

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Evaluating treatment effect on interferon-alpha in female patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a case-control study

Ruaa Hameed Abdulridha¹, Asmaa Mohammed Saud², Mohammed Hadi Alosami³

Abstract

Objective: To assess the effect of treatment on interferon-alpha titer in the serum of female patients with systemic lupus erythematosus.

Method: The case-control study was conducted at the Rheumatology Unit of Baghdad Teaching Hospital from January 2022 to April 2022 and comprised female systemic lupus erythematosus patients and healthy controls matched for age. The treated patients formed group A, while the untreated patients were placed in group B, and the controls were in group C. The disease severity status was confirmed using the systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index. The serum level of interferon-alpha was determined using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Data was analysed using SPSS 21.

Results: Of the 150 subjects, 50(33.3%) were in each of the 3 groups. The mean age of patients was 32.3±9 years while that of the controls was 33.0±11 years. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, creatinine and aspartate aminotransferase were significantly higher in patient groups compared to the controls ($p < 0.05$), while no-significant difference was noted between the treated and untreated groups ($p > 0.05$). Interferon-alpha level was significantly high in group B compared to group A ($p = 0.037$). The increase was more pronounced in those aged ≤ 50 years ($p < 0.05$). Interferon-alpha was significantly high in group B patients with a disease duration of < 1 year compared to group A ($p = 0.01$). Interferon-alpha showed a significant increase in group B compared to the group A in patients with inactive systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index and with family history ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: Treatment had a direct impact on interferon-alpha protein level in the serum of female patients of systemic lupus erythematosus.

Key Words: Creatinine, Sedimentation, Rheumatology, Lupus Erythematosus, Interferon-alpha, Enzyme, Immunosorbent, Aspartate Aminotransferases

(JPMA 74: S210 (Supple-8); 2024) DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA-BAGH-16-47>

Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a multifactorial and chronic autoimmune disorder having a wide spectrum effect¹. The main feature of the disease is the production of a wide variety of autoantibodies that attack self-antigens, such as host nuclear-antigens, immune complexes, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), and cellular elements². The management of SLE is critically important to limit chronic complications that lead to organ damage, decrease the flare, and prevent the toxicity of immunosuppression drugs³. SLE patients prefer to decrease sunlight exposure, as ultraviolet (UV) rays can flare up cutaneous and other systemic symptoms⁴. Interferons (IFNs) are cytokines with antiviral activity and immunomodulatory functions⁵. IFNs are categorised into type I, type II and type III, depending on structure,

genetics, function features and cell-surface receptors⁶. The type I interferon is the bigger family that consists of IFN- α , IFN- β , IFN- ω , IFN- κ and IFN- ϵ classes, while IFN- α has 12 proteins in humans⁷. Type I IFNs play a vital function in the immune system by mediating responses against viral invasion, and are considered a bridge that link adaptive and innate immunity^{7,8}. Studies showed that the greater contributor from the type I family in SLE immune-pathogenesis is IFN- α ^{9,10}.

The current study was planned to assess the effect of treatment on IFN- α titer in the serum of female SLE patients.

Patients and Methods

The case-control study was conducted at the Rheumatology Unit of Baghdad Teaching Hospital. Samples were collected from January 2022 to April 2022, after approval from the ethics review committee of the Iraqi Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research and oral informed consent was obtained from all participants. A consecutive nonprobability sampling technique was adopted. The sample comprised female

¹⁻²Department of Medical Laboratory Techniques, Al-Hikma University College, Baghdad, Iraq³ University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

Correspondence: Ruaa Hameed Abdulridha

Email: roaaltae@gmail.com

SLE patients and healthy controls matched for age. The treated patients formed group A, while the untreated patients were placed in group B, and the controls with negative autoimmune antibodies were in group C. The SLE status was confirmed by rheumatologists in line with European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) and American College of Rheumatology (ACR) diagnostic criteria¹¹.

Data related to age, family history, clinical history, treatment and routine laboratory parameters was obtained from the institutional medical records database. The disease severity was determined based on the guidelines of the systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index 2000 (SLEDAI-2K)¹². Patients who developed lupus nephritis, or had overlapping immune diseases were excluded, and so were juvenile SLE cases.

Blood samples were drawn for complete blood count (CBC) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) testing. Serum concentrations of blood urea, creatinine, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine transferase (ALT) were measured using automated Fujifilm (FUJI DRI-CHEM SLIDE, FUJIFILM WAKO Pure Chemical Co., Osaka, Japan), while anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA) and anti-ds-DNA were estimated using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique (Human Company, Germany).

Table-1: Baseline characteristics and laboratory investigations of the subjects..

Parameters Mean \pm SD* or N (%)	Patients (N=100)	Controls (N=50)	P	Untreated (N=50)	treated (N=50)	P
Age (years)	32.3 \pm 9	33.0 \pm 11				
Age groups N (%)						
Adult-onset (\leq 50)	93 (93%)					
Late-onset (>50)	7 (7%)					
P	<0.001*					
Disease Duration (years)	4.1 \pm 1.9					
Family History N (%)						
With	18(18%)					
Without	82(82%)					
SLEDAI-2K	6.7 \pm 3.0					
Active SLE N (%)	59(59%)					
Inactive SLE N (%)	41(41%)					
WBC (103/mL)	6.66 \pm 2.63	6.38 \pm 1.52	0.514	6.8 \pm 2.1	6.6 \pm 2.7	0.714
HB (g/dl)	11.0 \pm 0.9	11.9 \pm 1.1	0.401	11.9 \pm 1.8	10.8 \pm 1.8	0.240
ESR (mm/hr.)	42.1 \pm 36.1	11.8 \pm 9.9	<0.001*	43.2 \pm 36.6	36.7 \pm 34.2	0.503
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0.98 \pm 0.12	0.62 \pm 0.11	<0.001*	0.6 \pm 0.1	0.6 \pm 0.08	0.166
ALT (U/L)	20.91 \pm 31.42	17.24 \pm 3.50	0.411	22.2 \pm 34.4	14.7 \pm 2.9	0.371
AST (U/L)	25.37 \pm 26.50	17.23 \pm 3.58	<0.001*	26 \pm 28	22.6 \pm 18.2	0.637
ANA positivity N (%)	90(90%)			50(55.5%)	40(44.5%)	0.179

WBC: White blood cell, Hb: Haemoglobin, ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, ALT: Alanine transferase, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, ANA: Antinuclear antibody. SLEDAI-2K: systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index-2000. *Correlation was significant at p<0.05 level.

The IFN- α titer in serum was estimated using an ELISA kit (Cell Bio Labs I, United States; Catalogue No. RDEEH3254) as per the manufacturer's instructions.

Data was analysed using SPSS 21. Data was expressed as frequencies and percentages, or mean \pm standard deviation, or median with interquartile range (IQR), as appropriate. P<0.05 was considered significant at 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results

Of the 150 subjects, 50(33.3%) were in each of the 3 groups. The mean age of patients was 32.3 \pm 9 years while that of the controls was 33.0 \pm 11 years. Among the patients, adult-onset cases were 93(93%), while late-onset was noted in 7(7%) (p<0.001). The mean disease period was 4.1 \pm 1.9 years. Additionally, 59(59%) of the SLE patients were in the active state and 41(41%) were inactive (Table 1).

IFN- α level was significantly high in group B compared to group A (p=0.037). The increase was more pronounced in those aged \leq 50 years (p<0.05). IFN- α was significantly high in group B patients with a disease duration of <1 year compared to group A (p=0.01). IFN- α showed a significant increase in group B compared to the group A in patients with inactive SLE and with family history (p<0.05) (Table 2).

Table-2: Serum level of interferon-alpha (IFN- α) in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients and controls.

Characteristics	SLE (N=100)	Controls(N=50)	Mean; pg/ml	Untreated (N=50)	treated (N=50)	P
			P			
Age (years)	32.3 \pm 9	33.0 \pm 11				
Age groups N (%)						
Adult-onset (\leq 50)	93 (93%)					
Late-onset (>50)	7 (7%)					
P	<0.001*					
Disease Duration (years)	4.1 \pm 1.9					
Family History N (%)						
With	18(18%)					
Without	82(82%)					
SLEDAI-2K	6.7 \pm 3.0					
Active SLE N (%)	59(59%)					
Inactive SLE N (%)	41(41%)					
WBC (103/mL)	6.66 \pm 2.63	6.38 \pm 1.52	0.514	6.8 \pm 2.1	6.6 \pm 2.7	0.714
HB (g/dl)	11.0 \pm 0.9	11.9 \pm 1.1	0.401	11.9 \pm 1.8	10.8 \pm 1.8	0.240
ESR (mm/hr.)	42.1 \pm 36.1	11.8 \pm 9.9	<0.001*	43.2 \pm 36.6	36.7 \pm 34.2	0.503
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0.98 \pm 0.12	0.62 \pm 0.11	<0.001*	0.6 \pm 0.1	0.6 \pm 0.08	0.166
ALT (U/L)	20.91 \pm 31.42	17.24 \pm 3.50	0.411	22.2 \pm 34.4	14.7 \pm 2.9	0.371
AST (U/L)	25.37 \pm 26.50	17.23 \pm 3.58	<0.001*	26 \pm 28	22.6 \pm 18.2	0.637
ANA positivity N (%)	90(90%)			50(55.5%)	40(44.5%)	0.179

NS: Non-significant. S: Significant..

Discussion

The present study suggested age as a risk factor in SLE aetiology, which was in agreement with previous studies^{13,14}.

The current study found a significant difference in the positivity of ANA between SLE patients and healthy controls, with positivity reaching 90% in SLE patients and there was no significant difference between the untreated and treated patients. This was reported earlier as well^{15,16}.

The present study showed a non-significant difference in IFN- α titer with respect to the overall sample, which was in disagreement with a majority of previous researches¹⁷⁻¹⁹. The difference could be because of different ethnicities. It could also be explained by the difference in medication and dose²⁰.

The present study detected significant differences in untreated SLE patients with a high mean IFN- α level compared to low IFN- α level in the treated group. This result was in contrast with data reported from Egypt¹⁹. Besides, a study showed no significant difference in IFN- α levels between groups taking different medications²¹.

The IFN- α titer in the serum was found to be correlated significantly with age \leq 50 years, which was similar to an earlier study²².

The present study showed a negative association

between IFN- α and SLEDAI, which was in agreement with on study¹⁹, while others suggested a positive association between IFN- α and SLEDAI²³⁻²⁵.

The IFN- α level in the serum of SLE patients with family history showed a significant increase compared to those without any family history, which was in agreement with earlier findings¹⁸.

Limitation: The current study has limitations, as the sample size was not calculated which could have affected the power of the study.

Conclusion

Treatment had a direct impact on IFN-alpha protein level in the serum of female SLE patients.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to the medical staff at the Rheumatology Clinic of Baghdad Teaching Hospital, and to the administration of the Department of Biotechnology, College of Science, University of Baghdad.

Disclaimer: None.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Source of Funding: None.

References

- Ha E, Bae SC, Kim K. Recent advances in understanding the genetic basis of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Semin Immunopathol* 2022;44:29-46. doi: 10.1007/s00281-021-00900-w.
- Horst AK, Kumashie KG, Neumann K, Diehl L, Tiegs G. Antigen

- presentation, autoantibody production, and therapeutic targets in autoimmune liver disease. *Cell Mol Immunol* 2021;18:92-111. doi: 10.1038/s41423-020-00568-6.
3. Kuhn A, Bonsmann G, Anders HJ, Herzer P, Tenbrock K, Schneider M. The diagnosis and treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Deutsch Arztebl Int* 2015;112:423. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2015.0423.
 4. Kuhn A, Gensch K, Haust M, Meuth AM, Boyer F, Dupuy P, et al. Photoprotective effects of a broad-spectrum sunscreen in ultraviolet-induced cutaneous lupus erythematosus: a randomized, vehicle-controlled, double-blind study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2011;64:37-48. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2009.12.053.
 5. Lin FC, Young HA. Interferons: Success in anti-viral immunotherapy. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 2014;25:369-76. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2014.07.015.
 6. Lazear HM, Schoggins JW, Diamond MS. Shared and Distinct Functions of Type I and Type III Interferons. *Immunity* 2019;50:907-23. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.025.
 7. Rönnblom L, Leonard D. Interferon pathway in SLE: one key to unlocking the mystery of the disease. *Lupus Sci Med* 2019;6:e000270. doi: 10.1136/lupus-2018-000270.
 8. Weckerle CE, Franek BS, Kelly JA, Kumabe M, Mikolaitis RA, Green SL, et al. Network analysis of associations between serum interferon- α activity, autoantibodies, and clinical features in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:1044-53. doi: 10.1002/art.30187.
 9. Ko K, Koldobskaya Y, Rosenzweig E, Niewold TB. Activation of the Interferon Pathway is Dependent Upon Autoantibodies in African-American SLE Patients, but Not in European-American SLE Patients. *Front Immunol* 2013;4:309. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2013.00309.
 10. Ramaswamy M, Tummala R, Streicher K, Nogueira da Costa A, Brohawn PZ. The Pathogenesis, Molecular Mechanisms, and Therapeutic Potential of the Interferon Pathway in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus and Other Autoimmune Diseases. *Int J Mol Sci* 2021;22:11286. doi: 10.3390/ijms222011286.
 11. Aringer M, Leuchten N, Johnson SR. New Criteria for Lupus. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2020;22:18. doi: 10.1007/s11926-020-00896-6.
 12. Mai L, Asaduzzaman A, Noamani B, Fortin PR, Gladman DD, Touma Z, et al. The baseline interferon signature predicts disease severity over the subsequent 5 years in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2021;23:29. doi: 10.1186/s13075-021-02414-0.
 13. Medhat BM, Sobhy N, Eissa B. AB0553: Characteristics of systemic lupus erythematosus among various age groups: comparison between juvenile onset, adult onset, and late onset systemic lupus patients from a single tertiary centre in egypt. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77(Suppl 2):1432. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-eular.6565.
 14. Abdulridha RH, Saud AM, Alosami MH. Evaluation of interferon alpha (IFN- α) in women with systemic lupus erythematosus in Iraq. *Iraqi J Sci* 2022;63:4225-33. DOI: 10.24996/ijsc.2022.63.10.9.
 15. Leuchten N, Hoyer A, Brinks R, Schoels M, Schneider M, Smolen J, et al. Performance of Antinuclear Antibodies for Classifying Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Regression of Diagnostic Data. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2018;70:428-38. doi: 10.1002/acr.23292.
 16. Choi MY, Clarke AE, St Pierre Y, Hanly JG, Urowitz MB, Romero-Diaz J, et al. Antinuclear Antibody-Negative Systemic Lupus Erythematosus in an International Inception Cohort. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2019;71:893-902. doi: 10.1002/acr.23712.
 17. Ytterberg SR, Schnitzer TJ. Serum interferon levels in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1982;25:401-6. doi: 10.1002/art.1780250407.
 18. Niewold TB, Hua J, Lehman TJ, Harley JB, Crow MK. High serum IFN- α activity is a heritable risk factor for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Genes Immun* 2007;8:492-502. doi: 10.1038/sj.gene.6364408.
 19. Fayed A, El Menyawi MM, Ghanema M, Shaker O, Elgohary R. Measurement of serum interferon alpha in Egyptian patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and evaluation of its effect on disease activity: a case-control study. *Reumatismo* 2020;72:145-53. doi: 10.4081/reumatismo.2020.1308.
 20. Postal M, Sinicato NA, Peliçari KO, Marini R, Lavras Costalat LT, Appenzeller S. Clinical and serological manifestations associated with interferon- α levels in childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)* 2012;67:157-62. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2012(02)11.
 21. Jolly M, Francis S, Aggarwal R, Mikolaitis RA, Niewold TB, Chubinskaya S, et al. Serum free light chains, interferon-alpha, and interleukins in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2014;23:881-8. doi: 10.1177/0961203314530793.
 22. Niewold TB, Adler JE, Glenn SB, Lehman TJ, Harley JB, Crow MK. Age- and sex-related patterns of serum interferon-alpha activity in lupus families. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:2113-9. doi: 10.1002/art.23619.
 23. Feng X, Wu H, Grossman JM, Hanvivadhanakul P, FitzGerald JD, Park GS, et al. Association of increased interferon-inducible gene expression with disease activity and lupus nephritis in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:2951-62. doi: 10.1002/art.22044.
 24. Kirou KA, Lee C, George S, Louca K, Peterson MG, Crow MK. Activation of the interferon-alpha pathway identifies a subgroup of systemic lupus erythematosus patients with distinct serologic features and active disease. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:1491-503. doi: 10.1002/art.21031.
 25. Fu Q, Chen X, Cui H, Guo Y, Chen J, Shen N, Bao C. Association of elevated transcript levels of interferon-inducible chemokines with disease activity and organ damage in systemic lupus erythematosus patients. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2008;10:R112. doi: 10.1186/ar2510.