See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333639099

# SOME RESULTS OF $(\alpha, \beta)$ DERIVATIONS ON PRIME SEMRINGS

Article *in* Iraqi Journal of Science · May 2019 DOI: 10.24996/ijs.2019.60.5.23

CITATIONS		READS				
2		181				
2 autho	rs:					
	Maryam K. Rasheed		Abdulrahman H Majeed			
	University of Baghdad		College of science,Baghdad university			
	7 PUBLICATIONS 13 CITATIONS		82 PUBLICATIONS 279 CITATIONS			
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE			

All content following this page was uploaded by Maryam K. Rasheed on 06 June 2019.

Rasheed and Majeed

Iraqi Journal of Science, 2019, Vol. 60, No. 5. pp: 1154-1160 DOI: 10.24996/ijs.2019.60.5.23





ISSN: 0067-2904

# SOME RESULTS OF $(\alpha, \beta)$ DERIVATIONS ON PRIME SEMIRINGS

# Maryam K. Rasheed\*, Abdulrahman. H. Majeed

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

#### Abstract

This paper investigates the concept  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on semiring and extend a few results of this map on prime semiring. We establish the commutativity of prime semiring and investigate when  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation becomes zero.

**Keywords**: Semirings, Prime Semirings, Semiprime Semirings,  $(\alpha, \beta)$  Derivation.

بعض النتائج للمشتقات (α, β) على اشباه الحلقات الاولية مربم خضير رشيد\*، عبد الرحمن حميد مجيد

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة في هذا البحث درسنا مفهوم المشتقات (α, β) على اشباه الحلقات وقمنا بتوسيع بعض النتائج على اشباه الحلقات الاولية. و حصلنا على ابدالية اشباه الحلقات الاولية وكذلك متى تصبح المشتقات (α, β) صفر ا عليها.

#### 1. Introduction

The notation of semiring was first introduced by Vandiver in 1934, then many researchers had been studying diverse kinds of semirings, it's properties and different types of derivations on it. A nonempty set say *S* together with two binary operations (addition and multiplication), this triple is called semiring, if *S* with addition is a semigroup, *S* with multiplication is also semigroup and addition distributive with respect to multiplication on S [1]. The only difference between ring and semiring conditions is there's no addition invertible elements in semirings but this property exist in rings since the set together with addition define a group. If we suppose S any semiring and *D*:  $S \rightarrow S$  be a map defined on *S*, then *D* is called additive map if it preserves addition relation. Now, this additive map said to be derivation on *S* if D(xy) = D(x) y + x D(y) for all *x* and *y* in *S*. Moreover  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation introduced as d is derivation on *S* and  $\alpha, \beta$  is two automorphisms on S such that  $d(xy) = \alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)$  for all *x* and *y* in *S* [2]. We also used commutator which is defined in [3] as [x, y] = xy - yx with [x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z] and [xy, z] = x [y, z] + [x, z] y. We'll also present some necessary definitions for this paper in the preliminaries.

#### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1:** - [4] A nonempty set *S* with the binary operation \* said to be semigroup iff x \* (y \* z) = (x \* y) \* z for all *x*, *y*,  $z \in S$ .

**Definition 2.2**: - [4] A semigroup *S* called commutative iff x \* y = y \* x for all  $x, y \in S$ .

**Definition 2.3**: - [4] A nonempty set S with two binary operation + and . is said to be a semiring iff the following conditions satisfied:-

1- (S, +) Semigroup.

2- (S, .) Semigroup.

<sup>\*</sup>Email: maryamkhdhayer@yahoo.com

3- x. (y + z) = x. y + x. z and (y + z). x = y. x + z. x for all x, y,  $z \in S$ .

Notation: Throughout this paper we shall assume that S contains 0 and 1.

**Example 2.4**: - [4] Let  $B = \{0, 1\}$  and +, . defined on *B* by the tables below:

+	0	1	•	0	1
0	0	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	1

(B, +, .) is semiring.

**Example 2.5**: - [4] Let  $Z_0^+ = \{x \in Z : x \ge 0\}$ , + and . are usual addition and usual multiplication, then  $(Z_0^+, +, .)$  is semiring but not ring.

**Example 2.6**: - Let  $S = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in Z_0^+ \}$  with usual matrices addition and multiplication of integers, then S is semiring.

**Definition 2.7**: - [5] A semiring *S* is called additively commutative iff x + y = y + x for all  $x, y \in S$ , and called multiplicatively commutative iff x, y = y, x for all  $x, y \in S$ . Also *S* is called commutative semiring iff it is both additively and multiplicatively commutative.

**Definition 2.8**: - [6] A semiring *S* is called additively cancellative iff x + y = x + z implies y = z for all *x*, *y*,  $z \in S$ , and it is called multiplicatively cancellative iff *x*. y = x. *z* implies y = z for all *x*, *y*,  $z \in S$ . Also *S* called cancellative semiring iff it is both additively cancellative and multiplicatively cancellative. **Definition 2.9**: - [3] Let *S* be a semiring, the set  $Z(S) = \{x \in S: x, y = y, x, \text{ for all } x, y \in S\}$  is called the center of *S*.

**Lemma 2.10**:- If *S* is multiplicatively commutative then Z(S) = S.

**Proof**: - It's clear that  $Z(S) \subseteq S$ , we need only to show that  $S \subseteq Z(S)$ .

Let  $x \in S$ , since S is multiplicatively commutative then x. y = y. x, for all  $y \in S$ , so  $x \in Z(S)$ .

We get  $S \subseteq Z(S)$ , then Z(S) = S.

**Definition 2.11**: - [4] Let (S, +, .) be a semiring, an element  $0 \in S$  called zero of *S* iff x + 0 = x = 0 + x for all  $x \in S$ , an element  $1 \in S$  called identity of *S* iff  $x \cdot 1 = x = 1 \cdot x$  for all  $x \in S$ .

**Definition 2.12**: - [1] Let (S, +, ...) be a semiring and *T* nonempty proper subset of S, *T* is called subsemiring if it is semiring with + and . i.e. (T, +, ...) is semiring itself.

**Remark 2.13**: - [2] If S is semiring with 0 and 1 then any subset of S which contain 0 and 1 is subsemiring of S.

**Definition 2.14**: - [7] Let (S, +, .) be a semiring and *I* a nonempty subset of *S*, if:-

1- 1 ∉ I.

2-  $a + b \in S$  for all  $a, b \in I$ .

3-  $r.a \in S$  for all  $a \in I$  and  $r \in S$ .

Then *I* is called Left ideal of *S*. Right ideal defined similarly. If *I* is both left and right, then we call it an ideal.

**Example 2.15**: - Let  $Z_0^+$  with usual addition and usual multiplication is semiring,  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{2n: \text{ for some } n \in Z_0^+\}$  is an ideal of  $Z_0^+$ .

1-  $1 \notin \langle 2 \rangle$ .

2- Since usual addition closed under  $Z_0^+$  then it is closed under < 2 >.

3- Let  $2n_1 \in \langle 2 \rangle$  and  $n \in z_0^+$  then n.  $2n_1 = \langle 2.n \rangle$   $n_1 \in \langle 2 \rangle$  since usual multiplication is associative. So,  $\langle 2 \rangle$  is an ideal of  $Z_0^+$ .

**Definition 2.16:** [1] Let *S* be a semiring and *I* a nonzero ideal of *S*, the set  $Z(I) = \{ a \in I : a. b = b. a, \forall b \in I \}$  called the center of *I*.

**Lemma 2.17:** If *I* is commutative as semiring then Z(I) = I. **Proof:** - Trivial.

**Definition 2.18**: - [8] A semiring *S* is called semiprime if whenever x S x = 0 implies x=0 for all  $x \in S$ . **Definition 2.19**: - [8] A semiring *S* is called Prime if whenever x S y = 0 implies either x = 0 or y = 0 for all  $x, y \in S$ .

**Definition 2.20:** - [8] A semiring S is called *n*-torsion free iff whenever nx = 0 then x = 0 for all  $x \in S$ , where  $n \neq 0$ . **Lemma 2.21**:- Let S be a prime semiring and I a nonzero left (right) ideal of S, then  $Z(I) \subseteq Z(S)$ . **Proof**: - Let  $0 \neq a \in Z(I)$ , since  $Z(I) \subseteq I$ , then  $a \in I$ . Let  $x \in S$ , then  $x \in I$  (By definition of left ideal). Since  $a \in Z(I)$ , we have [xa, a] = x [a, a] + [x, a] a = 0 then, [x, a] a = 0 for all  $x \in S$ ... (1) Replace x by xy in (1), where  $y \in S$  we get [xy, a] a = x [y, a] a + [x, a] ya = 0. By using (1) we get [x, a] ya = 0 for all x,  $y \in S$  and for all  $a \in I$ . Then [x, a] S I = 0. By primness either [x, a] = 0 or I = 0. Since *I* nonzero ideal of *S* then [x, a] = 0 for all  $x \in S$  and  $a \in I$ Then  $Z(I) \subseteq Z(S)$ . **Lemma 2.22**: - Let S be a semiring and I a nonzero ideal of S, if I commutative as semiring then  $I \subseteq Z$ (*S*) and if *S* prime then *S* is commutative. **Proof**: - Since *I* commutative as semiring then I = Z(I) by (Lemma 2.16) By (lemma 2.21) we have  $Z(I) \subseteq Z(S)$ , then  $I \subseteq Z(S)$ . Now, If S prime Let  $x, y \in S$  and  $a \in I$ Then  $a x \in Z(S)$ i.e. [ax, y] = 0 for all  $y \in S$  $a[x, y] + [a, y] x = 0 \Rightarrow a[x, y] = 0$  for all  $a \in I$ Then  $I[x, y] = 0 \Rightarrow IS[x, y] = 0$ Now by primness of S we get either I = 0 or [x, y] = 0Since *I* nonzero ideal then [x, y] = 0 for all  $x, y \in S$ , Then *S* commutative. **Definition 2.23:** - [2] Let S be a semiring, a function f:  $S \rightarrow S$  is called additive map if it is preserve addition relation i.e. f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) for all  $x, y \in S$ . **Definition 2.24**: - [2] An additive map  $d: S \rightarrow S$  is called derivation on S if d(xy) = d(x)y + x d(y) for all  $x, y \in S$ **Definition 2.25**: - [8] Let S be a semiring and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are two automorphisms of S, an additive map d:  $S \rightarrow S$ is called  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on S if  $d(xy) = \alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . **Example 2.26**: - [2] Let  $S = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in Z_0^+ \}$  with usual matrices addition and multiplication is semiring. Suppose  $\alpha$ :  $S \to S$  defined by  $\alpha \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\beta$ :  $S \to S$  defined by  $\beta \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ . Define a derivation d:  $S \to S$  by  $d \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , d is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on S. Since *d* is derivation on *S* (i.e. additive map), we will only check if  $d(xy) = \alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)$ . Since *a* is derivation on *s* (i.e. addrive map), we will consider *a* is derivation on *s* (i.e. addrive map), we will consider *a* is derivation of *s* (i.e. addrive map), we will consider *a* (*x*) (*x*) =  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & c_1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $y = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d(x y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 b_2 + b_1 c_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\alpha(x) d(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $d(x) \beta(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1 c_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 b_2 + b_1 c_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We get  $d(xy) = \alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 b_2 + b_1 c_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We get,  $d(xy) = \alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)$  then d is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on S. **Lemma 2.27**:- Let S be a prime semiring. Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two automorphisms of S and d:  $S \rightarrow S$ is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation such that for all  $x \in S$ , a. d(x) = 0 or d(x). a = 0, where  $a \in S$ , then either a = 0 or d = 00. **Proof**:- Let a. d(x) = 0. Since *d* is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation of *S*, then  $d(xy) = \alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

Then *a*.  $(\alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)) = a \cdot \alpha(x) d(y) + a \cdot d(x) \beta(y) = a \cdot \alpha(x) d(y) = 0$ . Since  $\alpha$  is automorphism of *S* we get, *a S d* (*y*) = 0.

By primness of *S* either a = 0 or d(x) = 0 for all  $x \in S$ . i.e. either a = 0 or d = 0. Similarly for d(x). a = 0.

## 3. Results

**Theorem 3.1:**- Let S be a cancellative prime semiring. Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two nonzero automorphisms of S and d:  $S \rightarrow S$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation. If d acts as homomorphism on S then d = 0 on S. **Proof**: - Since *d* is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation of S, then d  $(x y) = \alpha (x) d (y) + d (x) \beta (y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$ ... (1) Since *d* acts as homomorphism on *S*, we get d(x y) = d(x) d(y) for all  $x, y \in S$ ... (2) From (1) and (2) we get:  $\alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y) = d(x) d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$ ... (3) Replace *x* by *x r* in (3), where  $r \in S$ , we get:  $\alpha(x r) d(y) + d(x r) \beta(y) = d(x r) d(y)$ Since *d* acts homomorphism on *S* and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  automorphisms of *S* then  $\alpha(x) \alpha(r) d(y) + d(x) d(r) \beta(y) = d(x) d(r) d(y)$ = d(x) d(ry) $= d(x) [\alpha(r) d(y) + d(r) \beta(y)]$  $= d(x) \alpha(r) d(y) + d(x) d(r) \beta(y)$ Then by additively cancellative property we get:  $\alpha$  (*x*)  $\alpha$  (*r*) *d* (*y*) = *d* (*x*)  $\alpha$  (*r*) *d* (*y*) Now, by multiplicatively cancellative propertywe get:  $\alpha(x) = d(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ ... (4) Replace *x* by *x y* in the above equation we get:  $\alpha$  (x y) = d (x y) Since  $\alpha$  is automorphism of *S* then  $\alpha$  (*x*)  $\alpha$  (*y*) = *d* (*xy*) Since  $\alpha = d$  from relation (4) then  $\alpha(x) d(y) = \alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)$ By additive cancellative property the result is:  $d(x)\beta(y) = 0$ By (Lemma 2.27) and since  $\beta \neq 0$  then d = 0 on *S*. **Theorem 3.2**: - Let S be a cancellative prime semiring. Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two nonzero automorphisms of S and d:  $S \rightarrow S$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation such that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  commute with d. If d acts as antihomomorphism on *S* then d = 0 on *S*. **Proof**: - since d is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation of S Then  $d(x y) = \alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$ ... (1) Since d acts as anti-homomorphism on SThen d(x y) = d(y) d(x) for all  $x, y \in S$ ... (2) From (1) and (2) we get:  $\alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y) = d(y) d(x)$  for all  $x, y \in S$ ... (3) Replace x by x y in (3) we get:  $\alpha$  (x y) d (y) + d (x y)  $\beta$  (y) = d (x y) d (y) Since *d* acts homomorphism on *S* and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  automorphisms of *S*, then  $\alpha(x) \alpha(y) d(y) + d(y) d(x) \beta(y) = d(y) d(x) d(y)$ = d(y) d(xy) $= d(y) [\alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)]$  $= d(y) \alpha(x) d(y) + d(y) d(x) \beta(y)$ Then by additively cancellative property we get:  $\alpha$  (x)  $\alpha$  (y) d (y) = d (y)  $\alpha$  (x) d (y) Now, since  $\alpha$  commute with d and by multiplicatively cancellative property we get:  $\alpha(y) = d(y)$  for all  $y \in S$ ... (4) Replace *y* by *x y* in the above equation we get:  $\alpha (x y) = d (x y)$ Since  $\alpha$  is automorphism of *S*, then  $\alpha(x) \alpha(y) = d(x y)$ . Since  $\alpha = d$  from relation (4) then  $\alpha(x) d(y) = \alpha(x) d(y) + d(x) \beta(y)$ By additive cancellative property the result is:

 $d(x)\beta(y) = 0$ By (Lemma 2.27) and since  $\beta \neq 0$  then d = 0 on S. **Lemma 3.3**:- Let S be a semiring and I a nonzero ideal of S. Suppose that  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are two automorphisms on S and d:  $S \rightarrow S$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation such that d onto on I. If [d(u), a] = 0 for all  $u \in I$  and  $a \in S$ , then a  $\in Z(I).$ **Proof**: - Since [d(u), a] = 0 for all  $u \in I$ , then [d(I), a] = 0 for all  $a \in S$ . Since *d* is onto on *I*, then d(I) = I. Then [I, a] = 0 for all  $a \in S$ . Then  $a \in Z(I)$ . **Theorem 3.4:** - Let S be a 2-torsion free prime semiring and I nonzero ideal of S. Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ are two nonzero automorphisms of S and d:  $S \rightarrow S$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on S such that d onto on I, and d commute with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . If d(xy) = d(yx) for all  $x, y \in I$  then S is commutative. **Proof**: - For any element  $c \in I$  such that d(c) = 0, We have d(z c) = d(c z). Let c = [x, y] where  $x, y \in I$ . Since *d* is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on *S* then,  $\alpha(z) d(c) + d(z) \beta(c) = \alpha(c) d(z) + d(c) \beta(z)$ Since d(c) = 0 we get:  $d(z)\beta(c) = \alpha(c) d(z)$ ... (1) i.e. [c, d(z)] = 0 for all  $z \in I$ By (Lemma 3.3) we get  $c \in Z(I)$  for all  $c \in I$ Then  $[x, y] \in Z(I)$ . That's mean: [a, [x, y]] = 0 for all  $a \in I$ ... (2) Replace y by x y in (2) we get: [a, [x, x y]] = 0[a, x] [a, y] = 0... (3) Replace y by y a in (3) we get: [a, x] [a, ya] = 0[a, x] y [x, a] = 0 for all  $x, y, a \in I$ [a, x] I [x, a] = 0[a, x] S I [x, a] = 0.By primness of S, either [a, x] = 0 or I [x, a] = 0. If [a, x] = 0 for all  $a, x \in I$  then *I* is commutative. If I[x, a] = 0, then IS[x, a] = 0. By primness of S and since I is nonzero ideal we get [x, a] = 0 for all  $x, a \in I$ . Then *I* is commutative. By (Lemma2.22) we get *S* is commutative. **Lemma 3.5:** Let S be prime semiring. Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two nonzero automorphisms of S and d:  $S \rightarrow S$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on S. If d acts as homomorphism on I and d = 0 on I then d = 0 on S. **Proof**: - Let  $s v \in I$ , where  $s \in S$  and  $v \in I$ , then d(s v) = 0Since *d* acts as homomorphism then. d(s) d(v) = 0... (1) Since *d* is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation of *S* then,  $\alpha$  (s)  $d(v) + d(s)\beta(v) = 0$ ... (2) From (1) and (2) we get:  $d(s) d(v) = \alpha(s) d(v) + d(s) \beta(v)$ Since d = 0 on *I* then,  $D(s) \beta(v) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $v \in I$ ... (3) By (lemma 2.27) either  $\beta(v) = 0$  for all  $v \in I$  or d(s) = 0 for all  $s \in S$ But  $\beta$  nonzero, then d(s) = 0 for all  $s \in S$ . Then d = 0 on S. **Theorem 3.6**: - Let S be a cancellative prime semiring. Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two nonzero automorphisms of S and d:  $S \rightarrow S$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on S. If d acts as homomorphism on I then d = 0 on

S.

Proof

since d is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation of S Then  $d(uv) = \alpha(u) d(v) + d(u) \beta(v)$  for all  $u, v \in I$ ... (1) Since d acts as homomorphism on SThen d(u v) = d(u) d(v) for all  $u, v \in I$ ... (2) From (1) and (2) we get:  $\alpha(u) d(v) + d(u) \beta(v) = d(u) d(v) \quad u, v \in I$ ... (3) Replace *v* by *v t* in (3), where  $t \in I$ , we get:  $\alpha(u) d(v t) + d(u) \beta(v t) = d(u) d(v t)$ Since d acts homomorphism on I and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are automorphisms of I then,  $\alpha$  (*u*) *d* (*v*) *d* (*t*) + *d* (*u*)  $\beta$  (*v*) *d* (*t*) = *d* (*u*) *d* (*v*) *d* (*t*) = d(uv) d(t) $= \left[ \alpha \left( u \right) d \left( v \right) + d \left( u \right) \beta \left( v \right) \right] d \left( t \right)$  $= \alpha (u) d (v) d (t) + d (u) \beta (v) d (t)$ Then by additively cancellative property we get:  $d(u) \beta(v) d(t) = d(u) \beta(v) \beta(t)$ Now, by multiplicatively cancellative propertywe get:  $d(t) = \beta(t)$  for all  $t \in S$ ... (4) Substitute (4) in (3) we get:  $d(u) d(v) = \alpha(u) d(v) + d(u) d(v)$ By multiplicatively cancellative property the result is:  $\alpha$  (*u*) *d* (*v*) = 0 Replace *u* by *ur*, where  $r \in S$  $\alpha$  (*ur*) d (*v*) = 0  $\alpha(u) \alpha(r) d(v) = 0$ Since  $\alpha$  automorphisms of *S* then  $\alpha(u) S d(v) = 0$ By primness either  $\alpha(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in I$  or d(v) = 0 for all  $u \in I$ But  $\alpha$  is nonzero, then d = 0 on *I*. By (Lemma 3.5) we get d = 0 on S. **Lemma 3.7:-** Let *S* be a prime semiring. Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two nonzero automorphisms of *S* and d:  $S \rightarrow S$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on S. If d acts as anti-homomorphism on I and d = 0 on I then d = 0 on S. **Proof**: - Let  $s v \in I$ , where  $s \in S$  and  $v \in I$ , then d(sv) = 0Since *d* acts as anti-homomorphism then, d(v) d(s) = 0... (1) Since *d* is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation of *S* then,  $\alpha(s) d(v) + d(s) \beta(v) = 0$ ... (2) From (1) and (2) we get:  $d(v) d(s) = \alpha(s) d(v) + d(s) \beta(v)$ Since d = 0 on *I* then,  $d(s) \beta(v) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $v \in I$ ... (3) By (Lemma 2.27) either  $\beta(v) = 0$  for all  $v \in I$  or d(s) = 0 for all  $s \in S$ But  $\beta$  nonzero, we get d(s) = 0 for all  $s \in S$ . Then d = 0 on S. **Theorem 3.8**: - Let S be a cancellative prime semiring. Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two nonzero automorphisms of S and d:  $S \rightarrow S$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation on S such that d commute with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . If d acts as anti-homomorphism on *I* then d = 0 on *S*. **Proof**: - Since *d* is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation of *S* Then  $d(u v) = \alpha(u) d(v) + d(u) \beta(v)$  for all  $u, v \in I$ ... (1) Since d acts as anti-homomorphism on S Then d(u v) = d(v) d(u) for all  $u, v \in I$ ... (2) From (1) and (2) we get:  $\alpha$  (*u*) d (*v*) + d (*u*)  $\beta$  (*v*) = d (*v*) d (*u*) *u*, *v*  $\in$  *I* ... (3) Replace *v* by *v t* in (3), where  $t \in I$ , we get:  $\alpha(u) d(v t) + d(u) \beta(vt) = d(v t) d(u)$ 

... (4)

Since d acts anti-homomorphism on *I* then

## References

Jonathan S. Golan. 1992. Semirings and their applications, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel.
Stoyan Dimitrov. 2017. Derivations on Semiring, Technical University of Sofia, department of applied mathematics and informatics.

**3.** Florence D.Mary, Murugesan R., Namasivayam P. **2016**. Centralizer of Semiprime Semiring, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, **12**: 86-93.

**4.** Chowdhury Kanak Ray, Sultana Abeda, Metra Nirmal Kanti, Khodadad Khan A F M, **2014**. Some structural properties of a semiring, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **25**: 158-167.

**5.** Chandramouleeswaran M., Nirmala S. P. **2013**. Generalized Left-Derivation on Semirings *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, **4**(10): 159 – 164.

**6.** Meena N. Sugantha, Chandramouleeswaran M. . **2015.** Reverse Derivation on Semirings, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **104**: 203 – 212.

7. Chandramouleeswaran, M. and Thiruveni V.. 2011. A Note on  $\alpha$  Derivations in Semirings, *International Journal of pure and Applied Sciences and Technology*, 2(1): 71-77.

**8.** Meena N.Sughantha, Chandramouleeswaran M.. **2015**. Orthogonal ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) Derivation on semirings, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **98**(5): 69-74.