Definition.(The ideal)

Let R be a ring and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq R$. then I is said to be an *ideal* of R if for all a, $b \in I$ and $r \in R$:

1. $a - b \in I$ 2. $a \cdot r \in I$ and $r \cdot a \in I$

Remarks.

1. Every ideal is a subring.

```
Proof. Let \emptyset \neq I \subseteq R be an ideal of R and a, b \in I, then
```
- i. $a b \in I$ (I ideal)
- ii. Since $b \in I \subseteq R \rightarrow b \in R \rightarrow ab \in I$ and $ba \in I$ (I ideal).
- 2. The converse of (1) is not true in general. **For example**: the ring of integers $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is a subring of $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$ which is not ideal, for that: if $a = 2$ and $r = 1/3$, then $a.r = 2/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.
- 3. Let R be a ring, then {0} and R are the trivial ideals in R.
- 4. Every ideals of the form n $\mathbb Z$ is an ideal in $\mathbb Z$.
- 5. {0} and **Q** are the only ideals in **Q**.
- 6. Let R be a ring with identity 1 and I be an ideal of R. If $1 \in I$, then $I = R$.

Proof. Suppose that $1 \in I \rightarrow$ for all $r \in R$, $1.r \in I \rightarrow R \subseteq I$. But I $\subseteq R \rightarrow I = R$

7. Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R. If I contain an invertible element, then $R = I$.

Proof. Let a \in I has inverse say $b \rightarrow 1 = a$. $b \in I \rightarrow I \in I \rightarrow I$ $=$ R.

8. If F is field, then the trivial ideals in R are only ideals in R. **Proof**. H . W.

Definition. Let I be an ideal in a ring R. Then $\frac{R}{I}$ is a ring and is said to be *quotient ring* of R by I, where $\frac{R}{I} = \{r + I \mid r \in R\}$

Define

 $(r_1 + I)\bigoplus (r_2 + I) = (r_1 + r_2) + I$

 $(r_1 + I) \odot (r_2 + I) = r_1 \cdot r_2 + I$

Note that \oplus is well defined where I is a subring of R.

To prove \odot is well defined: let r_1 , a_1 , r_2 , $a_2 \in R$ such that

 $r_1 + I = a_1 + I \rightarrow r_1 - a_1 \in I$

 $r_2 + I = a_2 + I \rightarrow r_2 - a_2 \in I$

: I is an ideal of $R \rightarrow r_1$ ($r_2 - a_2$) $\in I$ and ($r_1 - a_1$) $a_2 \in I$

∴ r_1 (r_2 - a₂) + (r_1 – a₁) a₂ ∈ I

$$
\therefore r_1r_2 - a_1a_2 \in I \to r_1r_2 + I = a_1a_2 + I
$$

∴ ⨀ is well defined.

We can prove that $(\frac{R}{I}, \oplus, \odot)$ is a quotient ring of R by I (H.W.)

Remarks.

- 1. Let R be a ring with identity, then $\frac{R}{I}$ is a ring with identity.
- 2. If R is a commutative ring, then so is $\frac{R}{I}$.
- 3. If R is an integral domain, then that not necessary $\frac{R}{I}$ is an integral domain. For example: the ring of integers $\mathbb Z$ is an

integral domain while $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{4\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ is not integral domain since $\overline{2} \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{0}$ in \mathbb{Z}_4 but $\overline{2} \neq \overline{0}$ in \mathbb{Z}_4 .

Definition. Let R be a ring and S be a nonempty subset of R. A set (S) (or $\le S$):

 $(S) = \bigcap \{ I | I$ is an ideal of R containing S

is called set *generated by the set S*.

Remarks. Let R be a ring, then:

- 1. $(S) \neq \emptyset$ $(S \leq (S))$
- 2. (S) is an ideal of R (since the intersection of ideals is an ideals)
- 3. (S) is the smallest ideal contain S.
- 4. $(S) = S$ if S is an ideal.
- 5. If $S = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ is a finite set, then (S) is called *finitely generated ideal*. ((S) is f.g.)
- 6. If $S = \{a\}$, then $(S) = (a)$ is said to be *principal ideal*.
- 7. If R is commutative ring with identity, then $(a) = \{ ar | r \in \mathbb{R} \}$
- 8. If (S) is finitely generated, then (S) may be not in general finite set. For example: let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $S = \{1\}$, then $(S) = \mathbb{Z}$ is finitely generated which is not finite set.

Definition. A ring R is called *principal ideal ring* (PIR) if every ideal of R is principal.

Definition. A PIR is said to be *principal ideal domain* (PID), if R is domain.

Remark. PID
$$
\frac{\overrightarrow{by \text{ definition}}}{\overrightarrow{example \mathbb{Z}_6}}
$$
 PIR

Examples.

1. Every ideal in $\mathbb Z$ is principal.

Proof. To prove that $\mathbb{Z} = (n)$, let I be an ideal in \mathbb{Z} . If I = $\{0\}$, then I = (0) is principal. Suppose that I \neq {0} \rightarrow \exists (0 \neq) m \in I. Let n be the smallest positive integers in $I \rightarrow rn \in I$ (I is an ideal and $n \in I$, $r \in R$). Thus $(n) \subseteq I$.

Let k \in I and n≠ 0 \rightarrow By division algorithm, k = qn + rfor 0 \leq r $\langle n \rangle$ \rightarrow $r = k - qn \in I \rightarrow r \in I \rightarrow r = 0$ (since $r \le n$ and n is the smallest positive integers) $\rightarrow k=qn \in (n) \rightarrow I \subseteq (n)$. By that $I=(n).$

2. The ring $\mathbb Z$ is PID.

Proof. since \mathbb{Z} is an integral domain and every ideal of \mathbb{Z} principal of the form $(n) = n \mathbb{Z}$ for $n = 1,2,3,...$

3. The ring \mathbb{Z}_6 is not PID.

Proof. The ring \mathbb{Z}_6 is commutative ring with identity and has nonzero divisor (**why?**) and so it's not integral domain. Therefore \mathbb{Z}_6 is not PID. But every ideal in \mathbb{Z}_6 is principal, so \mathbb{Z}_6 is PIR.

4. The ring Q is PID.

Proof. The ring Q is commutative ring with identity and has no nonzero divisor (why?) so $\mathbb Q$ is integral domain. Now, $\mathbb Q$ have only the trivial two ideals $\{0\}$ and Q. Since $\{0\} = (0)$ and Q = $(1) = {r \cdot 1 | r \in \mathbb{Q}}$. Hence $\mathbb Q$ is PID.

Theorem Let R be a commutative ring with identity, then R is field if and only if R has no nontrivial ideals.

Proof. \Rightarrow) Suppose that R is field and we want to prove that R contains only two ideals {0} and R. Suppose that I be a nonzero ideal of R.

 \because I ≠0 → \exists 0 ≠ a ∈I

: R field \rightarrow a has inverse element say a⁻¹

 \therefore a⁻¹. a \in I \rightarrow 1 \in R \rightarrow I = R.

 \Leftarrow) Suppose that R contains only two ideals $\{0\}$ and R.

If $0 \neq a \in R$, then the ideal generated by a , $(a) \neq 0 \rightarrow (a) = R$.
 $\therefore 1 \in R \rightarrow 1 \in (a) \rightarrow 1 = r_0$. a for some $r_0 \in R$

∴ 1∈ R \rightarrow 1 ∈ (a) \rightarrow 1 = r₀. a for some r₀ ∈ R

 $= a r_0$ (R is commutative)

 \therefore r₀. $a = a$. $r_0 = 1 \rightarrow r_0$ is the inverse element of $a \rightarrow R$ is field.

Remarks.

1. Let I and J be two ideals of a ring R. Then

 $I + J = \{x + y \mid x \in I \text{ and } y \in J\}$

(is said to be *sum of two ideals*) is an ideal of R.

Proof. H.W.

2. Let I be a left ideal and J be a right ideal of a ring R. Then

 $IJ = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \mid x_i \in I \text{ and } y_i \in J \}$

(is said to be *product of* **I and J**) is an ideal in R.

Proof. H.W.

Remarks.

1. The sum of n-ideals $I_1, I_2, ..., I_n = \{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mid a_i \in I, i = 1, 2, ..., \}$ n }is an ideal of R.

- 2. The product of n-ideals I_1 , I_2 , ..., $I_n = \{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_{1i} a_{2i} ... a_{ni} \mid a_{ji} \}$ \in I_i, $j = 1, 2, ..., n$ } is an ideal of R.
- 3. The intersection of two ideals of R is an ideal of R. **Proof.** H.W.
- 4. The union of two ideals of R is not necessary ideal of R in general.

Example. Let $I = (2)$ and $J = (3)$ are two principal ideals of \mathbb{Z} . Each of 3,2∈ I ∪ J but $3 - 2 = 1 \notin I$ ∪ J. Hence I ∪ J is not ideal of ℤ.

- 5. IJ ⊆ I ∩ J
- 6. If $I^2 = I$, then I is said to be *idempotent ideal*.
- 7. If $I^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then I is said to be *nilpotent ideal*.
- 8. If I and J are both idempotents ideals of a ring R. Then $I + J$ is an idempotent.
- 9. An ideal I of R is said to be *nil ideal* if every element in I is nilpotent.
- 10. Every nilpotent ideal is nil ideal.
- 11. $R = I + J$ iff every element in R can be written in one **way** as $x + y$ for $x \in I$ and $y \in J$

Definition. A ring R is said to be *direct sum of two ideals* I_1 , I_2 if:

1. $R = I_1 + I_2$ 2. I₁ $\bigcap I_2 = \{0\}$

and write $R = I_1 \oplus I_2$. In this case R is said to be *decomposable ring*.

Remark.

1. Let I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n be ideals of a ring R. If i. $R = I_1 + ... + I_n$ ii. $I_J \bigcap (I_1 + ... + I_{J-1} + I_{J+1} + ... + I_n) = \{0\}$ Then $R = I_1 \oplus ... \oplus I_n$

2. If R cannot be written as $I_1 \oplus I_2$, then R is said to be *indecomposable ring*.

Example.

- 1. Z is an indecomposable ring.
- 2. $\mathbb{Z}_6 = I_1 \oplus I_2$ where $I_1 = {\overline{0}, \overline{3}}$ and $I_2 = {\overline{0}, \overline{2}, \overline{4}}$.

Ring Homomorphism

Definition.(Ring Homomorphism)

Let f: $R \rightarrow R'$ be function from a ring R into a ring R', then f is said to be *ring homomorphism* if : for all $a, b \in R$,

- 1. $f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$
- 2. $f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b)$

Example. let f: $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ defined by $f(n) = n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then:

1. $f(n + m) = n + m = f(n) + f(m)$ 2. $f(n \cdot m) = n \cdot m = f(n) \cdot f(m)$ ∀ n, m ∈ ℤ ∴ f is a ring homomorphism

Definition. (kernel of f)

Let $f: R \to R'$ be a ring homomorphism. Then

1. The set

$$
ker f = \{x \in R \mid f(x) = 0\}
$$

is said to be *kernel* of the homomorphism f

2. The set

$$
Im f = \{f(x) | x \in R\} = f(R)
$$

is said to be *Image* of the homomorphism f and f is said to be onto if $f(R) = R'.$

Proposition. Let $f: R \to R'$ be a ring homomorphism, then:

- 1. ker f is an ideal in R.
- 2. Im f is a subring of R.
- 3. If f is 1-1, then f is said to be *monomorphism*
- 4. ker $f = \{0\}$ iff f is monomorphism.
- 5. If f is onto, then f is said to be *epimorphism*
- 6. If f is 1-1 and onto, then f is said to be *isomorphism*
- 7. If $f : R \rightarrow R$ and f is an isomorphism, then f is said to be *automorphism*

Proof 1.

i. Let a, $b \in \text{ker } f \to f(a) = 0$ and $f(b) = 0$. : R is a ring, then $a - b \in R$: f is a ring homomorphism, then $f(a - b) = f(a) - f(b) = 0 - 0 =$ 0 \therefore a – b \in ker f ii. Let r ∈ R and a ∈ ker f, then $f(a) = 0$. ∴ ar ∈ R (R is ring) \rightarrow f(ar) = f(a) . r = 0 . r = 0 \rightarrow f(ar) = 0 ∴ ar ∈ ker f ∴ ker f is an ideal of R.

Proof 2. H.W.

Proof 4. H.W.

Examples.

1. Let f: $\mathbb{Z}_5 \to \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ defined by $f(x) = 5x$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_5$. Then f is not ring homomorphism.

<u>Proof</u>. If $x = \overline{2}$ and $y = \overline{4} \in \mathbb{Z}_5$, then $f(x + y) = f(\overline{1}) = \overline{5}$ While $f(x) + f(y) = f(\overline{2}) + f(\overline{4}) = 5(\overline{2}) + 5(\overline{4}) = \overline{0} + \overline{0} = \overline{0}$ ∴f(x + y) \neq f(x) + f(y) → f is not ring homomorphism.

2. Let R be a ring with identity and g: $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(n) =$ n.1 for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then g is ring homomorphism(why?).

Remark. Let $f: R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism, then $f(1_R) = f(1_S)$ is **not necessary true**.

Example.Define $f:M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \to M_3(\mathbb{Q})$ where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \to$ $\overline{ }$ a *b* 0 $c \quad d \quad 0$ 000 Then $f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 000 000 and *f* is a ring homomorphism. But $f\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 010 000 $\bigg\}$ ≠ I_3 (where $I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 001 \vert)

Note that here $f(A)f(I_2) = f(aI_2) = f(A)$. So $f(I_2)$ seems to work like the multiplicative identity on the range of f .

Remarks. Let $f: R \to R'$ be a ring homomorphism, then:

1. $f(0) = 0'$

- 2. $f(-r) = -f(r)$
- 3. If R and R' rings with identity 1 and 1' respectively, with $f(R) =$ R' then:
- i. $f(1) = 1$ ' (i.e $f(1)$ is an identity of R')
- ii. If a is invertible, then $f(a^{-1}) = (f(a))^{-1}$.
- iii.If I is an ideal of R, then f(I) is an ideal of R'.
- iv. If I' is an ideal of R', then $f'(I') = \{r \in R | f(r) \in I'\}$ is an ideal of R

Proof 3:

(i) Let f: $R \rightarrow R'$ be an epimorphism and 1 is the identity element of R. Let $x \in R'$, then $\exists a \in R$ such that $f(a) = x$ (f is an epimorphism).

Now,

x. $f(1) = f(a)$. $f(1) = f(a \cdot 1) = f(a) = x$ and $f(1)$. $x = f(1)$. $f(a) = f(1.a)$ $= f(a) = x.$

 \therefore x . f(1) = f(1) . $x = x \rightarrow f(1)$ is the identity element of R'.

(ii) Let $a \in R$, then $f(a^{-1})$. $f(a) = f(a^{-1}.a) = f(1) = 1'$ and $f(a)$. $f(a^{-1}) =$ $f(a.a^{-1}) = f(1) = 1'$. $\rightarrow f(a^{-1})$ is the inverse element of $f(a) \rightarrow f(a^{-1}) =$ $(f(a))^{-1}$.

(iii). Let f: $R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism and I be an ideal of R. To prove that f(I) is an ideal in R':

Firstly, let x, $y \in f(I) \rightarrow \exists a, b \in I$ such that $f(a) = x$ and $f(b) = y$. Since I is an ideal of R, then $a - b \in I \rightarrow f(a - b) \in f(I) \rightarrow f(a) - f(b)$ \in f(I) \rightarrow x – y \in f(I).

Secondly, let $x \in f(I)$ and $r' \in R'$, then $\exists a \in I$, $\exists r \in R$ such that $f(a)$ $=$ x and f(r)=r'. Since I an ideal in R \rightarrow f(ar) = f(a) f(r) = x . r' \in f(I).

∴ f(I) is an ideal of R'.

(iv) $f^{-1}(I') = \{r \in R | f(r) \in I'\}.$

Let $a, b \in f^1(I') \to a \in R$ and $f(a) \in I'$ and $b \in R$ and $f(b) \in I'$.

: R ring → a – b ∈R → f(a - b) = f(a) – f(b) → f(a) – f(b) ∈ I' (I' is an ideal of R') \rightarrow f(a - b) \in I' \rightarrow a - b \in f⁻¹(I').

Now, let a, $b \in f^1(I') \le R \to a$. $b \in R \to f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b) \in I'(I'$ is an ideal of R') \rightarrow f(a . b) $\in I' \rightarrow$ a . b $\in f^{1}(I')$

 \therefore f¹(I') is a subring of R.

Now, to prove $f^1(I')$ is an ideal, let $c \in R$, $a \in f^1(I') \leq R \rightarrow f(a) \in I'$ and ca \in R (R is ring) \rightarrow f(ca) = f(c). f(a) \in I'(I' is an ideal) \rightarrow f(ca) $\in I' \to$ ca $\in f^1(I')$.

By the same way, ac $\in f^1(I')$.

 \therefore f¹(I') is an ideal of R.

Definition. A ring homomorphism which is 1-1 and onto is said to be *isomorphism* $(R \cong R')$

The Isomorphism Theorems

First Isomorphism Theorem. (F.I.Th.)

Let R and R' be two rings and f: $R \rightarrow R'$ be an epimorphism, then $\frac{R}{ker f} \cong R'.$

Proof. H.W.

Remark. If f is not epimorphism in F.I.Th., then $\frac{R}{ker f} \cong f(R)$

Second Isomorphism Theorem. (S.I.Th.)

Let I and J be two ideals of a ring R, then $\frac{I+J}{J} \cong \frac{I}{I\cap I}$ ூ∩

Proof. H.W.

Third Isomorphism Theorem. (T.I.Th.)

Let I and J be two ideals of a ring R, with $I \subseteq J$, then \boldsymbol{R} \overline{I} J I $\cong \frac{R}{I}$ $\frac{n}{J}$.

Proof. H.W.

Theorem. Let f: $K \rightarrow K'$ be a ring homomorphism, with K, K' are fields. Then either f is one $-$ to $-$ one or f is zero function.

Proof. Since K is field and kerf is an ideal in K. Then either kerf $= 0$, so f is one – to – one or kerf = K hence $f \equiv 0$.

Remark. Let f: R \rightarrow R' be a ring homomorphism, then $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Proof. Define g: $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ by $g(x + n \mathbb{Z}) = [x]$. Then g is an isomorphism.

 $(if x + n\mathbb{Z} \in \text{ker } g \rightarrow [0] = g(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = [x] \rightarrow x \in [0] \rightarrow x + n\mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}.$ Since nZ is the zero of $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}} \to g$ is monomorism)