb{R}^n$ over $\mathbb{R}$ (or C^n over $\mathbb{C}$) is a normed space

with $\|x\|_2 = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ or } C^n, x = (x_1, \dots, x_n).$

(2) Show that the linear space $\mathbb{R}^n$ over $\mathbb{R}$ (or C^n over $\mathbb{C}$) is a normed

space with $\|x\|_p = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ or } C^n, x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and

$1 \leq p < +\infty$. (**H.W.**)

(3) Show that $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a normed space where $\|x\|_p = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall x =$

$(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in l^p$ and $1 \leq p < +\infty$.

Solution (1): Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (or C^n) and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$).

(1) Since $|x_i| \geq 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, $\left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$; that is $\|x\|_2 \geq 0$.

$$(2) \|x\|_2 = 0 \iff \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \iff \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 = 0$$

$$\iff |x_i|^2 = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\iff x_i = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\iff x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$(3) \|x + y\|_2 = \|(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)\|_2$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{Minkowski's Inequality})$$

$$= \|x\|_2 + \|y\|_2$$

$$(4) \|\alpha x\|_2 = \|(\alpha x_1, \dots, \alpha x_n)\|_2 = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha|^2 |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= |\alpha| \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\alpha| \|x\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Solution (3): Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in l^p$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (or C).

(1) Since $|x_i| \geq 0, \forall i \in N$. Then, $\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq 0$; that is $\|x\|_p \geq 0$.

$$(2) \|x\|_p = 0 \iff \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0 \iff \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p = 0$$

$$\iff |x_i|^p = 0, \forall i \in N$$

$$\iff x_i = 0, \forall i \in N$$

$$\iff x = (0, 0, \dots)$$

$$(3) \|x + y\|_p = \|(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)\|_p$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (\text{Minkowski's}$$

Inequality)

$$= \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p$$

$$(4) \|\alpha x\|_p = \|(\alpha x_1, \dots)\|_p = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha|^p |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= |\alpha| \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = |\alpha| \|x\|_p$$

As an application to Example 2.14(1):

(1) Let $(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|_2)$ be a normed space and $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, -2, 4)$.

Then, find $\|x\|_2$.

(2) Let $(C^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ be a normed space and $x = (x_1, x_2) = (1 + i, -2i)$.

Then, find $\|x\|_2$.

2.2 Product of Normed Spaces

Definition 2.15.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|_L), (L', \| \cdot \|_{L'})$ be normed linear spaces over a field F . Let $L \times L' = \{(x, y) : x \in L, y \in L'\}$ be the Cartesian product of L and L' .

Define $+$ on $L \times L'$ by

$$(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (\underbrace{x_1 + x_2}_{\text{sum on } L}, \underbrace{y_1 + y_2}_{\text{sum on } L'}), \quad \forall (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) \in L \times L'.$$

Define a scalar multiplication

$$\alpha.(x, y) = (\alpha x, \alpha y), \quad \forall (x, y) \in L \times L', \forall \alpha \in F.$$

Proposition 2.16.

Show that $(L \times L', +, \cdot)$ is a linear space over F . (**H. W.**)

Remark 2.17.

The product linear space defined above can be made a normed space by different ways as we show in the following example.

Example 2.18.

Define $\| \cdot \| : L \times L' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \|(x, y)\|_1 = \|x\|_L + \|y\|_{L'}$$

$$(2) \quad \|(x, y)\|_2 = \max\{\|x\|_L, \|y\|_{L'}\}$$

$$(3) \quad \|(x, y)\|_3 = \min\{\|x\|_L, \|y\|_{L'}\} \quad (\text{H. W.})$$

Show that $(L \times L', \| \cdot \|_1), (L \times L', \| \cdot \|_2)$ are normed spaces.

Is $(L \times L', \| \cdot \|_3)$ is normed space?

Solution (1): To show $(L \times L', \| \cdot \|_1)$ is a normed space,

(i) Since $\|x\|_L \geq 0$ and $\|y\|_{L'} \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L, \forall y \in L'$, then

$$\|x\|_L + \|y\|_{L'} = \|(x, y)\|_1 \geq 0.$$

(ii) $\|(x, y)\|_1 = 0 \iff \|x\|_L + \|y\|_{L'} = 0$

$$\iff \|x\|_L = \|y\|_{L'} = 0$$

$$\iff x = y = 0 \quad ((L, \|\cdot\|_L), (L', \|\cdot\|_{L'})) \text{ are normed spaces}$$

$$\iff (x, y) = (0, 0)$$

(iii) For each $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in L \times L'$

$$\|(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)\|_1 = \|(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)\|_1$$

$$= \|x_1 + x_2\|_L + \|y_1 + y_2\|_{L'}$$

$$\leq \|x_1\|_L + \|x_2\|_L + \|y_1\|_{L'} + \|y_2\|_{L'}$$

$$= (\|x_1\|_L + \|y_1\|_{L'}) + (\|x_2\|_L + \|y_2\|_{L'})$$

$$= \|(x_1, y_1)\|_1 + \|(x_2, y_2)\|_1$$

(iv) For each $(x, y) \in L \times L'$ and for each $\alpha \in F$

$$\|\alpha(x, y)\|_1 = \|(\alpha x, \alpha y)\|_1 = \|\alpha x\|_L + \|\alpha y\|_{L'}$$

$$= |\alpha| \|x\|_L + |\alpha| \|y\|_{L'} = |\alpha| (\|x\|_L + \|y\|_{L'}) = |\alpha| \|(x, y)\|_1$$

Solution (2): Now, we show that $\|(x, y)\|_2 = \max\{\|x\|_L, \|y\|_{L'}\}$ is a norm on $L \times L'$

(i) Since $\|x\|_L \geq 0$ and $\|y\|_{L'} \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L, \forall y \in L'$, then

$$\max\{\|x\|_L, \|y\|_{L'}\} = \|(x, y)\|_2 \geq 0.$$

(ii) $\|(x, y)\|_2 = 0 \iff \max\{\|x\|_L, \|y\|_{L'}\} = 0$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \|x\|_L = \|y\|_{L'} = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow x = y = 0 \quad ((L, \| \cdot \|_L), (L', \| \cdot \|_{L'})) \text{ are normed spaces}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow (x, y) = (0, 0)$$

(iii) For each $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in L \times L'$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)\|_2 &= \|(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)\|_2 \\ &= \max\{\|x_1 + x_2\|_L, \|y_1 + y_2\|_{L'}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_1\|_L + \|x_2\|_L, \|y_1\|_{L'} + \|y_2\|_{L'}\} \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_1\|_L, \|y_1\|_{L'}\} + \max\{\|x_2\|_L, \|y_2\|_{L'}\} \\ &= \|(x_1, y_1)\|_2 + \|(x_2, y_2)\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

(iv) For each $(x, y) \in L \times L'$ and for each $\alpha \in F$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha(x, y)\|_2 &= \|(\alpha x, \alpha y)\|_2 = \max\{\|\alpha x\|_L, \|\alpha y\|_{L'}\} \\ &= \max\{|\alpha| \|x\|_L, |\alpha| \|y\|_{L'}\} \\ &= |\alpha| \max\{\|x\|_L, \|y\|_{L'}\} = |\alpha| \|(x, y)\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

As an application to Example 2.18: Let $L = (\mathbb{R}, | \cdot |)$ and $L' = (\mathbb{R}^2, \| \cdot \|_2)$

where $\|x\|_2 = [\sum_{i=1}^2 |x_i|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If $x = 3 \in L = \mathbb{R}$ and $y = (1, -2) \in L' = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Find $\|(x, y)\|_1$ and $\|(x, y)\|_2$

Solution: $\|(x, y)\|_1 = \|(3, (1, -2))\|_1 = \|3\|_{\mathbb{R}} + \|(1, -2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= |3| + [\sum_{i=1}^2 |y_i|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 3 + [1^2 + (-2)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 3 + \sqrt{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Find $\|(x, y)\|_2$ (**H.W.**)

2.3 Normed space and Metric space

Definition 2.19.

Let X be a non empty set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping. Then d is called metric if

- (1) $d(x, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in X$
- (2) $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y \quad \forall x, y \in X$
- (3) $d(x, y) = d(y, x) \quad \forall x, y \in X$
- (4) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$

(X, d) is called **metric space**

Theorem 2.20.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed linear space. Let $d : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in L$. Prove that (L, d) is a metric space. (i.e., every normed space is a metric space). The metric d is called **metric induced** by the norm.

Proof. To prove (L, d) is a metric space.

(i) By definition of norm, $\|x - y\| \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in L$. Hence, $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| \geq 0$

(ii) $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = \|y - x\| = d(y, x)$

(iii) $d(x, y) = 0 \iff \|x - y\| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$

(iv) $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = \|x - z + z - y\| \leq \|x - z\| + \|z - y\| = d(x, z) + d(y, z)$ □

Lemma 2.21.

Let d be a metric induced by a normed space $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ (i.e., $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$). Then d satisfies the following:

$$(i) \quad d(x + a, y + a) = d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y, a \in L.$$

$$(ii) \quad d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = |\alpha| d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in L, \forall \alpha \in F.$$

Proof. (1) $d(x + a, y + a) = \|x + a - (y + a)\| = d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y, a \in L$

$$(2) \quad d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = \|\alpha x - \alpha y\| = \|\alpha(x - y)\| = |\alpha| \|x - y\| = |\alpha| d(x, y). \quad \square$$

Remark 2.22.

Not every metric space is a normed space as we show in the next example

Example 2.23.

Let d be the discrete metric on a space X . Then d can't be obtained from a norm on L (i.e., $(L, \| \cdot \|)$), where

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Solution: Suppose d induced by a norm on L . Then, by previous Lemma,

$$d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = |\alpha| d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \forall \alpha \in F.$$

Let $x, y \in L$ such that $x \neq y$. Then $\alpha x \neq \alpha y$ such that $d(x, y) = 1, d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = 1$ (1)

$$\text{But } |\alpha| d(x, y) = |\alpha| \quad (2)$$

Hence, $d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = 1 \neq |\alpha| = |\alpha| d(x, y)$ for any $\alpha \neq \pm 1$. Thus, d can not be induced by a normed space.

Example 2.24.

Let $d(x, y) = |x| + |y| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, d is a metric on $\mathbb{R}$ (check!).

However, d is not induced by a normed space. To show this, let $x = 1, y = 3, a = 2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$d(x, y) = d(1, 3) = |1| + |3| = 4$$

$$\text{On the other hand, } d(x + a, y + a) = d(3, 5) = |3| + |5| = 8$$

Thus, $d(x, y) \neq d(x + a, y + a)$. By Lemma 2.21, d is not induced by a norm.

2.4 Generalizations of Some Concepts from Metric Space

In what follow, we give generalizations of some known concepts from metric space such as open (closed) ball, open (closed) set, interior set, closure of a set, convergent sequence, Cauchy sequence, and bounded sequence.

Definition 2.25.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed linear space. Let $x_0 \in L, r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0$. Then the set

$$B_r(x_0) = \{x \in L : \|x - x_0\| < r\}$$

is called an **open ball** with center x_0 and radius r . Similarly,

$$\bar{B}_r(x_0) = \{x \in L : \|x - x_0\| \leq r\}$$

is called an **closed ball** with center x_0 and radius r .

Definition 2.26.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space and $A \subseteq L$. Then A is said to be

- **open set** if $\forall x \in A, \exists r > 0$ such that $B_r(x) \subseteq A$.
- **closed set** if $A^c = L \setminus A$ is open set

Remark 2.27.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space. Then

- (1) L, ϕ are closed and open.
- (2) The union of any family of open sets is open
- (3) The union of finite family of closed sets is closed
- (4) The intersection of finite family of open sets is open
- (5) The intersection of any family of closed sets is closed.

Theorem 2.28.

Any finite subset of a normed space is closed.

Proof. Let L be a normed space and $A \subseteq L$.

If $A = \phi$, then A is closed (by Remark 2.27(1))

If $A = \{x\}$ to prove A is closed (i.e., to prove $L \setminus A$ is open)

Let $y \in L \setminus A = L \setminus \{x\}$ so that $y \neq x$. Put $\|x - y\| = r > 0$. Thus, $x \notin B_r(y)$ and hence $B_r(y) \subseteq A^c = L \setminus \{x\}$. Thus, A^c is open and thus A is closed.

If $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n > 1$ then $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\}$. By Remark 2.27(3),

A is closed □

Exercise 2.29.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space. Prove that

- (i) The set $A_1 = \{x \in L : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ is closed
- (ii) The set $A_2 = \{x \in L : \|x\| < 1\}$ is open
- (iii) The set $C = \{x \in L : \|x\| = 1\}$ is closed

Solution:

(i) $A_1 = \{x \in L : \|x\| \leq 1\} = \overline{B_1(0)}.$

So, A_1 is a closed set (by Definition 2.25)

(ii) $A_2 = \{x \in L : \|x\| < 1\} = B_1(0).$

So, A_2 is an open set (by Definition 2.25)

(iii) $C = \{x \in L : \|x\| = 1\}$

$$L \setminus C = \{x \in L : \|x\| < 1\} \cup \{x \in L : \|x\| > 1\}$$

Let $C_1 = \{x \in L : \|x\| < 1\}$ is open set

Let $C_2 = \{x \in L : \|x\| > 1\}$

So, $L \setminus C_2 = \{x \in L : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ which is closed set. Hence, C_2 is an open set.

Thus, $L \setminus C = C_1 \cup C_2$ is an open set (by Remark 2.27(2)).

Definition 2.30.

Let L be a normed space and $A \subseteq L$. A point $x \in L$ is called **limit point** of A if for each open set G containing x , we have $(G \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$.

The set of all limit points of A is denoted by A' and is called **derived set**.

The closure of A is denoted by $\overline{A}$ and is defined as $\overline{A} = A \cup A'$.

Proposition 2.31.

Let L be a normed linear space and $A \subseteq L$. Then $x \in \overline{A}$ if and only if $\forall r > 0, \exists y \in A, \|x - y\| < r$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let $x \in \overline{A} = A \cup A'$

If $x \in A'$ then for each open set G , $x \in G$, $(G \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$.

Since $B_r(x)$ is an open set then $\forall r > 0$, we have $B_r(x) \cap A \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$. Thus,

$$\exists y \in B_r(x) \cap A, y \neq x \implies \|y - x\| < r \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\text{If } x \in A \text{ then } \exists y = x \text{ such that } \|y - x\| = 0 < r \quad (\text{II})$$

From (I) and (II), we get the required result.

($\Leftarrow$) If for each $r > 0, \exists y \in A$ such that $\|y - x\| < r$; that is $\forall r > 0, \exists y \in A, y \in B_r(x)$

$\implies \forall r > 0, (B_r(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi \implies x \in A'$. Thus, $x \in \overline{A}$. $\square$

2.5 Convergence in Normed Space

Definition 2.32.

Let $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a sequence in a normed space $(L, \| \cdot \|)$. Then $\langle x_n \rangle$ is said to be **convergent** in L if $\exists x \in L$ such that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\|x_n - x\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n > k$$

We write $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) = x$; that is

$$\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0 \iff x_n \rightarrow x.$$

$\langle x_n \rangle$ is **divergent** if it is not convergent.

Theorem 2.33.

If $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a convergent sequence in $(L, \| \cdot \|)$, then its limit is unique. i.e.,

If $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$ and $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow y$ then $x = y$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$ and $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow y$, then $\exists k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n > k_1 \text{ and } \|x_n - y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n > k_2$$

Let $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$, so $\forall n > k$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\| &= \|x_n - y - x_n + x\| = \|(x_n - y) - (x_n - x)\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - y\| + \|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\implies \|x - y\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$. Thus, $\|x - y\| = 0$, so $x = y$. $\square$

Theorem 2.34.

Let $A \subseteq L$ where L is a normed space, let $x \in L$. Then

$x \in \overline{A} \iff \exists \langle x_n \rangle$ a sequence in A such that $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let $x \in \overline{A} = A \cup A'$

If $x \in A$ then the sequence $\langle x, x, x, \dots \rangle \rightarrow x$ (I)

If $x \notin A$, i.e., $x \in A'$ then for each open set G , $x \in G$, $(G \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$.

Since $B_r(x)$ is an open set then $\forall r > 0$, we have $B_r(x) \cap A \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$. Set

$0 < r = \frac{1}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $(B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$

Let $x_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap A$, s.t $x_n \neq x$, hence, $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (*)

Thus, $\exists \langle x_n \rangle \in A$ such that $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

To show $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$; that is $\|x_n - x\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ so by Archimedian theorem $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\frac{1}{k} < \epsilon$

Hence, $\forall n > k, \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{k} < \epsilon$

From (*), $\forall n > k, \|x_n - x\| < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{k} < \epsilon$. Thus, $x_n \rightarrow x$ (II)

From (I) and (II), we get the required result.

($\Leftarrow$) If $\exists \langle x_n \rangle$ a sequence in A such that $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$. To prove $x \in \overline{A} = A \cup A'$

If $x \in A$ then $x \in \overline{A}$

If $x \notin A$. Let G be an open set in L such that $x \in G$. Then $\exists r > 0$ such that $B_r(x) \subseteq G$. Since $r > 0$ and $x_n \rightarrow x, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\|x_n - x\| < r, \forall n > k$.

This implies, $x_n \in B_r(x) \forall n > k$ and since $x_n \in A \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $(B_r(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$. Since $B_r(x) \subseteq G$, then $(G \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$. So, $x \in A'$, and therefore $x \in \overline{A}$. $\square$

Theorem 2.35.

Let $\langle x_n \rangle, \langle y_n \rangle$ be two sequences in normed space $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$. Then

$$(1) \quad \langle x_n \rangle \pm \langle y_n \rangle \rightarrow x \pm y$$

$$(2) \quad \lambda \langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow \lambda x \quad \text{for any scalar } \lambda$$

$$(3) \quad \|\langle x_n \rangle\| \rightarrow \|x\|$$

Proof. (1) Since $x_n \rightarrow x$, then

for each $\epsilon > 0, \exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall n > k_1$

Also since $y_n \rightarrow y$, then

for each $\epsilon > 0, \exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\|y_n - y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall n > k_2$

Let $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$. Then, for each $n > k$

$$\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ and } \|y_n - y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (\mathbf{I})$$

Now, for each $n > k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x_n + y_n) - (x + y)\| &= \|(x_n - x) + (y_n - y)\| \leq \|x_n - x\| + \|y_n - y\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \quad (\text{from } (\mathbf{I})) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x_n + y_n \rightarrow x + y$ as required.

(2) Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $x_n \rightarrow x, \exists k \in Z_+$ s.t $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{|\lambda|}, \quad \forall n > k$ (II)

$$\text{But } \underbrace{\|\lambda x_n - \lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x_n - x\|}_{\text{using (II)}} < \frac{\epsilon}{|\lambda|} |\lambda| = \epsilon$$

Thus, $\lambda \langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow \lambda x$

(3) Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $x_n \rightarrow x, \exists k \in Z_+$ s.t $\|x_n - x\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n > k$ (III)

$$\text{But } \underbrace{\|\|x_n\| - \|x\|\|}_{\text{using (III)}} \leq \|x_n - x\| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k. \text{ Hence, } \|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|. \quad \square$$

Definition 2.36.

Let $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a sequence in a normed space $(L, \|\cdot\|)$. Then $\langle x_n \rangle$ is said to be **Cauchy sequence** if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in Z_+$ s.t $\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n, m > k$.

Theorem 2.37.

Every convergent sequence in a normed space $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Let $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a convergent sequence in L . Then $\exists x \in L$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and so $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in Z_+$ such that $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n > k$ (I)

Now, for $n, m > k$,

$$\|x_n - x_m\| = \|(x_n - x) + (x - x_m)\| \leq \underbrace{\|x_n - x\| + \|x_m - x\|}_{\text{using (I)}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Thus, $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence. $\square$

Definition 2.38.

Let $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a sequence in a normed space $(L, \|\cdot\|)$. Then $\langle x_n \rangle$ is said to be **bounded sequence** if $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}, k > 0$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq k, \quad \forall n \in Z_+$.

Theorem 2.39.

Every Cauchy sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in a normed space $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is bounded.

Proof. Let $\epsilon = 1$. Since $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\exists k \in Z_+$ such that $\|x_n - x_m\| < 1, \quad \forall n, m > k$. Hence, $\|x_n - x_{k+1}\| < 1, \quad \forall n > k$ (by

considering $m = k + 1$ (I)

By Theorem 2.3(4), we have $|\|x_n\| - \|x_{k+1}\|| \leq \underbrace{\|x_n - x_{k+1}\|}_{\text{using (I)}} < 1 \quad \forall n > k$

Thus, $\|x_n\| - \|x_{k+1}\| < 1 \quad \forall n > k$

Then, $\|x_n\| < 1 + \|x_{k+1}\| \quad \forall n > k$

Let $M = \max\{\|x_1\|, \|x_2\|, \dots, \|x_k\|, 1 + \|x_{k+1}\|\}$

Hence, $\|x_n\| \leq M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. So, $\langle x_n \rangle$ is bounded. $\square$

Corollary 2.40.

Every convergent sequence in a normed space $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is bounded.

Proof. From Theorem 2.37, Every convergent sequence in a normed space $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is Cauchy, and from Theorem 2.39, every Cauchy sequence in a normed space $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is bounded. $\square$

2.6 Convexity in Normed Linear Space

Definition 2.41. (*revisit*)

A subset A of a linear space L is said to be **convex** if $\forall x, y \in A, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ then $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A$.

Example 2.42.

Let $A = (1, 3) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Is A convex set?

Solution: Let $x, y \in A, \lambda \in [0, 1]$

Since $1 < x < 3 \implies 1\lambda < \lambda x < 3\lambda$ (I)

Since $1 < y < 3 \implies 1(1 - \lambda) < (1 - \lambda)y < 3(1 - \lambda)$ (II)

By summing up (I) and (II)

$$\lambda + (1 - \lambda) < \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y < 3\lambda + 3(1 - \lambda)$$

$$1 < \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y << 3$$

Thus, $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A$. Hence, A is convex set.

Proposition 2.43.

Let L linear space. Then

- (1) Every subspace of L is convex
- (2) If $A, B \subset L$ are convex sets then $A \cap B$ is convex (**H.W.**)
- (3) If $A, B \subset L$ are convex sets then $A + B$ is convex

Proof. (1) Let L be a linear space over a field $F = \mathbb{R}$ or C , let A be a subspace of L . Hence, by Theorem 1.13, $\forall x, y \in A$ and $\forall \alpha, \beta \in F$ we have $\alpha x + \beta y \in A$.

Take $\alpha = \lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $\beta = 1 - \lambda$. Hence, $\alpha x + \beta y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A$. Thus, A is a convex set.

(3) Let $a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2 \in A + B$, then $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$.

To prove $\lambda(a_1 + b_1) + (1 - \lambda)(a_2 + b_2) \in A + B$, $\forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Since A convex and $a_1, a_2 \in A \implies \lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda)a_2 \in A \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$ (I)

Since B convex and $b_1, b_2 \in B \implies \lambda b_1 + (1 - \lambda)b_2 \in B \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$ (II)

By summing up (I) and (II) we get

$$\lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda)a_2 + \lambda b_1 + (1 - \lambda)b_2 \in A + B$$

i.e., $\lambda(a_1 + b_1) + (1 - \lambda)(a_2 + b_2) \in A + B$. Thus, $A + B$ is a convex set. $\square$

Remark 2.44.

The union of two convex sets is not necessary convex. For example, let

$A = (3, 7) \cup (7, 12)$. Then A is not convex. To show this, take $x = 6, y = 8, \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ then $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = \frac{1}{2}(6) + \frac{1}{2}(8) = 7 \notin A \cup B$.

Proposition 2.45.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed linear space, let $x_0 \in L$. Then $B_r(x_0)$ and $\overline{B}_r(x_0)$ are convex sets.

Proof. To prove $B_r(x_0)$ is a convex set. Let $x, y \in B_r(x_0)$, and let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Then,

$$\|x - x_0\| < r \text{ and } \|y - x_0\| < r \quad (\text{I})$$

We must prove $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in B_r(x_0)$; that is we must prove

$$\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y - x_0\| < r$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y - x_0\| &= \|\lambda x + \lambda \mathbf{x}_0 - \lambda \mathbf{x}_0 + (1 - \lambda)y - x_0\| \quad (\text{adding and} \\ &\text{subtracting } \lambda x_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \|\lambda(x - x_0) + (1 - \lambda)(y - x_0)\|$$

$$\leq |\lambda| \|(x - x_0)\| + |1 - \lambda| \|y - x_0\| < \lambda r + (1 - \lambda)r = r$$

(by (I) and since $\lambda > 0$ then $|\lambda| = \lambda, |1 - \lambda| = 1 - \lambda$)

Thus, $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in B_r(x_0)$ and hence $B_r(x_0)$ is convex. Similarly, $\overline{B}_r(x_0)$ is a convex set. $\square$

Proposition 2.46.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed linear space and $A \subseteq L$ and convex then $\overline{A}$ is a convex set.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \overline{A}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. To prove $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \overline{A}$

Let $r > 0$. Since $x, y \in \overline{A}$ then by Proposition 2.31, $\exists a, b \in A$ such that

$$\|x - a\| < r \text{ and } \|y - b\| < r \quad (\text{I})$$

Since A is convex then $\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b \in A$

$$\text{Now, } \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y - (\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b)\| = \|\lambda(x - a) + (1 - \lambda)(y - b)\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \lambda \|x - a\| + (1 - \lambda) \|y - b\| \\
&< \lambda r + (1 - \lambda)r \quad (\text{from (I)}) \\
&= r
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \left\| (\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) - \underbrace{(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b)}_{\in A} \right\| < r$$

Thus, from Proposition 2.31, $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \overline{A}$. □

Remark 2.47.

The converse of the above proposition is not true. For example, let $A = [1, 2) \cup (2, 5] \subset (\mathbb{R}, | \cdot |)$ then $\overline{A} = [1, 5]$ is a convex set. But A is not convex, since if $x = 1, y = 3, \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ then $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(3) = 2 \notin A$.

2.7 Continuity in Normed Linear Space

Definition 2.48.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|_L), (L', \| \cdot \|_{L'})$ be normed linear spaces. A mapping $f : L \rightarrow L'$ is called **continuous** at $x_0 \in L$ if for each $\epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ (depend on x_0) such that

$$\forall x \in L, \text{ if } \|x - x_0\|_L < \delta \text{ then } \|f(x) - f(x_0)\|_{L'} < \epsilon.$$

i.e., $\forall x \in L, \text{ if } x \in B_\delta(x_0) \text{ then } f(x) \in B_\epsilon(f(x_0))$

Theorem 2.49.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|_L), (L', \| \cdot \|_{L'})$ be normed linear spaces. A mapping $f : L \rightarrow L'$ is continuous at $x_0 \in L$ if and only if $\forall \langle x_n \rangle \in L$ with $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ implies that $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let f be a continuous mapping at x_0 and let $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a sequence in L such that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. To prove $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $\forall x \in L$

if $\|x - x_0\|_L < \delta$ then $\|f(x) - f(x_0)\|_{L'} < \epsilon$ (From continuity of f at x_0).

Since $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and $\delta > 0$, $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\|x_n - x_0\|_L < \delta$, $\forall n > k$.

Hence, $\|f(x_n) - f(x_0)\|_{L'} < \epsilon$, $\forall n > k$; that is $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ implies that $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$. To prove f is continuous at x_0 .

Assume that f is not continuous at x_0 , so $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $\forall \delta > 0, \exists x \in L$ and

$\|x - x_0\|_L < \delta$ but $\|f(x) - f(x_0)\|_{L'} \geq \epsilon$.

Now, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \frac{1}{n} > 0$, then $\exists x_n \in L$ such that

$\|x_n - x_0\|_L < \frac{1}{n}$ but $\|f(x_n) - f(x_0)\|_{L'} \geq \epsilon$. This means $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ but

$f(x_n) \not\rightarrow f(x_0)$ in L' which is a contradiction. Thus, f is continuous at x_0 . $\square$

Theorem 2.50.

Let $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and let $f : (L, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ such that $f(x) = \|x\| \quad \forall x \in L$. Then f is continuous at x_0 .

Proof. Let $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ in L . Then $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\|x_n - x_0\| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k \quad (\mathbf{I})$$

$$\text{But } |\|x_n\| - \|x_0\|| \leq \|x_n - x_0\| \quad \forall n > k$$

$$\Rightarrow |\|x_n\| - \|x_0\|| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k \quad (\text{Using } (\mathbf{I}))$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k \quad (\text{Using (since } f(x) = \|x\|))$$

$f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$; that is f is continuous at x_0 . $\square$

Remark 2.51.

Let $(L_1, \| \cdot \|_1)$, $(L_2, \| \cdot \|_2)$ and $(L_3, \| \cdot \|_3)$ be normed spaces and let $f : L_1 \times L_2 \rightarrow L_3$ be a mapping. Then f is continuous at $(x_0, y_0) \in L_1 \times L_2$ if and only if $\forall \langle (x_n, y_n) \rangle \in L_1 \times L_2$ and $\langle (x_n, y_n) \rangle \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$ then $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow f(x_0, y_0)$.

Theorem 2.52.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space over a field F . Then

(1) The mapping $f : L \times L \rightarrow L$ such that $f(x, y) = x + y \quad \forall x, y \in L$ is continuous at any point in $L \times L$.

(2) The mapping $g : F \times L \rightarrow L$ such that $g(\lambda, x) = \lambda x \quad \forall x \in L, \forall \lambda \in F$ is continuous at any point in $F \times L$.

Proof. (1) Let (x_0, y_0) be an arbitrary point in $L \times L$ and $\langle (x_n, y_n) \rangle \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$.

Then, $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ such that

$$\|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|y_n - y_0\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We must prove $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow f(x_0, y_0)$. i.e., $\|f(x_n, y_n) - f(x_0, y_0)\| \rightarrow 0$

$$\text{Now, } \|f(x_n, y_n) - f(x_0, y_0)\| = \|(x_n + y_n) - (x_0 + y_0)\|$$

$$= \|(x_n - x_0) + (y_n - y_0)\|$$

$$\leq \|x_n - x_0\| + \|y_n - y_0\|$$

Thus, $\|f(x_n, y_n) - f(x_0, y_0)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$; that is f is continuous at (x_0, y_0) . Since (x_0, y_0) is arbitrary, f is continuous at $L \times L$.

(2) Let (λ_0, x_0) be an arbitrary point in $F \times L$ and $\langle (\lambda_n, x_n) \rangle \rightarrow (\lambda_0, x_0)$.

Then, $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$ and $x_n \rightarrow x_0$.

Hence, $|\lambda_n - \lambda_0| \rightarrow 0$, $\|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

We must prove $g(\lambda_n, x_n) \rightarrow g(\lambda_0, x_0)$. i.e., $\|g(\lambda_n, x_n) - g(\lambda_0, x_0)\| \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|g(\lambda_n, x_n) - g(\lambda_0, x_0)\| &= \|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda_0 x_0\| \\
 &= \|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda_n x_0 + \lambda_n x_0 - \lambda_0 x_0\| \\
 &= \|\lambda_n(x_n - x_0) + (\lambda_n - \lambda_0)x_0\| \\
 &\leq |\lambda_n| \|x_n - x_0\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_0| \|x_0\|
 \end{aligned}$$

But $\|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0$ and $|\lambda_n - \lambda_0| \rightarrow 0$ so that

$\|g(\lambda_n, x_n) - g(\lambda_0, x_0)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; that is $g(\lambda_n, x_n) \rightarrow g(\lambda_0, x_0)$. Thus, g is continuous at (λ_0, x_0) . $\square$

Theorem 2.53.

Let $(L, \|\cdot\|_L), (L', \|\cdot\|_{L'})$ be normed spaces and let $f : L \rightarrow L'$ be a linear transformation. If f is continuous at 0 then f is continuous at any point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in L$ be an arbitrary point and let $x_n \rightarrow x_0$.

To prove $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ (using Theorem 2.49).

Since $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, then $x_n - x_0 \rightarrow 0$

But f is continuous at 0, thus $f(x_n - x_0) \rightarrow f(0)$

Since f is a linear transformation, then $f(x_n) - f(x_0) \rightarrow f(0) = 0$

It follows that $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$. $\square$

Remark 2.54.

The condition f is a linear transformation in the above theorem is necessary condition. For example: consider the normed space $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$. Let f is defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \leq 1 \\ x + 1 & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that f is continuous at 0 and discontinuous at 1.

Also f is not linear transformation because if $x = 5, y = 6$ and $\alpha = \beta = 1$

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y) = f(5 + 6) = f(11) = 11 + 1 = 12$$

$$\text{and } \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y) = f(5) + f(6) = (5 + 1) + (6 + 1) = 13$$

Hence $f(\alpha x + \beta y) \neq \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y)$.

Theorem 2.55.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|_L), (L', \| \cdot \|_{L'})$ be normed spaces and let $f : L \rightarrow L'$ be a linear transformation. If f is continuous at a point $x_1 \in L$ then f is continuous at each point.

Proof. Let $x_1 \in L$ and assume that f is continuous at x_1 . Let $x_2 \in L$ be any point. To prove that f is continuous at x_2 . Let $x_n \rightarrow x_2$ in L . Then, $x_n - x_2 \rightarrow 0$ and hence $x_n - x_2 + x_1 \rightarrow x_1$. Since f is continuous at x_1 then $f(x_n - x_2 + x_1) \rightarrow f(x_1)$.

Since f is a linear transformation, then $f(x_n) - f(x_2) + f(x_1) \rightarrow f(x_1)$.

Hence, $f(x_n) - f(x_2) \rightarrow 0$, and thus, $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_2)$.

Therefore, f is continuous at x_2 . Thus, f can not be continuous at some points and discontinuous at some points. $\square$

Example 2.56.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}.$$

Show that f is not continuous at $(0, 0)$.

Solution: Let $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and $y_n = \frac{-1}{n} \quad \forall n \in N$.

Then, $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $y_n \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 0)$. But

$$f(x_n, y_n) = \frac{\frac{1}{n}(\frac{-1}{n})}{\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2}} = \frac{\frac{-1}{n^2}}{\frac{2}{n^2}} = \frac{-1}{2}$$

Hence, $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow \frac{-1}{2}$ but $f(0, 0) = (0, 0)$. Thus, $f(x_n, y_n) \nrightarrow f(0, 0)$.

Thus, f is not continuous at $(0, 0)$.

2.8 Boundedness in Normed Linear Space

Definition 2.57. *Bounded Set*

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|_L)$ be a normed space and let $A \subset L$. A is called a **bounded set** if there exists $k > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq k \quad \forall x \in A$.

Example 2.58.

Consider $(\mathbb{R}, | \cdot |)$ and let $A = [-1, 1)$. Since $|x| \leq 1$, then A is bounded.

Example 2.59.

Consider $(\mathbb{R}^2, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space such that

$\|x\| = \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the Euclidian norm, for each $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $A = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -1 \leq x_1 \leq 1, x_2 \geq 0\}$. Then, A is unbounded.

Theorem 2.60.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|_L)$ be a normed space and let $A \subseteq L$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) A is bounded.
- (2) If $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in A and $\langle \alpha_n \rangle$ is a sequence in F such that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ then $\alpha_n x_n \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since A is bounded, $\exists k > 0$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq k \quad \forall x_n \in A$.

Since $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $|\alpha_n| \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$\|\alpha_n x_n - 0\| = \|\alpha_n x_n\| = |\alpha_n| \|x_n\| \leq |\alpha_n| k \quad (\text{since } \|x_n\| \leq k)$$

But $|\alpha_n| \rightarrow 0$, thus $|\alpha_n| k \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $\|\alpha_n x_n - 0\| \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\alpha_n x_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}_X$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose A is not bounded. Then, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \exists x_k \in A$ such that $\|x_k\| > k$.

Put $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{k}$. Hence, $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$. But

$$\|\alpha_k x_k\| = \left\| \frac{1}{k} x_k \right\| = \frac{1}{k} \|x_k\| > \frac{1}{k} \cdot k = 1$$

Then, $\|\alpha_k x_k\| > 1$, thus $\alpha_k x_k \not\rightarrow 0$ which contradicts (2). $\square$

Definition 2.61. Bounded Mapping

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|_L), (L', \| \cdot \|_{L'})$ be two normed space and $f : L \rightarrow L'$ be a linear transformation. f is called **bounded mapping** if for each $A \subseteq L$ bounded then $f(A) = \{f(a) : a \in A\}$ is bounded set in L' .

Example 2.62.

Let Consider $(\mathbb{R}, | \cdot |)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^2, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space such that

$$\|x\| = \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = [|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = [x_1^2 + x_2^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Define $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Show that f is a linear transformation (**H.W.**). Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and A is bounded. Show that $f(A)$ is bounded.

Solution: Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and A is bounded to prove $f(A) = \{f(x_1, x_2) : (x_1, x_2) \in A\}$ is bounded.

Note that $\forall (x_1, x_2) \in A \implies f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 \in f(A)$

$$|f(x_1, x_2)| = |x_1 + x_2| \leq |x_1| + |x_2| \quad (\text{I})$$

Since A is bounded then $\exists k > 0$ such that $\|(x_1, x_2)\| \leq k \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in A$
 $\implies (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq k \implies x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq k^2$

Since $x_1^2 \leq x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq k^2$, then $x_1^2 \leq k^2 \implies |x_1| \leq k \quad (\text{II})$

Similarly, $x_2^2 \leq x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq k^2$, then $x_2^2 \leq k^2 \implies |x_2| \leq k \quad (\text{III})$

Substitute **(II)** and **(III)** in **(I)**

$$|f(x_1, x_2)| = |x_1 + x_2| \leq \underbrace{|x_1| + |x_2|}_{\text{by (II) and (III)}} \leq k + k = 2k$$

i.e., $|f(x_1, x_2)| \leq 2k$. Thus, $f(A)$ is bounded, and hence, f is bounded.

Theorem 2.63.

Let $(L, \|\cdot\|_L), (L', \|\cdot\|_{L'})$ be normed spaces and $f : L \rightarrow L'$ be a linear transformation. Then f is bounded if and only if $\exists k > 0$ such that $\|f(x)\|_{L'} \leq k \|x\|_L \quad \forall x \in L$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) If f is bounded and let $A = \{x \in L : \|x\|_L \leq 1\}$.

It is clear A is bounded, and hence, $f(A)$ is bounded in L' (by definition of bnd function).

Thus, $\exists k > 0$ such that $\|f(x)\|_{L'} \leq k \quad \forall x \in A \quad (\text{I})$

(1) If $x = \mathbf{0}_L$ then $f(\mathbf{0}_L) = \mathbf{0}'_L$, and thus, $\|f(\mathbf{0}_L)\|_{L'} = 0 \leq k \|\mathbf{0}_L\|_L = 0$.

(2) If $x \neq \mathbf{0}_L$, put $y = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ such that $\|y\| = \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\| = \frac{1}{\|x\|} \cdot \|x\| = 1$.

Hence, $y \in A$. Thus, $\|f(y)\| \leq k$ **(II)**

$$\|f(y)\| = \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{\|x\|} f(x) \right\| = \frac{1}{\|x\|} \|f(x)\|$$

By **(II)**, $\|f(y)\| \leq k$, thus $\frac{1}{\|x\|} \|f(x)\| \leq k$. i.e., $\|f(x)\| \leq k \cdot \|x\|$ as required.

($\Leftarrow$) Let A be a bounded set. Then, $\exists k_1 > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq k_1 \quad \forall x \in A$

Since $\|f(x)\| \leq k \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X$, hence $\|f(x)\| \leq k \|x\| \quad \forall x \in A$. Then we

get $\|f(x)\| \leq k k_1 \quad \forall x \in A$. Thus, $\|f(x)\| \leq k_2 \quad \forall x \in A$ where $k_2 = k k_1$;

that is, $f(A)$ is a bounded set. $\square$

Theorem 2.64.

Let $(L, \|\cdot\|_L), (L', \|\cdot\|_{L'})$ be normed spaces and $f : L \rightarrow L'$ be a linear transformation. Then f is bounded if and only if f is continuous.

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) Suppose that f is continuous and not bounded,

hence $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \exists x_n \in L$ such that $\|f(x_n)\|_{L'} > n \|x_n\|_L$.

Let $y_n = \frac{x_n}{n \|x_n\|}$. Then, $\|f(y_n)\| = \left\| f\left(\frac{x_n}{n \|x_n\|}\right) \right\| = \frac{\|f(x_n)\|}{n \|x_n\|} > \frac{n \|x_n\|}{n \|x_n\|} = 1$

Thus, $\|f(y_n) - f(0)\| = \|f(y_n)\| > 1$, i.e., $f(y_n) \not\rightarrow f(0)$ **(I)**

but $\|y_n\| = \left\| \frac{x_n}{n \|x_n\|} \right\| = \frac{\|x_n\|}{n \|x_n\|} = \frac{1}{n}$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$, and hence, $y_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}_L$.

It follows that $f(y_n) \rightarrow \underbrace{f(\mathbf{0}_L)}_{\text{By Theorem 1.19(i)}} = \mathbf{0}'_L$ (Since f is a linear transformation)

This contradicts **(I)**, thus, f is bounded.

($\Rightarrow$) Assume that f is bounded to prove f is continuous for all $x \in L$. Let

$x_0 \in L$ and $\epsilon > 0$, to find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in L, \|x - x_0\| < \delta \implies \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < \epsilon.$$

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| = \|f(x - x_0)\| \quad (f \text{ is linear transformation})$$

Since f is bounded, then $\exists k > 0$ s.t. $\|f(x)\| \leq k \|x\| \quad \forall x \in L \quad (\mathbf{I})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| &= \underbrace{\|f(x - x_0)\|}_{\text{By (I)}} \leq k \|x - x_0\| \\ &< k\delta \quad (\text{Since } \|x - x_0\| < \delta) \\ &= k \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{k} \quad (\text{By choosing } \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{k} = \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|x - x_0\| < \delta \implies \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < \epsilon$.

Hence, f is continuous at $x_0 \in L$. Since x_0 is an arbitrary, then f is cont. $\forall x \in L$. □

Theorem 2.65.

Let $(L, \|\cdot\|_L), (L', \|\cdot\|_{L'})$ be normed spaces and $f : L \rightarrow L'$ be a linear transformation. If L is a finite dimensional space then f is bounded (hence, continuous).

Example 2.66.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $f(x, y) = x + y \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

f is a linear transformation function (check!)

and $\dim(\mathbb{R}^2) = 2$. Hence, f is bounded (hence, continuous).

2.9 Bounded Linear Transformation

Definition 2.67.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|_L), (L', \| \cdot \|_{L'})$ be normed spaces over a field F . The set of all bounded linear transformation mappings from L to L' is defined as

$$B(L, L') = \{T : T : L \rightarrow L' \text{ is a linear bounded (hence, cont.) trans.}\}$$

Theorem 2.68.

Prove that $B(L, L')$ is a linear subspace (over a field F) of the space of linear transformation mappings with respect to usual addition and usual scalar multiplication.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $T_1, T_2 \in B(L, L')$. To prove $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2 \in B(L, L')$

Since T_1, T_2 are linear transformations, then by Theorem 1.30, $\alpha T_1, \beta T_2$ are linear trans.

Now, $\alpha T_1, \beta T_2$ are linear trans., by Theorem 1.30, $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$ is linear transformation.

Next, we show $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$ is bounded.

Since T_1, T_2 are bounded, then $\exists k_1, k_2 > 0$ such that $\forall x \in L$ we have

$$\|T_1(x)\|'_L \leq k_1 \|x\|_L \text{ and } \|T_2(x)\|'_L \leq k_2 \|x\|_L \quad (\mathbf{I})$$

$$\text{Then, } \|(\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2)(x)\|'_L = \|(\alpha T_1)(x) + (\beta T_2)(x)\|'_L$$

$$= \|\alpha.T_1(x) + \beta.T_2(x)\|'_L \quad (\text{Definition of scalar multiplication})$$

$$\leq \|\alpha.T_1(x)\|'_L + \|\beta.T_2(x)\|'_L$$

$$= |\alpha| \|T_1(x)\|'_L + |\beta| \|T_2(x)\|'_L$$

$$\leq |\alpha| k_1 \|x\|_L + |\beta| k_2 \|x\|_L$$

$$= (|\alpha| k_1 + |\beta| k_2) \|x\|_L = k \|x\|_L \quad (k = |\alpha| k_1 + |\beta| k_2)$$

$|\beta| k_2)$

Hence, $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$ is bounded.

Since $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$ is bounded and linear transformation, then $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2 \in B(L, L')$. □

Theorem 2.69.

Let $(L, \| \cdot \|_L), (L', \| \cdot \|_{L'})$ be normed space. Prove that $B(L, L')$ is a normed space such that $\forall T \in B(L, L')$ we have

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\}$$

Proof. To prove $\| \cdot \|$ is a norm on $B(L, L')$

(1) since $\|T(x)\|_{L'} \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1$, then $\|T\| \geq 0$.

(2) $\|T\| = 0 \iff \sup\{\|T(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\} = 0$

$$\iff \|T(x)\|_{L'} = 0 \quad \forall x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1$$

$$\iff T(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1$$

$$\iff T = \hat{0}$$

(3) Let $T_1, T_2 \in B(L, L')$

$$\|T_1 + T_2\| = \sup\{\|(T_1 + T_2)(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\}$$

$$\leq \sup\{\|T_1(x)\|_{L'} + \|T_2(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in L}\{\|T_1(x)\|_{L'} : \|x\|_L \leq 1\} + \sup_{x \in L}\{\|T_2(x)\|_{L'} : \|x\|_L \leq 1\}$$

$$= \|T_1\| + \|T_2\|$$

(4) $\|\alpha T\| = \sup\{\|(\alpha.T)(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\}$

$$= |\alpha| \sup\{\|T(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\}$$

$$= |\alpha| \|T\|$$

□

Chapter Three

Banach Space

In this chapter, we introduce the following

- 1.1. Banach Space.
- 1.2. Examples of Banach Space.
- 1.3. General Properties of Banach Space.

Banach Space

Definition (3.1):-

Let L be a normed space, we say that L is a complete space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

The complete normed space is called Banach space.

i. e, $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is Banach space if

(1) $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is normed space

(2) $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete space.

Examples of Banach Spaces

Example (3.2) :-

The space F^n with the norm $\|x\| = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $x \in F^n$, is Banach space ?

Solution :- To prove that :

(1) $(F^n, \|\cdot\|)$ is normed space (H.W)

(2) $(F^n, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete space ?

Let $\langle x_m \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in $F^n \Rightarrow x_m \in F^n$, for each $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\langle x_m \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \dots \rangle$$

$$= \langle (x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1n}), (x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2n}), \dots, (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mn}), \dots \rangle$$

Then $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\|x_m - x_j\| < \epsilon, \forall m, j > k \Rightarrow \|x_m - x_j\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \quad \dots (1)$$

Since,

$$x_m = (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mn}), \quad x_{mi} \in F, i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$x_j = (x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \dots, x_{jn}), \quad x_{ji} \in F, i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$x_m - x_j = (x_{m1} - x_{j1}, x_{m2} - x_{j2}, \dots, x_{mn} - x_{jn})$$

$$\text{So, } \|x_m - x_j\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{mi} - x_{ji}|^2 \quad \dots (2)$$

$$\text{From (1) \& (2) } \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{mi} - x_{ji}|^2 < \epsilon^2$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_{mi} - x_{ji}|^2 < \epsilon^2, \forall m, j > k$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_{mi} - x_{ji}| < \epsilon \Rightarrow \langle x_{mi} \rangle \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ is Cauchy in } F$$

But F is complete space $\Rightarrow \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \exists x_i \in F$ s.t

$$x_{mi} \rightarrow x_i \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k_i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that}$$

$$|x_{mi} - x_i| < \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}, \forall mi > k_i$$

Put, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$. Let $k = \max\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}$

$$\Rightarrow \forall m > k \text{ and } \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad |x_{mi} - x_i| < \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow |x_{mi} - x_i|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{mi} - x_i|^2 < n \cdot \frac{\epsilon^2}{n} = \epsilon^2$$

$$\text{But } \|x_m - x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{mi} - x_i|^2 < \epsilon^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x_m - x\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \Rightarrow \|x_m - x\| < \epsilon \quad \forall m > k$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x_m \rangle \text{ is convergent sequence } \Rightarrow (F^n, \|\cdot\|) \text{ is Banach space.}$$

Example (3.3) :-

The space F^n with the norm $\|x\| = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $p \geq 1$, $x \in F^n$, is Banach space ?

(H.W.)

Example(3.4) :

1. Show that $(F^n, \|\cdot\|)$ is Banach space where the norm

$$\|x\| = \max \{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}, \quad \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n = \mathbb{R}^n \text{ (or } \mathbb{C}^n).$$

Solution : Let $\langle x_m \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in F^n

$$\langle x_m \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \dots \rangle$$

$$= \langle (x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1n}), (x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2n}), \dots, (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mn}), \dots \rangle$$

$$\text{Then } \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } \|x_m - x_j\| < \epsilon \quad \forall m, j > k \quad (1)$$

Since $x_m, x_j \in F^n$, then

$$x_m = (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mn}), x_{mi} \in F, i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$x_j = (x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \dots, x_{jn}), x_{ji} \in F, i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$x_m - x_j = (x_{m1} - x_{j1}, x_{m2} - x_{j2}, \dots, x_{mn} - x_{jn})$$

Then,

$$\|x_m - x_j\| = \max\{|x_{m1} - x_{j1}|, |x_{m2} - x_{j2}|, \dots, |x_{mn} - x_{jn}|\} < \epsilon \quad \forall m, j > k$$

It follows that $|x_{mi} - x_{ji}| < \epsilon, \forall i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\forall m, j > k$

Hence $\langle x_{mi} \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$)

So, it is convergent to x_i in F

Hence, for any $\epsilon > 0, \exists k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $|x_{mi} - x_i| < \epsilon, \forall m_i > k_i$

put $l = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$. then for each $\epsilon > 0$

$$|x_{mi} - x_i| < \epsilon, \forall m > l, \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

For each $\epsilon > 0,$

$$\|x_m - x\| = \max\{|x_{m1} - x_1|, |x_{m2} - x_2|, \dots, |x_{mn} - x_n|\} < \epsilon, \forall m > l$$

Thus $\langle x_m \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or $\mathbb{C}^n$) and $x_m \rightarrow x$. Thus, $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or $\mathbb{C}^n$) is a Banach space.

2. Show that $(l^\infty, \|\cdot\|)$ is Banach space where $\|x\| = \sup \{|x_i| : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$,

$$\forall x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in l^\infty ?$$

Solution :- (1) To prove that $(l^\infty, \|\cdot\|)$ is normed space (**H.W.**)

(2) To prove that $(l^\infty, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete space

Let $\langle x_m \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in $l^\infty \Rightarrow x_m \in l^\infty$

$$x_m = (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mn}, \dots)$$

$$x_m - x_j = (x_{m1} - x_{j1}, \dots, x_{mn} - x_{jn}, \dots)$$

$$\|x_m - x_j\| = \sup |x_{mi} - x_{ji}| < \epsilon, \quad \forall m, j > k$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_{mi} - x_{ji}| < \epsilon, \quad \forall m, j > k$$

$\Rightarrow |x_{mi} - x_{ji}| < \epsilon, \quad \forall m, j > k \Rightarrow \langle x_m \rangle$ is Cauchy in F , but F is complete

$\Rightarrow \forall i, \exists x_i \in F$ such that

$$x_{mi} \rightarrow x_i \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } |x_{mi} - x_i| < \epsilon \quad \forall m_i > k_i$$

$$\text{Let } k = \max\{k_1, k_2, \dots\}$$

$$\text{Then, } \max \{|x_{mi} - x_i| : i = 1, 2, \dots\} < \epsilon, \quad \forall m > k$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|x_m - x\| < \epsilon \quad \forall m > k \text{ then } \langle x_m \rangle \rightarrow x \quad \dots(1)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ to prove that $x \in l^\infty$

$$\text{Since } x_m \in l^\infty \Rightarrow \exists k_m \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ such that } |x_{mi}| < k_m, \quad \forall i,$$

$$\text{Now, } x_i = (x_i - x_{mi}) + x_{mi}$$

$$|x_i| \leq |x_i - x_{mi}| + |x_{mi}| < \epsilon + k_m \Rightarrow x \in l^\infty \quad \dots(2)$$

By (1) and (2) we get, $\langle x_m \rangle$ is a convergent sequence in l^∞ .

$\Rightarrow (l^\infty, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete. So, $(l^\infty, \|\cdot\|)$ is Banach space

Example (3.5) :-

The space $C[a, b]$ with the norm $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\} \quad \forall f \in C[a, b]$ is a Banach space

Solution : Let $\langle f_n \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in $C[a, b]$

Then $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\|f_n - f_m\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall m, n > k$

Hence, $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that:

$$\sup \{|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| : x \in [a, b]\} < \epsilon \quad \forall m, n > k$$

It follows that $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall x \in [a, b], \quad \forall m, n > k$

Hence, $\langle f_n(x) \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$.

Since $\mathbb{R}$ is a Banach space, then $\langle f_n(x) \rangle$ is convergent to $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}$ thus,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k, \forall x \in [a, b]$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|f_n - f\| = \sup \{|f_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\} < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k$$

Hence, $\langle f_n \rangle \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ($\langle f_n \rangle$ converges uniformly to f)

Since $\langle f_n \rangle$ is sequence of continuous sequences and $\langle f_n \rangle$ converges uniformly to f , then $f \in C[a, b]$. Thus, $C[a, b]$ is a Banach space.

Example(3.6) :-

The space $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|)$ is not Banach space where $\|f\| = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$

Solution :- The space $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|)$ is normed space but not complete space , since there exist Cauchy sequence but not convergent , for example consider

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ -nx + \frac{1}{2}n + 1, & \frac{1}{2} < x \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \\ 0, & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

To prove $f_n(x)$ is Cauchy ? Let $n > m \geq 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f_m\| &= \int_0^1 |(f_n - f_m)(x)| dx \\ &= \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx$$

$$= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} |1 - 1| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_n(x)| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_m(x)| dx$$

$$= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}} \left| -nx + \frac{1}{2}n + 1 \right| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{m}} \left| -mx + \frac{1}{2}m + 1 \right| dx = \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2m} .$$

So , $\|f_n - f_m\| \leq \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2m}$, as $n, m \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \|f_n - f_m\| \rightarrow 0$

$\Rightarrow \langle f_n \rangle$ is Cauchy sequence

But $f_n \rightarrow f$, where $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$

and f is not continuous function $\rightarrow f \notin C[a, b]$

$\Rightarrow \langle f_n \rangle$ not convergent $\Rightarrow C[0,1]$ is not Banach space.

Some Important Theorems in Banach Space

Theorem (3.7): Let H be a subspace of Banach space L . then H is Banach space iff H is closed in L

Proof :- $\Rightarrow$

If H is Banach space $\Rightarrow H$ is complete . To prove that H is closed ?

Let $x \in \bar{H} \Rightarrow$ there exist a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in H s.t $x_n \rightarrow x$.

So , $\langle x_n \rangle$ is Cauchy sequence

Since H is complete $\Rightarrow \exists y \in H$ s.t $x_n \rightarrow y$, But the limit point is unique

So, $x = y \Rightarrow x \in H \Rightarrow H = \bar{H} \Rightarrow H$ is closed

$\Leftarrow$) Suppose that H is closed set in L . To prove that H is a Banach space ?

It is clear that H is normed space (because every subspace of normed space is normed space). Now , let $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in $H \subseteq L$

$\Rightarrow$ the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ is Cauchy sequence in L , but L is complete.

$\Rightarrow \langle x_n \rangle$ is convergent sequence in L . i.e, $\exists x \in L$ s.t $x_n \rightarrow x$

Since $x_n \in H \Rightarrow x \in \bar{H}$ (by theorem) , but H is closed . i.e, $H = \bar{H}$

So, $x \in H \Rightarrow \langle x_n \rangle$ convergent in $H \Rightarrow H$ is complete

Theorem (3.8):- Every finite dimensional normed space is complete space

Proof :- Let $\dim L = n > 0$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ basis for L . T.p L is complete

Let $\langle x_m \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in $L \Rightarrow \|x_m - x_j\| < \epsilon, \forall m, j > k$,

i.e., $\|x_m - x_j\| \rightarrow 0, \forall m, j > k \dots\dots (1)$

Since, $x_m, x_j \in L$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly independent. Then, by Remark

1.38(5), there exists $\alpha_{mi}, \alpha_{ji} \in F$ such that $x_m = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{mi} x_i$ and $x_j =$

$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ji} x_i$. Then, $x_m - x_j = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{mi} - \alpha_{ji}) x_i \dots\dots (2)$

Again, by Remark 1.38(5) and (2), $\exists c > 0$ s.t

$\|x_m - x_j\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{mi} - \alpha_{ji}) x_i\| \geq c \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_{mi} - \alpha_{ji}| \dots\dots\dots (3)$

From (1) & (3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_{mi} - \alpha_{ji}| \rightarrow 0$ as $m, j \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\Rightarrow |\alpha_{mi} - \alpha_{ji}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, j \rightarrow \infty, \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$\Rightarrow \langle \alpha_{mi} \rangle$ is Cauchy sequence in F $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ & F is complete

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{mi} \rightarrow \alpha_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ then } x_m = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{mi} x_i \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$$

i.e., $x_m \rightarrow x$, where $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$.

$\Rightarrow L$ is complete space

Corollary(3.9):- Every finite dimensional subspace of a Banach space is closed set.

Proof :- Let M is finite dimensional. From Theorem 3.8, M complete $\Rightarrow M$ is closed (by Theorem 3.7).

Definition (3.10) Quotient Space

Let L be a linear space over F . Let H be a subspace of a linear space L . Let $x \in H$ and $x + H = \{x + y: y \in H\}$. Define $\frac{L}{H} = \{x + H: x \in L\}$.

Define addition and scalar multiplication by

$$(x_1 + H) + (x_2 + H) = (x_1 + x_2) + H, \quad \forall x_1 + H, x_2 + H \in L/H$$

$$\alpha \cdot (x + H) = \alpha \cdot x + H, \quad \forall x + H \in \frac{L}{H}, \alpha \in F$$

Then the space $(\frac{L}{H}, +, \cdot)$ is called quotient space (or factor space) (See **Exercise**

(1.21) (5))

Proposition (3.11) :-

Prove that $(\frac{L}{H}, +, \cdot)$ is a linear space over F . **(H.W.)**

Theorem(3.12) :- Let $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and H be a closed subspace of L , then L/H is normed space with $\|\cdot\|_1$ where

$$\|x + H\|_1 = \inf \{\|x + y\| : y \in H\}$$

Proof (1) T.P $\|x + H\|_1 \geq 0$

For any $x + H \in L/H$

$$\|x + y\| \geq 0, \forall y \in H$$

$$\{\|x + y\| : y \in H\} \geq 0$$

$$\|x + H\|_1 = \inf \{\|x + y\| : y \in H\} \geq 0$$

$$(2) \text{ T.P } \|x + H\|_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow x + H = H = 0_{L/H}$$

$$(\Rightarrow) \text{ If } \|x + H\|_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \inf \{\|x + y\| : y \in H\} = 0$$

Hence, $\exists \langle y_n \rangle \in H$ such that $\|x + y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Hence, $x + y_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $y_n \rightarrow -x$.

Therefore, $\exists \langle y_n \rangle \in H$ such that $y_n \rightarrow -x$ thus $-x \in \bar{H}$ (by theorem).

Now, since H is closed, then $-x \in \bar{H} = H$, i.e., $-x \in H$

Since H is a subspace then $x \in H$ and $x + H = H$, that is , $H = 0_{L/H}$

($\Leftarrow$) If $x + H = H = 0_{L/H}$ then $x \in H$. i. e., $x + H \in H, \forall y \in H$

Hence , $\|x + H\|_1 = \inf \{\|x + y\|: y \in H\} = \inf \{\|z\|: z \in H\}$

Since $0 \in H$ and $\|0\| = 0$, so $\inf \{\|z\|: z \in H\} = 0$. Thus , $\|x + H\|_1 = 0$

(3) T.P $\|\alpha \cdot (x + H)\|_1 = |\alpha| \|x + H\|_1, \alpha \in F$

If $\alpha = 0$ then (3) holds

If $\alpha \neq 0$ then

$$\|\alpha \cdot (x + H)\|_1 = \inf \{\|\alpha(x + y)\|: y \in H\}$$

$$= \inf \{|\alpha| \|x + y\|: y \in H\}$$

$$= |\alpha| \inf \{\|x + y\|: y \in H\}$$

By using the proposition (If A is bounded below and $\alpha \geq 0$, then $\inf (\alpha A) = \alpha \inf (A)$)

$$= |\alpha| \|x + H\|_1$$

(4) Let $x_1 + H, x_2 + H \in L/H$

$$\|(x_1 + H) + (x_2 + H)\|_1 = \|(x_1 + x_2) + H\|_1$$

$$\inf \{\|x_1 + x_2 + y\|: y \in H\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf \{ \|x_1 + x_2 + z_1 + z_2\| : z_1, z_2 \in H \} \\
& \leq \inf \{ \|x_1 + z_1\| + \|x_2 + z_2\| : z_1, z_2 \in H \} \\
& = \inf \{ \|x_1 + z_1\| : z_1 \in H \} + \inf \{ \|x_2 + z_2\| : z_2 \in H \} \\
& = \|x_1 + H\|_1 + \|x_2 + H\|_1
\end{aligned}$$

Thus L/H is a normed space

Proposition (3.13) :- If L is a Banach space and H is a closed subspace of L . Then L/H is a Banach space.

Proof : $L/H = \{x + H : x \in L\}$. Let $\langle x_n + H \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in L/H then ,
where $x_n \in L, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } \|(x_n + H) - (x_m + H)\|_1 < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m > k$$

$$\text{So , } \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } \|(x_n - x_m) + H\|_1 < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m > k$$

Then , $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that:

$\inf \{ \|(x_n - x_m) + H\| : y \in H \} < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m > k$. This implies $\forall y \in H, \langle x_n + y \rangle$ is a Cauchy in L

Since L is a Banach space , then $\exists z \in L$ such that $x_n + y \rightarrow z = (z - y) + y$

$$= w + y, \quad \forall y \in H, w \in L.$$

Thus , $x_n + H \rightarrow w + H$. Thus L/H is a Banach space .

Chapter 4

Inner Product Space

Definition 4.1.

Let L is a linear space over F . A mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L \times L \rightarrow F$ is called an **inner product on L** if the following axioms hold

$$(1) \quad \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L.$$

$$(2) \quad \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = 0_L.$$

$$(3) \quad \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \langle y, x \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in L, \text{ where } \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \text{conjugate of } \langle x, y \rangle.$$

$$(4) \quad \langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle \quad \forall x, y, z \in L \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in F.$$

$(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called **inner product space** (briefly, I.P.S) or **Pre-Hilbert space**.

Remark 4.2.

(1) If $F = \mathbb{R}$ then axiom (3) becomes $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in L$.

(2) Every subspace of inner product space is an inner product space.

4.1 Examples of Inner Product Space

Example 4.3.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}^2$ and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow F$ is defined as $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ where $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)$. Show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Solution: (i) We check the I.P.S axioms

$$(1) \langle x, x \rangle = x_1^2 + x_2^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$(2) \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 0 \iff x_1 = x_2 = 0 \iff x = (0, 0)$$

$$(3) \langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} \quad (\text{since } F = \mathbb{R})$$

$$(4) \text{ Let } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and let } x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2), z = (z_1, z_2)$$

$$\langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = \langle (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \alpha x_2 + \beta y_2), (z_1, z_2) \rangle$$

$$= (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1)z_1 + (\alpha x_2 + \beta y_2)z_2$$

$$= (\alpha x_1 z_1 + \alpha x_2 z_2) + (\beta y_1 z_1 + \beta y_2 z_2)$$

$$= \alpha(x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2) + \beta(y_1 z_1 + y_2 z_2)$$

$$= \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle$$

Thus, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

As an application to Example 4.3:

Let $x = (2, 1), y = (0, -3), z = (3, 4)$. Find $\langle x, z \rangle, \langle x, x \rangle, \langle x + y, z \rangle$.

Remark 4.4.

As a generalization of Example 4.3, let $L = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow F$ is defined as $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + \dots + x_ny_n \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Then, $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an inner product space (**check!**). The space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called **usual inner space**.

Example 4.5.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}^2$, which of the following is an inner product on L .

$$(i) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = 3x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 \quad (\mathbf{H.W.})$$

$$(ii) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = x_1^2y_1^2 + x_2^2y_2^2$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)$

Solution: (i) We check the I.P.S axioms

(ii) The first three axioms of the definition of inner product hold but the forth condition does not satisfy.

If $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and let $x = (1, -1), y = (-1, 0), z = (-2, 2)$. Then

$$\langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = \langle (0, -1), (-2, 2) \rangle = 0^2(-2)^2 + (-1)^22^2 = 4$$

$$\text{and } \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle = \langle (1, -1), (-2, 2) \rangle + \beta \langle (-1, 0), (-2, 2) \rangle$$

$$= 1^1.(-2)^2 + (-1)^2.2^2 + (-1)^2.2^2 + 0^2.2^2 = 12$$

Thus, $\langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle \neq \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle$.

Example 4.6.

Let $L = F^n$ be a linear space and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : F^n \times F^n \rightarrow F$ defined as

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i = x_1 \bar{y}_1 + \dots + x_n \bar{y}_n \quad \forall x, y \in F^n \text{ where } x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n). \text{ Show that } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \text{ is an inner product on } F^n.$$

Solution:

$$(1) \langle x, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{x}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \geq 0$$

$$(2) \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 = 0 \iff x_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\iff x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (0, \dots, 0) = 0_{F^n}$$

$$(3) \langle \bar{x}, y \rangle = \overline{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \bar{x}_i = \langle y, x \rangle$$

$$(4) \text{ Let } \alpha, \beta \in F \text{ and let } x, y, z \in F^n$$

$$\alpha x + \beta y = (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \dots, \alpha x_n + \beta y_n)$$

$$\langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta y_i) \bar{z}_i = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{z}_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \bar{z}_i = \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle.$$

Thus, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on C^n .

As an application to Example 4.6:

Let $L = C^2$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^2 x_i \bar{y}_i \quad \forall x, y \in C^2$ where $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)$. If $x = (2 + 3i, 1 + i), y = (1 + i, 1 - i), z = (2, 1 + i)$

Find $\langle x, x \rangle, \langle x + y, z \rangle, \langle x, y + z \rangle$

Solution: $\langle x, x \rangle = (2 + 3i)(\overline{2 + 3i}) + (1 + i)(\overline{1 + i})$

$$= (2 + 3i)(2 - 3i) + (1 + i)(1 - i)$$

$$= (4 + 9) + (1 + 1) = 15$$

$$x + y = (3 + 4i, 2)$$

$$\langle x + y, z \rangle = (3 + 4i)2 + 2(\overline{1 + i}) = (6 + 8i) + 2(1 - i) = 8 + 6i$$

$$\langle x, y + z \rangle =$$

Example 4.7.

Let $L = C[0, 1]$ be a linear space over $\mathbb{R}$, and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) dx$. Prove that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on L .

Solution: (1) $\langle f, f \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)f(x) dx = \int_0^1 [f(x)]^2 dx \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \langle f, f \rangle = 0 &\iff \int_0^1 [f(x)]^2 dx = 0 \iff [f(x)]^2 = 0 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1] \\ &\iff f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1] \iff f = \hat{0} \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g, h \in L$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha f + \beta g, h \rangle &= \int_0^1 (\alpha f + \beta g)(x)h(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 (\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)) h(x) dx \\ &= \alpha \int_0^1 f(x) h(x) dx + \beta \int_0^1 g(x) h(x) dx \\ &= \alpha \langle f, h \rangle + \beta \langle g, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) dx = \int_0^1 g(x)f(x) dx = \langle g, f \rangle$$

As an application to Example 4.7:

Let $f(x) = x + 1$, $g(x) = x^2$, $h(x) = 3x + 2 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$

Find $\langle f, f \rangle, \langle f + g, h \rangle, \langle f, h \rangle, \langle 2f + 3g, h \rangle, \langle f - g, h - g \rangle$

Example 4.8.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle = |xy| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Is

$(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ I.P.S? (**H.W.**)

4.2 Some Properties of Inner Product Space

Theorem 4.9.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space (I.P.S). Then, $\forall x, y, z \in L$ and $\alpha, \beta \in F$

$$(1) \langle x, 0_L \rangle = \langle 0_L, x \rangle = 0$$

$$(2) \langle x, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle = \overline{\alpha} \langle x, y \rangle + \overline{\beta} \langle x, z \rangle.$$

Proof. (1) $\langle 0_L, x \rangle = \langle 0_L + 0_L, x \rangle$

$$= \langle 0_L, x \rangle + \langle 0_L, x \rangle$$

Hence, $\langle 0_L, x \rangle + 0 = \langle 0_L, x \rangle + \langle 0_L, x \rangle$

Thus, $0 = \langle 0_L, x \rangle$ (I)

Now, $\langle \overline{0_L}, x \rangle = \langle x, 0_L \rangle$

$$\overline{0} = \langle x, 0_L \rangle$$

$$0 = \langle x, 0_L \rangle$$

$$(2) \langle x, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle = \langle \overline{\alpha y + \beta z}, x \rangle$$

$$= \overline{\alpha \langle y, x \rangle + \beta \langle z, x \rangle}$$

$$= \overline{\alpha} \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} + \overline{\beta} \overline{\langle z, x \rangle}$$

$$= \overline{\alpha} \langle x, y \rangle + \overline{\beta} \langle x, z \rangle$$

□

Corollary 4.10.

If $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an I.P.S. Then

$$(i) \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle \quad \text{where } x_1, \dots, x_n, y \in L$$

$$(ii) \langle x, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i y_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\beta}_i \langle x, y_i \rangle \quad \text{where } x, y_1, \dots, y_n \in L$$

$$(iii) \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\beta}_j \langle x_i, y_j \rangle \right)$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m \in L$

Proof. (i) We proof using induction.

If $n = 1$ then $\langle \alpha_1 x_1, y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_1, y \rangle$ (by definition of norm)

If $n = 2$ then $\langle \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2, y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_1, y \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle x_2, y \rangle$ (by definition of norm)

Suppose (i) hold when $n = k$

$$\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle \quad (\text{I})$$

To prove (i) hold when $n = k + 1$

$$\text{T.p. } \langle \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \alpha_i x_i, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \alpha_i x_i, y \rangle &= \langle \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i + \alpha_{k+1} x_{k+1}, y \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i, y \rangle + \langle \alpha_{k+1} x_{k+1}, y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle + \alpha_{k+1} \langle x_{k+1}, y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The proof is similar to the proof of (i).

(iii) Let $z = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i, z \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \langle x_i, z \rangle \quad (\text{by part (i)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left\langle x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\beta}_j \langle x_i, y_j \rangle \right) \quad (\text{by part (ii)}) \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.11.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an I.P.S. such that $\langle v_1, w \rangle = \langle v_2, w \rangle \quad \forall w \in L$. Then $v_1 = v_2$. Also, if $\langle v_1, w \rangle = 0 \quad \forall w \in L$ then $v_1 = 0_L$.

Proof. By assumption, $\langle v_1 - v_2, w \rangle = \langle v_1, w \rangle - \langle v_2, w \rangle = 0, \quad \forall w \in L$.

Put $w = v_1 - v_2$, then $\langle v_1 - v_2, v_1 - v_2 \rangle = 0 \implies v_1 - v_2 = 0 \implies v_1 = v_2$.

Now, $\langle v_1, w \rangle = 0, \quad \forall w \in L \implies \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle = 0 \implies v_1 = 0_L. \quad \square$

Theorem 4.12. General Cauchy Schwarz's Inequality

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an I.P.S. and let $\| \cdot \| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \quad \forall x \in L$. Then,

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in L.$$

Proof. If $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ then $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, and hence $\langle x, y \rangle = 0 \leq \|x\| \|y\|$

If $y \neq 0$, put $z = \frac{y}{\|y\|} \quad (\text{I})$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z\|^2 &= \langle z, z \rangle = \left\langle \frac{y}{\|y\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\|y\|^2} \langle y, y \rangle = \frac{1}{\|y\|^2} \|y\|^2 = 1 \quad (\text{II})
\end{aligned}$$

Next, it is enough to show that $|\langle x, z \rangle| \leq \|x\|$

because if $|\langle x, z \rangle| \leq \|x\|$ then from (I)

$$|\langle x, z \rangle| = \left| \left\langle x, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\rangle \right| = \frac{1}{\|y\|} |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\|$$

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

Let $\alpha \in F$ then $\langle x - \alpha z, x - \alpha z \rangle \geq 0$

$$\langle x, x \rangle - \alpha \langle z, x \rangle - \bar{\alpha} \langle x, z \rangle + \alpha \bar{\alpha} \langle z, z \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - \bar{\alpha} \langle x, z \rangle - \alpha \langle z, x \rangle + \alpha \bar{\alpha} \underbrace{\|z\|^2}_{=1 \text{ from (I)}} \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - \langle x, z \rangle \overline{\langle x, z \rangle} + \langle x, z \rangle \overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \bar{\alpha} \langle x, z \rangle - \alpha \langle z, x \rangle + \alpha \bar{\alpha} \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 + \langle x, z \rangle (\overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \bar{\alpha}) - \alpha (\langle z, x \rangle - \bar{\alpha}) \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 + \langle x, z \rangle (\overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \bar{\alpha}) - \alpha (\overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \bar{\alpha}) \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 + (\langle x, z \rangle - \alpha) (\overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \bar{\alpha}) \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 + |\langle x, z \rangle - \alpha|^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in F \quad \textbf{(III)}$$

Put $\alpha = \langle x, z \rangle$, then **(III)** becomes

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 \geq 0 \implies |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

$$|\langle x, z \rangle| \leq \|x\|$$

$$\left| \left\langle x, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\rangle \right| \leq \|x\| \quad (\text{using (I)})$$

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \frac{1}{\|y\|} \leq \|x\|$$

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|.$$

□

As an application to Theorem 4.12:

If $L = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ for any $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

Apply Cauchy Schwarz inequality.

Sloution: We have , $\|x\| = [\langle x, x \rangle]^{\frac{1}{2}} = [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\|y\| = [\langle y, y \rangle]^{\frac{1}{2}} = [\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$

From Theorem 4.12, $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$; that is

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \right| \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Theorem 4.13.

Every inner product space is a normed space and hence a metric space.

Proof. Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an I.P.S. and let the function $\| \cdot \| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \quad \forall x \in L. \text{ To prove } \| \cdot \| \text{ is a norm on } L$$

$$(1) \text{ Since } \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L \implies \|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L$$

$$(2) \|x\| = 0 \iff \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = 0 \iff \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = \mathbf{0}_X$$

$$(3) \text{ Let } \alpha \in F \text{ and } x \in L$$

$$\|\alpha x\|^2 = \langle \alpha x, \alpha x \rangle = \alpha \bar{\alpha} \langle x, x \rangle = |\alpha|^2 \|x\|^2$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$$

$$(4) \text{ T.P. } \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in L$$

$$\|x + y\|^2 = \langle x + y, x + y \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$\leq \|x\|^2 + 2|\langle x, y \rangle| + \|y\|^2$$

$$\leq \|x\|^2 + 2\|x\| \|y\| + \|y\|^2 \quad (\text{by Cauchy Schwarz})$$

$$= (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2$$

Thus, $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$. □

Theorem 4.14.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an I.P.S. and $x, y \in L$. Then

$$(1) \quad \|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \quad (\text{Polarization Identity})$$

$$(2) \quad \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \quad (\text{Law of Parallelogram})$$

$$(3) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2]$$

Proof. (1) $\|x + y\|^2 = \langle x + y, x + y \rangle$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$(2) \quad \text{T.P. } \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$$

$$\text{By part (1), } \|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\|x - y\|^2 = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle - \langle y, x \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle$$

$$= \|x\|^2 - \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} - \langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$= \|x\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \quad (\text{II})$$

By summing up (I) and (II) we get $\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$

(3) By parts (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 - (\|x\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2) \\
 &= 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle \\
 &= \langle \overline{x}, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle \overline{x}, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle \\
 &= 2\langle y, x \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle \quad (\mathbf{I})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x + iy\|^2 &= \langle x + iy, x + iy \rangle \\
 &= \langle x, x \rangle + i\langle y, x \rangle + \bar{i}\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \\
 &= \|x\|^2 + i\langle y, x \rangle - i\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \\
 \|x - iy\|^2 &= \langle x - iy, x - iy \rangle \\
 &= \langle x, x \rangle - i\langle y, x \rangle - \bar{i}\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \\
 &= \|x\|^2 - i\langle y, x \rangle + i\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2 &= i[\|x\|^2 + i\langle y, x \rangle - i\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2] - i[\|x\|^2 - \\
 &\quad i\langle y, x \rangle + i\langle x, y \rangle \\
 &\quad + \|y\|^2] \\
 &= i\|x\|^2 - \langle y, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + i\|y\|^2 - i\|x\|^2 - \langle y, x \rangle + \\
 &\quad \langle x, y \rangle - i\|y\|^2 \\
 &= 2\langle x, y \rangle - 2\langle y, x \rangle \quad (\mathbf{II})
 \end{aligned}$$

By (I) and (II), we have

$$\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i \|x + iy\|^2 - i \|x - iy\|^2 = 2\langle y, x \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle - 2\langle y, x \rangle$$

$$\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i \|x + iy\|^2 - i \|x - iy\|^2 = 4\langle x, y \rangle$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i \|x + iy\|^2 - i \|x - iy\|^2 = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \square$$

Remark 4.15.

Any normed linear space generated from inner product space must satisfies the three laws of Theorem 4.14.

Example 4.16.

Let $L = C[a, b]$ and let $\|f\| = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$. Then the converse of Theorem 4.13. i.e.,

(1) Show that $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space (**H.W.**)

(2) Show that L is not generated by I.P.S (i.e, L is not I.P.S)

Solution: (2) To show that L is not I.P.S, we shall show that parallelogram law does not hold. i.e., $\|f + g\|^2 + \|f - g\|^2 \neq 2\|f\|^2 + 2\|g\|^2$ for some $f, g \in C[a, b]$.

Let $f(x) = 1$ and $g(x) = \frac{x - a}{b - a} \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$

Note that f, g are continuous on $[a, b]$. Thus, $f, g \in C[a, b]$.

$\|f\| = 1$ and $\|g\| = 1$

$$\|f + g\| = \left\| 1 + \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right\| = \max \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right| : x \in [a, b] \right\} = 2$$

$$\|f - g\| = \left\| 1 - \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right\| = \max \left\{ \left| 1 - \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right| : x \in [a, b] \right\} = 1$$

$$\|f + g\|^2 + \|f - g\|^2 = 4 + 1 = 5 \quad (\text{I})$$

$$2\|f\|^2 + 2\|g\|^2 = 2.1^2 + 2.1^2 = 4 \quad (\text{II})$$

By (I) and (II), we get $\|f + g\|^2 + \|f - g\|^2 \neq 2\|f\|^2 + 2\|g\|^2$

i.e., $5 \neq 4$

Example 4.17.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}^2$ and let $\|x\| = |x_1| + |x_2| \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then the converse of Theorem 4.13. i.e.,

(1) Show that $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space (**H.W.**)

(2) Show that $\mathbb{R}^2$ is not generated by I.P.S (i.e, $\mathbb{R}^2$ is not I.P.S)

Solution: (2) To show that L is not I.P.S, we shall show that parallelogram law does not hold. i.e., $\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 \neq 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$

Let $x = (2, 3)$ and $y = (-6, 1)$

$$\|x\| = |2| + |3| = 5 \implies 2\|x\|^2 = 50$$

$$\|y\| = |-6| + |1| = 7 \implies 2\|y\|^2 = 98$$

$$\|x + y\| = \|(-4, 4)\| = |-4| + |4| = 8$$

$$\|x + y\|^2 = 64$$

$$\|x - y\| = \|(8, 2)\| = |8| + |2| = 10$$

$$\|x - y\|^2 = 100$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 64 + 100 = 164$$

$$\text{and } 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 = 50 + 98 = 148$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 \neq 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$$

i.e., $\|\cdot\|$ does not satisfy parallelogram law.

Example 4.18.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}^2$ and let $\|x\| = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\} \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then

(1) Show that $(\mathbb{R}^2, \| \cdot \|)$ is a normed linear space (**H.W.**)

(2) Is $\mathbb{R}^2$ generated by I.P.S? (**H.W.**)

Theorem 4.19.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an I.P.S. Then

- (1) If $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ and $(y_n) \rightarrow y$ then $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$
- (2) If (x_n) and (y_n) are Cauchy sequences in L then $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence in F .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Proof. (1) } \langle x_n, y_n \rangle &= \langle x + (x_n - x), y + (y_n - y) \rangle \\
 &= \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, y_n - y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y_n - y \rangle \\
 \langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle &= \langle x, y_n - y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y_n - y \rangle \\
 |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| &= |\langle x, y_n - y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y_n - y \rangle| \\
 &\leq |\langle x, y_n - y \rangle| + |\langle x_n - x, y \rangle| + |\langle x_n - x, y_n - y \rangle| \\
 &\leq \|x\| \|y_n - y\| + \|x_n - x\| \|y\| + \|x_n - x\| \|y_n - y\| \quad (\text{By}
 \end{aligned}$$

Cauchy Schwarz)

But $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ and $(y_n) \rightarrow y$ then $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$

Hence, $|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| \rightarrow 0$, and hence, $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$.

(2) for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle x_n, y_n \rangle &= \langle (x_n - x_m) + x_m, (y_n - y_m) + y_m \rangle \\
 &= \langle x_n - x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_n - \\
 &\quad x_m, y_m \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle = \langle x_n - x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle| &= |\langle x_n - x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle| \\
 &\leq |\langle x_n - x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle| + |\langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle| + |\langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|x_n - x_m\| \|y_n - y_m\| + \|x_m\| \|y_n - y_m\| + \|x_n - x_m\| \|y_m\| \quad (\text{By})$$

Cauchy Schwarz)

But (x_n) and (y_n) are Cauchy sequences, then $\|x_n - x_m\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y_n - y_m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, (x_n) and (y_n) are bounded sequences, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle| \rightarrow 0 \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.20.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an I.P.S. Then

- (1) If $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ then $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$
- (2) If (x_n) is a Cauchy sequences in L then $\langle \|x_n\| \rangle$ is a convergent sequence in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (1) Since $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ then $\langle x_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle$ (By Theorem 4.19)

Hence, $\|x_n\|^2 \rightarrow \|x\|^2$. i.e., $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$

(2) Since (x_n) is a Cauchy sequences in L , then by Theorem 4.19(2), $\langle x_n, x_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence in F . Since $F = \mathbb{R}$ or C then F is complete. Thus, $\langle \|x_n\|^2 \rangle$ is a convergent sequence in F . Thus, $\langle \|x_n\| \rangle$ is a convergent sequence in F $\square$

4.3 Hilbert Space

Definition 4.21.

Hilbert space is an I.P.S. $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ which is a Banach space with respect to $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$.

Example 4.22.

Consider the I.P.S. $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ (or $(C^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$) such that $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i$ where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (or C^n). (see Example 4.6)

Show that $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ (or $(C^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$) is Hilbert space.

Solution: Since $\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{x}_i \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|x\|$

From Example 3.2, $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or C^n) is a Banach space w.r.t. $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$, and thus, $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ (or $(C^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$) is a Hilbert space.

Example 4.23.

The space $C[-1, 1]$ with the inner product defined by $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) g(x) dx$ is not a Hilbert space.

Solution: Let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ nx & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\|f_n - f_m\|^2 = \langle f_n - f_m, f_n - f_m \rangle$$

Suppose $n > m$, then $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{m}$. We must find $f_n(x) - f_m(x)$

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ nx & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_m(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ mx & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{m} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{m} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$f_n(x) - f_m(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ (n-m)x & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1-mx & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{m} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{m} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\|f_n - f_m\|^2 = \int_{-1}^1 (f_n(x) - f_m(x))^2 dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} (n-m)^2 x^2 dx + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{1}{m}} (1-mx)^2 dx$$

$$= \left[\frac{(n-m)^2 x^3}{3} \right]_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \left[\frac{(-1)}{m} \frac{(1-mx)^3}{3} \right]_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

$$= \frac{(n-m)^2}{3} \frac{1}{n^3} - \frac{1}{m} \left[0 - \frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{m}{n} \right)^3 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(n-m)^2}{3n^3} + \frac{1}{3m} \left(\frac{n-m}{n} \right)^3 \\
&= \frac{(n-m)^2}{3n^2m}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|f_n - f_m\|^2 = \frac{(n-m)^2}{3n^2m}$

Since $n > m$, then $n = m + t$

$$\|f_n - f_m\|^2 = \frac{t^2}{3(m+t)^2m} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence, $\|f_n - f_m\| \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $\langle f_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence.

But $f_n \rightarrow f$ where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus, $f \notin C[-1, 1]$. Then, $\langle f_n \rangle$ is not convergent in $C[-1, 1]$. i.e., The space is not Hilbert space.

Remark 4.24.

Every Hilbert space is a Banach space but the converse is not true. For example, the space $C[a, b]$ with $\|f\| = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$ is a Banach space (see Example 3.5). However, $C[a, b]$ is not a Hilbert space since it does not satisfy parallelogram law; that is $\| \cdot \|$ can not be obtained from inner product (see Example 4.16).

4.4 Orthogonality and Orthonormality in Inner Product Space

Definition 4.25. *orthogonal Elements*

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S and $x, y \in L$. Then x is said to be **orthogonal** on y (denoted by $x \perp y$) if and only if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$.

Example 4.26.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}^2$ is I.P.S such that $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2$ is usual inner product

$\forall x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $x = (-6, 3), y = (2, -1), z = (1, 2)$.

Show that $x \perp z, y \perp z$ and $y \not\perp x$.

Solution: $\langle x, z \rangle = \langle (-6, 3), (1, 2) \rangle = -6 + 6 = 0$. Hence, $x \perp z$.

$$\langle y, z \rangle =$$

$$\langle y, x \rangle =$$

Proposition 4.27.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S and $x, y \in L$. Then

- (i) If $x \perp y$ then $y \perp x$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{0}_L \perp x \quad \forall x \in L$. (**H.W.**)
- (iii) if $x \perp x$ then $x = \mathbf{0}_L$. (**H.W.**)

Proof. (1) Let $x \perp y$ then $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. From Definition 4.1(3), we have

$$\langle y, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \overline{0} = 0. \text{ i.e., } y \perp x.$$

□

Proposition 4.28.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S and $x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$ such that x is orthogonal on $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Prove that x is orthogonal on any linear combination of $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Proof. Let w be a linear combination of $x_1, \dots, x_n$. i.e., there exists $\alpha_i \in F$ such that $w = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$. We must show $\langle x, w \rangle = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, w \rangle &= \langle x, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\alpha_i} \langle x, x_i \rangle \quad (\text{by Corollary 4.9(ii)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\alpha_i} \cdot 0 \quad (\text{From the assumption}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Example 4.29.

(1) Find the value of a that makes the vectors $X = (a, 2, -1), Y = (3, -5, 2)$ orthogonal vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with usual inner product. (**H.W.**)

(2) Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S over $\mathbb{R}$ and let $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ (i.e., x and y are normal elements). Prove that $x + y \perp x - y$.

Answer: $\langle x + y, x - y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle - \langle y, y \rangle = \|x\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle - \|y\|^2 = 0$. Hence, $x + y \perp x - y$.

(3) Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S and let $x, y \in L$ such that $x \perp y$. Prove that $\|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 = \|x - y\|^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Answer: } \|x + y\|^2 &= \langle x + y, x + y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \\ &= \|x\|^2 + 0 + 0 + \|y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2$.

(4) Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S and let $x, y \in L$ such that $x \perp y$. Prove that $\|x + \lambda y\| = \|x - \lambda y\|$.

Answer: (H.W.)

(5) Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S and let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ such that $x_i \perp x_j \ \forall i \neq j$. Prove that $\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2$.

Answer: We prove using induction. If $n = 1$, the statement is true.

If $n = 2$. Since $x_1 \perp x_2$ then $\|x_1 + x_2\|^2 = \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2$ (by part (3)).

Suppose the statement is true for $n = k$. i.e., $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|^2$

To prove the statement is true when $n = k + 1$. i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{T.P. } \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i\right\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \|x_i\|^2 \\ \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i\right\|^2 &= \left\|\sum_{i=1}^k x_i + x_{k+1}\right\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right\|^2 + \|x_{k+1}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|^2 + \|x_{k+1}\|^2 \quad (\text{by induction } n = k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \|x_i\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.30. Orthogonal to Set

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S, $x \in L$, and $A \subseteq X$. Then, x is said to be orthogonal on A ($x \perp A$) if $x \perp a \ \forall a \in A$.

Example 4.31.

Consider the space $\mathbb{R}^2$ with usual product space and $A = \{(0, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Then $(2, 0) \perp A$ because $\langle (2, 0), (0, a) \rangle = 2 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot a = 0$.

Definition 4.32. Orthogonal Sets

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S, and $A, B \subseteq L$. Then, A is said to be orthogonal to B ($A \perp B$) if $a \perp b, \forall a \in A, \forall b \in B$.

Example 4.33.

Consider the space $\mathbb{R}^2$ with usual inner product and $A = \{(0, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $B = \{(b, 0) : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Show that $A \perp B$.

Answer: for each $(0, a) \in A$ and for each $(b, 0) \in B$, then

$$\langle (a, 0), (0, b) \rangle = a.0 + 0.b = 0. \text{ Thus, } A \perp B.$$

Proposition 4.34.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S, and $A, B \subseteq L$ such that $A \perp B$ then $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Proof. Let $x \in A \cap B \Rightarrow x \in A$ and $x \in B$ (I)

Since $A \perp B \Rightarrow \langle a, b \rangle = 0, \forall a \in A, \forall b \in B$.

From (I), $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle = 0$.

Using Definition 4.1(2), $x = \mathbf{0}$, then $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. □

Definition 4.35.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S. and $\phi \neq A \subseteq L$. Then, the set

$$A^\perp = \{x \in L : x \perp a, \forall a \in A\}$$

is called the orthogonal complement on A .

Proposition 4.36.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S. and $\phi \neq A, B \subseteq L$. Then,

$$(1) L^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

$$(2) \{\mathbf{0}\}^\perp = L. \text{ (H.W.)}$$

$$(3) A \cap A^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

$$(4) A \subseteq A^{\perp\perp}.$$

$$(5) \text{ If } A \subseteq B \text{ then } B^\perp \subseteq A^\perp. \text{ (H.W.)}$$

$$(6) \text{ If } A \subseteq B^\perp \text{ then } B \subseteq A^\perp.$$

Proof. (1) $L^\perp = \{x \in L : x \perp L\} = \{x \in L : \langle x, l \rangle = 0, \forall l \in L\} = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$

$$(3) \text{ Let } x \in A \cap A^\perp \Rightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \in A^\perp \quad \text{(I)}$$

$$\text{Since } x \in A^\perp \text{ then } x \perp A \quad \text{(II)}$$

From (I) and (II), $x \perp x$. i.e., $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$, thus $x = \mathbf{0}$.

Then, $A \cap A^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$

$$(4) \text{ To prove } A \subseteq A^{\perp\perp}. \text{ Let } x \in A.$$

For any $y \in A^\perp \Rightarrow y \perp A$. In particular, $y \perp x$ ($x \in A$)

From Proposition 4.27(1), $x \perp y, \forall y \in A^\perp$. Thus, $x \in A^{\perp\perp}.$

$$(6) \text{ Let } A \subseteq B^\perp, \text{ then from part (5), } B^{\perp\perp} \subseteq A^\perp.$$

Now, from part (4), $B \subseteq B^{\perp\perp} \subseteq A^\perp$. Then, $B \subseteq A^\perp.$

□

Theorem 4.37.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S. and $\phi \neq A \subseteq L$. Then, $A^\perp$ is a closed subspace of L .

Proof. (1) To prove $A^\perp$ is a subspace of L .

Let $x, y \in A^\perp$ and $\alpha, \beta \in F$. T.P. $\alpha x + \beta y \in A^\perp$

$$\text{T.P. } \langle \alpha x + \beta y, a \rangle = 0, \quad \forall a \in A.$$

$$\text{Since } x, y \in A^\perp \Rightarrow \langle x, a \rangle = \langle y, b \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\langle \alpha x + \beta y, a \rangle = \alpha \langle x, a \rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle y, a \rangle = \alpha \cdot 0 + \bar{\beta} \cdot 0 = 0 \quad [\text{from (I)}]$$

Thus, $A^\perp$ is a subspace of L .

(2) T.P. $A^\perp$ is a closed set (i.e., $A^\perp \subseteq \overline{A^\perp}$ and $\overline{A^\perp} \subseteq A^\perp$)

$$\text{It is clear that } A^\perp \subseteq \overline{A^\perp} \quad (\text{I})$$

T.P. $\overline{A^\perp} \subseteq A^\perp$. Let $x \in \overline{A^\perp}$ then $\exists (x_n) \in A^\perp$ such that $(x_n) \rightarrow x$.

Since $(x_n) \in A^\perp$, $\forall n \in N \Rightarrow x_n \perp A \Rightarrow x_n \perp a, \quad \forall a \in A$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x_n, a \rangle = 0, \quad \forall a \in A.$$

But $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ and $a \rightarrow a$. Thus, from Theorem 4.19(1), $\underbrace{\langle x_n, a \rangle}_{=0} \rightarrow \langle x, a \rangle$.

$$\langle x, a \rangle = 0 \quad \forall a \in A. \text{ Then, } x \in A^\perp. \text{ Thus, } \overline{A^\perp} \subseteq A^\perp \quad (\text{II}).$$

From (I) and (II), $A^\perp$ is a closed set.

□

Definition 4.38. Orthonormal Set

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S. and $A \subseteq L$. Then, A is called **orthonormal set** if

(1) A is said to be **orthogonal** if $x \perp y \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad x \neq y$.

(2) Each element $x \in A$ is a normal element. i.e., $\langle x, x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|x\| = 1 \quad \forall x \in A$.

Remark 4.39.

Orthonormal set has no zero element ($\mathbf{0} \notin A$) because $\|\mathbf{0}\| \neq 1$ ($\mathbf{0}$ is not normal element).

Example 4.40.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}^3$ with usual inner product and $A = \{(1, 2, 2), (2, 1, -2), (2, -2, 1)\} \subset L$. Show that A is orthogonal but not orthonormal.

Solution: T.P. A is orthogonal set (H.W.).

To show not every vector in A is normal. i.e.,

$$\|(1, 2, 2)\|^2 = \langle (1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 2) \rangle = 1 + 4 + 4 = 9 \neq 1 \Rightarrow \|(1, 2, 2)\| \neq 1.$$

Thus, A is not orthonormal.

Theorem 4.41.

Let L be an I.P.S. and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be orthonormal vectors in L . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x, x_i \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \quad \forall x \in L$$

.

Example 4.42.

Let $L = \mathbb{R}^3$ and $x_1 = \frac{1}{3}(1, 2, 2), x_2 = \frac{1}{3}(2, 1, -2), x_3 = \frac{1}{3}(2, -2, 1)$.

Let $x = (2, 1, 3)$. Then

$$|\langle x, x_1 \rangle|^2 = \left[\frac{1}{3}(2 + 2 + 6)\right]^2 = \frac{100}{9}$$

$$|\langle x, x_2 \rangle|^2 = \left[\frac{1}{3}(4 + 1 - 6)\right]^2 = \frac{1}{9}$$

$$|\langle x, x_3 \rangle|^2 = \left[\frac{1}{3}(4 - 2 + 3)\right]^2 = \frac{25}{9}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 |\langle x, x_i \rangle|^2 = \frac{100}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{25}{9} = 14.$$

on the other hand, $\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle = 4 + 1 + 9 = 14$.

As in Theorem 4.41, $\sum_{i=1}^3 |\langle x, x_i \rangle|^2 = \|x\|^2$

Take $x = (1, 1, 1)$ and apply Theorem 4.41. **(H.W.)**

Theorem 4.43.

Let $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an I.P.S. Let (x_n) be an orthonormal sequence in L and (λ_n) be a sequence in F such that $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_i|^2 < +\infty$. Let $y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i$. Then, (y_n) is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Let $y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i$, $y_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i$. Assume that $n < m$ then $m = n + k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We must prove $\|y_m - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

$$y_m - y_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_m - y_n\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i \right\|^2 = \langle \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \overline{\lambda_i} \langle x_i, x_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i \overline{\lambda_i} \langle x_i, x_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} |\lambda_i|^2 \|x_i\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} |\lambda_i|^2 \quad (\|x_i\|^2 = 1 \quad \forall i) \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} |\lambda_i|^2 \rightarrow 0$ $(\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_i|^2 \text{ convergent})$

Thus, $\|y_m - y_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ which means $\|y_m - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence, (y_n) is a Cauchy sequence. $\square$

References

1. Sharma, J. N., and A. R. Vasishtha. Functional analysis. Krishna Prakashan Mandir, 1990.
2. Kreyszig, E. Introductory functional analysis with applications. Vol. 17. John Wiley & Sons, 1991.
3. Vishnu, L. B., Functional analysis. New Age International, 1996.
4. *[https : //www.youtube.com/channel/UCn6hevUFRGQzyXv5HP95g](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCn6hevUFRGQzyXv5HP95g)*.