

جامعة بغداد  
كلية العلوم للبنات  
قسم الرياضيات

## نظرية التقريب

---

# Chapter 3

## Trigonometric Polynomials

### Introduction

A (real) *trigonometric polynomial*, or trig polynomial for short, is a function of the form

$$a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are real numbers. The *degree* of a trig polynomial is the highest frequency occurring in any representation of the form (3.1); thus, (3.1) has degree  $n$  provided that one of  $a_n$  or  $b_n$  is nonzero. We will use  $\mathcal{T}_n$  to denote the collection of trig polynomials of degree at most  $n$ , and  $\mathcal{T}$  to denote the collection of all trig polynomials (i.e., the union of the  $\mathcal{T}_n$  over all  $n$ ).

**Theorem 3.1.** (Weierstrass's Second Theorem, 1885) *Let  $f \in C^{2\pi}$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a trig polynomial  $T$  such that  $\|f - T\| < \varepsilon$ .*

**Lemma 3.2.**  *$\cos nx$  and  $\sin(n+1)x/\sin x$  can be written as polynomials of degree exactly  $n$  in  $\cos x$  for any integer  $n \geq 0$ .*

**Corollary 3.3.** *Any real trig polynomial (3.1) may be written as  $P(\cos x) + Q(\cos x)\sin x$ , where  $P$  and  $Q$  are algebraic polynomials of degree at most  $n$  and  $n-1$ , respectively. If the sum (3.1) represents an even function, then it can be written using only cosines.*

**Corollary 3.4.** *The collection  $\mathcal{T}$ , consisting of all trig polynomials, is both a subspace and a subring of  $C^{2\pi}$  (that is,  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under both linear combinations and products). In other words,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a subalgebra of  $C^{2\pi}$ .*



**Corollary 3.5.** *Each  $f \in C^{2\pi}$  has a best approximation (on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ ) out of  $\mathcal{T}_n$ . If  $f$  is an even function, then it has a best approximation which is also even.*

*Proof.* We only need to prove the second claim, so suppose that  $f \in C^{2\pi}$  is even and that  $T^* \in \mathcal{T}_n$  satisfies

$$\|f - T^*\| = \min_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} \|f - T\|.$$

Then, because  $f$  is even,  $\tilde{T}(x) = T^*(-x)$  is also a best approximation to  $f$  out of  $\mathcal{T}_n$ ; indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \tilde{T}\| &= \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x) - T^*(-x)| \\ &= \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(-x) - T^*(x)| \\ &= \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x) - T^*(x)| = \|f - T^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

But now, the *even* trig polynomial

$$\hat{T}(x) = \frac{\tilde{T}(x) + T^*(x)}{2} = \frac{T^*(-x) + T^*(x)}{2}$$

is also a best approximation out of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  because

$$\|f - \hat{T}\| = \left\| \frac{(f - \tilde{T}) + (f - T^*)}{2} \right\| \leq \frac{\|f - \tilde{T}\| + \|f - T^*\|}{2} = \min_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} \|f - T\|. \quad \square$$

## Weierstrass's Second Theorem

We next give (de La Vallée Poussin's version of) Lebesgue's proof of Weierstrass's second theorem; specifically, we will deduce the second theorem from the first.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $f \in C^{2\pi}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there is a trig polynomial  $T$  such that  $\|f - T\| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x) - T(x)| < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove that Weierstrass's first theorem for  $C[-1, 1]$  implies his second theorem for  $C^{2\pi}$ .

**Step 1.** If  $f \in C^{2\pi}$  is even, then  $f$  may be uniformly approximated by even trig polynomials.

If  $f$  is even, then it's enough to approximate  $f$  on the interval  $[0, \pi]$ . In this case, we may consider the function  $g(y) = f(\arccos y)$ ,  $-1 \leq y \leq 1$ , in  $C[-1, 1]$ . By Weierstrass's first theorem, there is an algebraic polynomial  $p(y)$  such that

$$\max_{-1 \leq y \leq 1} |f(\arccos y) - p(y)| = \max_{0 \leq x \leq \pi} |f(x) - p(\cos x)| < \varepsilon.$$

But  $T(x) = p(\cos x)$  is an even trig polynomial! Hence,

$$\|f - T\| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x) - T(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

Let's agree to abbreviate  $\|f - T\| < \varepsilon$  as  $f \approx T + \varepsilon$ .



**Step 2.** Given  $f \in C^{2\pi}$ , there is a trig polynomial  $T$  such that  $2f(x) \sin^2 x \approx T(x) + 2\varepsilon$ .

Each of the functions  $f(x) + f(-x)$  and  $[f(x) - f(-x)] \sin x$  is *even*. Thus, we may choose even trig polynomials  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that

$$f(x) + f(-x) \approx T_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad [f(x) - f(-x)] \sin x \approx T_2(x).$$

Multiplying the first expression by  $\sin^2 x$ , the second by  $\sin x$ , and adding, we get

$$2f(x) \sin^2 x \approx T_1(x) \sin^2 x + T_2(x) \sin x \equiv T_3(x),$$

where  $T_3(x)$  is still a trig polynomial, and where  $f \approx T_3 + 2\varepsilon$  because  $|\sin x| \leq 1$ .

**Step 3.** Given  $f \in C^{2\pi}$ , there is a trig polynomial  $T$  such that  $2f(x) \cos^2 x \approx T(x) + 2\varepsilon$ .

Repeat Step 2 for  $f(x - \pi/2)$  and translate: We first choose a trig polynomial  $T_4(x)$  such that

$$2f\left(x - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin^2 x \approx T_4(x).$$

That is,

$$2f(x) \cos^2 x \approx T_5(x),$$

where  $T_5(x)$  is a trig polynomial.

Finally, by combining the conclusions of Steps 2 and 3, we find that there is a trig polynomial  $T_6(x)$  such that  $f \approx T_6(x) + 2\varepsilon$ . □

**Theorem 3.7.** *Given  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an algebraic polynomial  $p$  such that  $\|f - p\| < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $f \in C[-1, 1]$ , the function  $f(\cos x)$  is an even function in  $C^{2\pi}$ . By our proof of Weierstrass's second theorem (Step 1 of the proof), we may approximate  $f(\cos x)$  by an even trig polynomial:

$$f(\cos x) \approx a_0 + a_1 \cos x + a_2 \cos 2x + \cdots + a_n \cos nx.$$

But, as we've seen,  $\cos kx$  can be written as an algebraic polynomial in  $\cos x$ . Hence, there is some algebraic polynomial  $p$  such that  $f(\cos x) \approx p(\cos x)$ . That is,

$$\max_{0 \leq x \leq \pi} |f(\cos x) - p(\cos x)| = \max_{-1 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t) - p(t)| < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$



# Chebyshev Polynomials

The algebraic polynomials  $T_n(x)$  satisfying

$$T_n(\cos x) = \cos nx, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

are called the *Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind*. Please note that this formula *uniquely* defines  $T_n$  as a polynomial of degree exactly  $n$  (with leading coefficient  $2^{n-1}$ ), and hence uniquely determines the values of  $T_n(x)$  for  $|x| > 1$ , too. The algebraic polynomials  $U_n(x)$  satisfying

$$U_n(\cos x) = \frac{\sin(n+1)x}{\sin x}, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

are called the *Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind*. Likewise, note that this formula uniquely defines  $U_n$  as a polynomial of degree exactly  $n$  (with leading coefficient  $2^n$ ).

We will discover many intriguing properties of the Chebyshev polynomials in the next chapter. For now, let's settle for just one: The recurrence formula we gave earlier

$$\cos nx = 2 \cos x \cos(n-1)x - \cos(n-2)x$$

now becomes

$$T_n(x) = 2x T_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x), \quad n \geq 2,$$

where  $T_0(x) = 1$  and  $T_1(x) = x$ . This recurrence relation (along with the initial cases  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ ) may be taken as a definition for the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. At any rate, it's now easy to list any number of the Chebyshev polynomials  $T_n$ ; for example, the next few are  $T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1$ ,  $T_3(x) = 4x^3 - 3x$ ,  $T_4(x) = 8x^4 - 8x^2 + 1$ , and  $T_5(x) = 16x^5 - 20x^3 + 5x$ .

## Properties of the Chebyshev Polynomials

As we've seen, the Chebyshev polynomial  $T_n(x)$  is the (unique, real) polynomial of degree  $n$  (having leading coefficient 1 if  $n = 0$ , and  $2^{n-1}$  if  $n \geq 1$ ) such that  $T_n(\cos \theta) = \cos n\theta$  for all  $\theta$ . The Chebyshev polynomials have dozens of interesting properties and satisfy all sorts of curious equations. We'll catalogue just a few.

**C1.**  $T_n(x) = 2xT_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x)$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the trig identity  $\cos n\theta = 2 \cos \theta \cos(n-1)\theta - \cos(n-2)\theta$  that  $T_n(\cos \theta) = 2 \cos \theta T_{n-1}(\cos \theta) - T_{n-2}(\cos \theta)$  for all  $\theta$ ; that is, the equation  $T_n(x) = 2xT_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x)$  holds for all  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ . But because both sides are polynomials, equality must hold for all  $x$ .  $\square$

The next two properties are proved in essentially the same way:

**C2.**  $T_m(x) + T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} [T_{m+n}(x) + T_{m-n}(x)]$  for  $m > n$ .

**C3.**  $T_m(T_n(x)) = T_{mn}(x)$ .

**C4.**  $T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n + (x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n \right]$ .

*Proof.* First notice that the expression on the right-hand side is actually a polynomial because, on combining the binomial expansions of  $(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n$  and  $(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n$ ,



the odd powers of  $\sqrt{x^2 - 1}$  cancel. Next, for  $x = \cos \theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(x) = T_n(\cos \theta) &= \cos n\theta = \frac{1}{2}(e^{in\theta} + e^{-in\theta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^n + (\cos \theta - i \sin \theta)^n \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (x + i\sqrt{1 - x^2})^n + (x - i\sqrt{1 - x^2})^n \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n + (x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We've shown that these two polynomials agree for  $|x| \leq 1$ , hence they must agree for all  $x$  (real or complex, for that matter).  $\square$

For real  $x$  with  $|x| \geq 1$ , the expression  $\frac{1}{2}[(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n + (x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n]$  equals  $\cosh(n \cosh^{-1} x)$ . In other words, we have

**C5.**  $T_n(\cosh x) = \cosh nx$  for all real  $x$ .

The next property also follows from property C4.

**C6.**  $T_n(x) \leq (|x| + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n$  for  $|x| \geq 1$ .

An approach similar to the proof of property C4 allows us to write  $x^n$  in terms of the Chebyshev polynomials  $T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n$ .



**C7.** For  $n$  odd,  $2^n x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} 2 T_{n-2k}(x)$ ; for  $n$  even,  $2 T_0$  should be replaced by  $T_0$ .

*Proof.* For  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 2^n x^n &= 2^n (\cos \theta)^n = (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})^n \\
 &= e^{in\theta} + \binom{n}{1} e^{i(n-2)\theta} + \binom{n}{2} e^{i(n-4)\theta} + \dots \\
 &\quad \dots + \binom{n}{n-2} e^{-i(n-4)\theta} + \binom{n}{n-1} e^{-i(n-2)\theta} + e^{-in\theta} \\
 &= 2 \cos n\theta + \binom{n}{1} 2 \cos(n-2)\theta + \binom{n}{2} 2 \cos(n-4)\theta + \dots \\
 &= 2 T_n(x) + \binom{n}{1} 2 T_{n-2}(x) + \binom{n}{2} 2 T_{n-4}(x) + \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

where, if  $n$  is even, the last term in this last sum is  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} T_0$  (because the central term in the binomial expansion, namely  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} T_0$ , isn't doubled in this case).  $\square$

**C8.** The zeros of  $T_n$  are  $x_k^{(n)} = \cos((2k-1)\pi/2n)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . They're real, simple, and lie in the open interval  $(-1, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Just check! But notice, please, that the zeros are listed here in *decreasing* order (because cosine decreases).  $\square$

**C9.** Between two consecutive zeros of  $T_n$ , there is precisely one root of  $T_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* It's not hard to check that

$$\frac{2k-1}{2n} < \frac{2k-1}{2(n-1)} < \frac{2k+1}{2n},$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , which means that  $x_k^{(n)} > x_k^{(n-1)} > x_{k+1}^{(n)}$ .  $\square$

**C10.**  $T_n$  and  $T_{n-1}$  have no common zeros.

*Proof.* Although this is immediate from property **C9**, there's another way to see it:  $T_n(x_0) = 0 = T_{n-1}(x_0)$  implies that  $T_{n-2}(x_0) = 0$  by property **C1**. Repeating this observation, we would have  $T_k(x_0) = 0$  for every  $k < n$ , including  $k = 0$ . No good!  $T_0(x) = 1$  has no zeros.  $\square$

**C11.** The set  $\{x_k^{(n)} : 1 \leq k \leq n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is dense in  $[-1, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Because  $\cos x$  is (strictly) monotone on  $[0, \pi]$ , it's enough to know that the set  $\{(2k-1)\pi/2n\}_{k,n}$  is dense in  $[0, \pi]$ , and for this it's enough to know that  $\{(2k-1)/2n\}_{k,n}$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ . (Why?) But

$$\frac{2k-1}{2n} = \frac{k}{n} - \frac{1}{2n} \approx \frac{k}{n}$$

for  $n$  large; that is, the set  $\{(2k-1)/2n\}_{k,n}$  is dense among the rationals in  $[0, 1]$ .  $\square$

**C12.** The Chebyshev polynomials are mutually *orthogonal* relative to the weight  $w(x) = (1-x^2)^{-1/2}$  on  $[-1, 1]$ .

*Proof.* For  $m \neq n$  the substitution  $x = \cos \theta$  yields

$$\int_{-1}^1 T_n(x) T_m(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \int_0^\pi \cos m\theta \cos n\theta d\theta = 0,$$

while for  $m = n$  we get

$$\int_{-1}^1 T_n^2(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \int_0^\pi \cos^2 n\theta d\theta = \begin{cases} \pi & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \pi/2 & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

**C13.**  $|T'_n(x)| \leq n^2$  for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ , and  $|T'_n(\pm 1)| = n^2$ .

*Proof.* For  $-1 < x < 1$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} T_n(x) = \frac{\frac{d}{d\theta} T_n(\cos \theta)}{\frac{d}{d\theta} \cos \theta} = \frac{n \sin n\theta}{\sin \theta}.$$

Thus,  $|T'_n(x)| \leq n^2$  because  $|\sin n\theta| \leq n|\sin \theta|$  (which can be easily checked by induction, for example). At  $x = \pm 1$ , we interpret this derivative formula as a limit (as  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\theta \rightarrow \pi$ ) and find that  $|T'_n(\pm 1)| = n^2$ .  $\square$



**Example 4.14.** As we've seen, the Chebyshev polynomials can be generated by a recurrence relation. By reversing the procedure, we could solve for  $x^n$  in terms of  $T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n$ . Here are the first few terms in each of these relations:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 T_0(x) &= 1 & 1 &= T_0(x) \\
 T_1(x) &= x & x &= T_1(x) \\
 T_2(x) &= 2x^2 - 1 & x^2 &= (T_0(x) + T_2(x))/2 \\
 T_3(x) &= 4x^3 - 3x & x^3 &= (3T_1(x) + T_3(x))/4 \\
 T_4(x) &= 8x^4 - 8x^2 + 1 & x^4 &= (3T_0(x) + 4T_2(x) + T_4(x))/8 \\
 T_5(x) &= 16x^5 - 20x^3 + 5x & x^5 &= (10T_1(x) + 5T_3(x) + T_5(x))/16
 \end{array}$$

Note the separation of even and odd terms in each case. Writing ordinary garden variety polynomials in their equivalent Chebyshev form has some distinct advantages for numerical computations. Here's why:

$$1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + x^4 = \frac{15}{6}T_0(x) - \frac{7}{4}T_1(x) + T_2(x) - \frac{1}{4}T_3(x) + \frac{1}{8}T_4(x)$$

(after some simplification). Now we see at once that we can get a cubic approximation to  $1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + x^4$  on  $[-1, 1]$  with error at most  $1/8$  by simply dropping the  $T_4$  term on the right-hand side (because  $|T_4(x)| \leq 1$ ), whereas simply using  $1 - x + x^2 - x^3$  as our cubic approximation could cause an error as big as 1. Pretty slick! This gimmick of truncating the equivalent Chebyshev form is called *economization*.