# **Spectrophotometric Determination of Sulfamethoxazole in Pure and Pharmaceutical Preparations Based on Condensation Reaction Method**

**Husam S. Khalaf Abdul Mohsin A. Al-Haidari Sarmad B. Dikran Alaa K. Mohammed** *Department of chemistry/College of Education for Pure Science (Ibn Al-Haitham/University of Baghdad*

[husam250@yahoo.com](mailto:husam250@yahoo.com) [sarbadb2000@yahoo.com](mailto:sarbadb2000@yahoo.com)

## **Abstract**

A new, Simple, sensitive and accurate spectrophotometric methods have been developed for the determination of sulfamethoxazole (SMZ) drug in pure and dosage forms. This method based on the reaction of sulfamethoxazole (SMZ) with 1,2-napthoquinone-4-sulphonic acid (NQS) to form Nalkylamono naphthoquinone by replacement of the sulphonate group of the naphthoquinone sulphonic acid by an amino group. The colored chromogen shows absorption maximum at 460 nm. The optimum conditions of condensation reaction forms were investigated by

(1) univariable method, by optimizing the effect of experimental variables (different bases, reagent concentration, borax concentration and reaction time), (2) central composite design (CCD) including the effect of three experimental factors (reagent concentration, borax concentration, and reaction time). The linearity ranges of sulfamethoxazole are  $(5-50)$   $\mu$ g.mL<sup>-1</sup> at 460 nm with molar absorptivity  $(6.7878 \times 10^{4} - 7.0918 \times 10^{4})$  L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup>, Sandell's sensitivity index  $(0.3755 - 0.3571)$  µg.cm<sup>-2</sup> and detection limit of  $(0.3755 - 0.3594) \mu g.mL^{-1}$  for each procedure respectively. The results showed there are no interferences of excipients on the determination of the drug. The proposed method has been successfully applied for the determination of sulfamethoxazole in pure and pharmaceutical preparations.

**Key words:** Sulfamethoxazole, Spectrophotometric determination, dosage forms, 1,2-napthoquinone-4-sulphonic acid (NQS).

# **ألخالصة**

طورت طريقة طيفية جديدة، بسيطة، حساسة ودقيقة، للتقدير ألكمي للسلفاميثوكسازول بشكله ألنقي وفي ألمستحضرات ألصيدلانية. تعتمد ألطريقة عمى تفاعل ألسمفاميثوكسازول مع -2،1 نفثوكوينون -4- حامض سمفونيك لتكوين ن-الكيل امونونفثوكوينون بأستبدال مجموعة سمفونات من حامض السمفونيك نفثوكوينون بمجموعة أمين يظهر مولد ألمون اعمى أمتصاص عند 464 نانوميتر. وقد درست ألظروف ألمثلي بتفاعل ألتكثيف بواسطة (1) طريقة ألمتغيرات ألأحادية بدراسة تأثير ألمتغيرات ألتجريبية على ألظروف ألمثلي للتفاعل )القواعد ألمختمفة، تركيز الكاشف، تركيز ألبوراكس وتأثير زمن ألتفاعل(، )2( تصميم ألتجربة ألمركزي (CCD (بدراسة ثالثة عوامل تجريبية مؤثرة هي (تركيز ألكاشف، تركيز ألبوراكس، زمن ألتفاعل) مدى ألخطية للسلفاميثوكسازول هو (5−50 µg.mL) عند 460  $(0.3571–0.375$  ) نانوميتر مع أمتصاصية مولارية (10−1.0918×10<sup>4</sup> −7.0918)، داللة ساندل ( 0.3571–0.375) وحد ألكشف (1−0.3755 µg.mL) لكل طريقة على التوالي أظهرت ألطريقة عدم وجود تداخل من ألمضافات (0.3594−0.3755 µg عمى تقدير ألدواء، لقد أمكن تطبيق ألطريقة ألمقترحة بنجاح لتقدير ألسمفاميثوكسازول في شكمه ألنقي وفي ألمستحضرات ألصيدالنية. **الكممات ألمفتاحية:** سمفاميثوكسازول، ألتقدير ألطيفي، أالشكال ألصيدالنية، ، -1،2 نفثوكوينون -4- حامض سمفونيك**.**

# **1. Introduction**

Sulfamethoxazole (SMZ) is a member of the sulfonamide family of antibacterial and chemically name is 4-Amino-N-(5-methyl-3-isoxazolyl)-benzene sulfonamide with molecular formula ( $C_{10}H_{11}N_3O_3S$ ), and molecular weight of 253.279 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>, the basic structure of the drug is shown in Scheme (1). White and yellowish white colored, crystallized powder, its use has been limited by the development of resistance and it is now used mainly as a mixture with trimethoprim (Wormser, 1982; European Pharmacopoeia.,2005).

Mixture of sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim which is known as co-trimoxazole is used to treat a wide variety of bacterial infections e.g.: middle ear infections, genito-urinary tract infections, respiratory-tract infections such as bronchitis, and enteric infections. Its main uses now are in Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia, toxoplasmosis, and nocardiosis. Gastrointestinal disturbances (mainly nausea and vomiting) and skin reactions are the most common adverse effects for this drug combination (Sohrabi *et al.,* 2010; Dinça *et al.,* 2011).



**Scheme (1): The chemical composition of sulfamethoxazole**.

Literature survey indicated that few analytical methods have been reported for analysis sulfamethoxazole. They include some spectrophotometric method (Givianrad and Mohagheghian, 2012; Upadhyay *et al.,* 2012; Abdulsatar, 2009; Raja *et al.,* 2009; Nagaraja *et al.,* 2007), HPLC (Herrera *et al.,* 2013; Mahmoud *et al.,* 2013; Pamreddy *et al.,* 2013; Asadi and Gharbani, 2013; Pietron *et al.,* 2013; Hererra *et al.,* 2013; Shaaban and Go´ recki, 2011; Liu *et al.,* 2013; ), flow injection analysis (Icardo *et al.,* 2003), micellar electro kinetic capillary chromatography (MEKC) (Injac *et al.,* 2009). The aim of the present study is to suggest a simple and sensitive spectrophotometric procedures for the determination of sulfamethoxazole in pharmaceutical formulations. The methods are based on the reaction between sulfamethoxazole and NQS reagent to form a colored condensation reaction compound. In addition, the reaction conditions were studied univariatly one-factor-a time and multivaraitly by experimental design approaches in order to optimize the analytical response.

#### **2. Experimental**

#### **2-1: Instruments**

Cecil 7200 CE double beam UV-visible spectrophotometer possessing a fixed slit width (1.8 nm) with quartz cells of 10 mm path length connected to a P. IV computer loaded.

#### **2-2: Materials and reagents**

All reagents were of analytical grade. Sulfamethoxazole was obtained from State Company for Drug Industries and Medical Appliance (SDI) Samarra-Iraq, tablets, and syrup were purchased from a local market.

# **2-3: Preparation of standard stock solutions**

Solution of 1000  $\mu$ g.mL<sup>-1</sup> sulfamethoxazole was prepared by dissolving accurate weighted 0.100 g of pure drug in 10 mL of 0.4 M HCl and further diluted to the mark in volumetric flask 100 mL with distilled water and stored in a cool ( $\langle 25 \,^{\circ}$ C) and dark place, working solution were prepared fresh daily by subsequent dilutions. Sodium 1,2-Naphthoquinone-4-sulphonate (NQS) solution 0.5% (m/v) in D.W. was prepared fresh daily. Sodium hydroxide 0.01 M prepared by dissolving 0.20 gm of pure substance in 100 mL distilled water, Sodium tetraborate decahydrate (Borax) 0.03 M prepared by dissolving 0.57207 g in 25.0 mL double distilled water and diluting to the mark in a 50 mL volumetric flask.

## **2-4: Solution for the analysis of sulfamethoxazole in pharmaceutical preparations 2-4-1: In Tablets**

The contents of 10 tablets were grinded and mixed well. A certain amount of the fine powder was accurately weighted to give an equivalent to 800 mg for tablets and the mean

value of the weight of one tablet was calculated. An amount of the powder equivalent to about 0.0632 g. was accurately weighted, then about 10 mL of 0.4 M HCl was added. Then transferred into 100 mL volumetric flask, and the solution was shaken swirled, leaved to stand for 5 mints and diluted to the mark in a volumetric flask 100 mL with distilled water to get 500  $\mu$ g.mL<sup>-1</sup>. The solution was filtered by using Whatman filter paper No.41 to avoid any suspended or un-dissolved material before use, and the first portion of the filtrate was rejected. Working solutions were freshly prepared by subsequent dilutions with distilled water, and analyzed by the recommended procedure.

## **2-4-2: In Syrup**

Each 5.0 mL of the syrup contains (200 mg of sulfamethoxazole with 40 mg of trimethoprim). An accurately measured volume (1.25 mL) was transferred into a 100 mL volumetric flask, then added 10 mL of 0.4 M HCl swirled, leaved to stand for 5.0 mints and diluted to the mark with distilled water to get  $500 \mu g.mL^{-1} S M Z$ solutions. The solution was filtered by using Whatman filter paper No.41 to avoid any suspended or un-dissolved material before use, and the first portion of the filtrate was rejected. Working solutions were freshly prepared by subsequent dilutions with distilled water, and analyzed by the recommended procedure.

# **2-5: Determination of SMZ Drug in pharmaceutical preparation by Standard Additions Method (SAM)**

- 1- Preparation of SMZ stock solution  $1000 \mu g.mL^{-1}$  according to the method of preparation in the previously mentioned.
- 2- Prepare solution of commercial pharmaceutical preparation (syrup or tablets) concentration of 500  $\mu$ g.mL<sup>-1</sup> according to the method of preparation in the previously mentioned.
- 3- Preparation of (7) solutions in 10 mL volumetric flask for measurements by adding 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 mL of solution commercial pharmaceutical preparation (syrup or tablets) from  $(500 \mu g.mL<sup>-1</sup>)$  commercial pharmaceutical preparation, and added  $(0, 1)$ 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30 mL) of  $(1000 \mu g.mL^{-1})$  standard solution of SMZ drug. A volume of 1.0 mL of 0.05 M borax solution were added to each flask, followed by 1.0 mL of 0.90 %  $(m/v)$  NOS solutions were added, then the mixture was shaken gently until the appearance of orange color. Leave to stand for 5.717 min., and the contents were diluted up to the mark with distilled water. The absorbance of each solution was measured at 460 nm against the reagent blank.

# **2-6: General recommended procedure**

#### **2-6-1: Under univariate conditions**

Aliquots of the standard solution (1000  $\mu$ g.mL<sup>-1</sup>) containing (50-500)  $\mu$ g of sulfamethoxazole were transferred into a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks. A volume of 1.0 mL of 0.03 M borax solution were added to each flask, followed by 1.0 mL of  $0.75\%$  (m/v) NOS solution were added, then the mixture was shaken gently until the appearance of orange color. Leave to stand for 3.0 min., and the contents were diluted up to the mark with distilled water. The absorbance of each solution was measured at 460 nm against the reagent blank.

## **2-6-2: Under multivariate conditions**

Aliquots of the standard solution  $(1000 \text{ µg.mL}^{-1})$  containing  $(50-500) \text{ µg}$  of sulfamethoxazole were transferred into a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks. A volume of 1.0 mL of 0.05 M borax solution were added to each flask, followed by 1.0 mL of 0.90 %  $(m/v)$  NOS solution were added, then the mixture was shaken gently until the appearance of orange color. Leave to stand for 5.717 min., and the contents were diluted up to the mark with distilled water. The absorbance of each solution was measured at 460 nm against the reagent blank.

# **3. Results and discussion**

When the solution of sulfamethoxazole was mixed with NQS in alkaline medium at room temperature, intense coloration was developed, showing a broad band in the region of 420-600 nm. It was found that the product is orange colored exhibiting a  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  at 460 nm, against reagent blank (Figure 1), and the  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  of derivative chromogenic reagent (sodium 1,2-naphthoquinone-4-sulfonic) is at 430 nm., which indicates the formation as sulfamethoxazole possesses amino groups, it involves in yielding colored produced by nucleophillic displacement of the sulfonic acid group of 1,2-naphthoquinone-4-sulfonic acid in alkaline conditions. The intensity of this band was increased with increasing concentration of sulfamethoxazole.



**Figure (1): Absorption spectrum of the reaction products of sulfamethoxazole with NQS against blank.**

In order to optimize the conditions, a number of parameters namely reagent concentration, borax concentration, and time. The optimum conditions were established univariatly by changing one variable and observing its effect on the absorbance of the colored product (table 1) and (Figure 2).







**color development of dye on the determination of 20.0 μg.mL-1 SMZ.**

On the other hand, experimental design methodology and central composite design model, were used to multivariate optimization of three of factors that could have an important effect on the reaction. The factors of interest were reagent concentration, borax concentration and time. To study these factors, 1 mL of (0.25-1.25 %) m/v NQS and 1.0 mL of (0.015-0.075 M) borax concentration and coupling of reaction time (1-10 min.). Table 2 shows the equivalent matrix of the central composite design as well as the absorbance data. The experiment corresponding to the central point executed in four replicates. All experiments were carried out with solution of sulfamethoxazole set at  $(30 \mu g.mL^{-1})$ .

Exp. No.	Reagent Conc. (% m/v)	<b>Borax Conc.</b>	<b>Reaction Time</b>
		(M)	(min.)
$\mathbf{1}$	0.750	0.045	10.0
$\overline{2}$	1.250	0.045	5.5
3	0.750	0.045	5.5
$\overline{4}$	0.750	0.045	5.5
5	1.250	0.075	10.0
6	0.250	0.045	5.5
$\overline{7}$	0.750	0.075	5.5
$\,8\,$	0.750	0.045	1.0
9	0.750	0.045	5.5
10	0.750	0.045	5.5
11	0.250	0.075	1.0
12	1.250	0.075	1.0
13	0.250	0.015	10.0
14	0.750	0.045	5.5
15	0.250	0.015	1.0
16	1.250	0.015	10.0
17	0.750	0.045	5.5
18	0.250	0.075	10.0
19	0.750	0.015	5.5
20	1.250	0.015	1.0

**Table (2): Matrix and results from of the central composite design.**

The experimental data showed an excellent fitting using a linear-quadratic secondorder polynomial main effects model ( $r = 0.9998$ ) according to the given equation:

# **Abs. = 0.3028 + 0.6783 X1 + 9.4782 X2 + 0.0059 X3 - 0.3807 (X1)<sup>2</sup> - 95.7576 (X2)<sup>2</sup>**  $-0.0006$   $(X3)^2$

The results showed that the optimum values of the studied parameters are: reagent concentration solution is 1.0 mL of  $(0.9 % m/v)$ , borax concentration is 1.0 mL of

(0.05 M), and reaction time is (5.717 min.). A three-dimensional response surface graph which represent the absorbance values obtained experimentally from central composite design is given in Figure(3).



**Figure (3): Response surface of quadratic model for absorbance values as a function of reagent concentration, borax concentration, and reaction time.**

Accordingly, the reaction mechanism between sulfamethoxazole and NQS is suggested on the basis of results mentioned Scheme (2).



**Scheme (2):The proposed mechanism of the reaction between sulfamethoxazole and NQS.** 

#### **3-1: Quantification**

With univariate and multivariate experimental design conditions, the intensity of absorption at 460 nm was found to be a function of the concentration of the sulfamethoxazole. In both cases studied, Beer's law plots were linear in two concentration ranges of the drug. The molar absorptivity values were  $6.7878 \times 10^4$  L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup> when calibration curve was constructed under those experimental conditions obtained univariatly. While two linear regression equations were attained for the proposed procedures under multivariate experimental conditions with values of molar absorptivity of  $7.0918 \times 10^4$  L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup>. Table (3) shows other quantitative and statistical parameters for the determination of sulfamethoxazole.

<b>DUMENTION CONTRACTOR</b>						
<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Univariate conditions</b>	<b>Multivariate conditions</b>				
$\lambda$ max $(nm)$		460.0				
Color	Orange					
Linearity range $(\mu g.mL^{-1})$	$5.0 - 50.0$					
Regression equation	Y=0.0268[SMZ. $\mu$ g.mL <sup>-1</sup> ]+0.0152	Y=0.0280[SMZ. $\mu$ g.mL <sup>-1</sup> ]+0.0165				
Calibration sensitivity $(mL. \mu g^{-1}.cm^{-1})$	0.0268	0.0280				
Correlation coefficient (r)%	99.98	99.98				
Correlation of linearity $(r^2)$ %	99.97	99.97				
Molar absorptivity $(L. \text{ mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1})$	$\varepsilon = 6.7878 \times 10^4$	$\epsilon$ =7.0918×10 <sup>4</sup>				
Sandell's sensitivity ( $\mu$ g.cm <sup>-2</sup> )	3.7314	3.5714				
Detection limit $(\mu g.mL^{-1})$	0.3755	0.3594				
Quantification limit $(\mu g.mL^{-1})$	1.1380	1.0893				

**Table (3): Quantitative parameters for the reaction of the studied sulfamethoxazole with NQS.**

# **3-2: Precession and accuracy of method**

The precision and the accuracy of the proposed method was checked under univariate and multivariate central composite conditions by calculating the relative standard deviation percent and relative error percent for five replicates at four different concentration levels of the drug. Table (4) shows the method precise and accurate results.





**\***Average of five determinations.

## **3-3: Interference Study**

In pharmaceutical analysis, it is important to test the selectivity towards the excipients added to the pharmaceutical preparations. Commonly encountered excipients such as (vanillin, glucose, lactose, starch, sucrose) did not interfere in the determination of SMZ and did not effect on the reaction between the SMZ and NQS. 20.0  $\mu$ g.mL<sup>-1</sup> of SMZ was analyzed and design of experiment method was used for analyzing (Table 5).

**Table (5): Percent recovery for 20.0 µg.mL-1 of sulfamethoxazole in the presence of different concentration of Excipients.**

<b>Excipients</b>	Concentration $\mu$ g.mL <sup>-1</sup>	Sulfamethoxazole Conc. Taken (20 μg.mL <sup>-1</sup> )	
		Conc. Found µg.mL <sup>-1</sup>	Recovery %
Vanillin		20.102	100.51
Glucose	1000	20.132	100.66
Lactose		19.874	99.37
Starch		20.184	100.92
Sucrose		20.151	100.75

#### **3-4: Application in Pharmaceutical preparation by Standard Additions Method (SAM)**

Standard additions technique was followed to check the validity of the proposed method has given good recoveries of the drug in pharmaceutical preparations suggesting a noninterference from pharmaceutical preparations. Hence, this method can be recommended for adoption in routine analysis of SMZ in quality control laboratories. (Table 6) shows the result of recovery and coefficient of variation (C.V) for the standard additions method. Figure 4 to 7 show plot of determination of SMZ in syrup and tablet by standard additions method.







**Figure (4): Determination of SMZ in Pharmaceutical preparation (Bactrim syrup) sample by standard additions method, (level one 0.1 mL from 500 µg.mL-1 )** 



**Figure (5): Determination of SMZ in Pharmaceutical preparation (Bactrim tablet) sample by standard additions method, (level one 0.1 mL from 500 µg.mL-1 )** 



**Figure (6): Determination of SMZ in Pharmaceutical preparation (Cotrim syrup) sample by standard additions method, (level one 0.1 mL from 500 µg.mL-1 )** 



**Figure (7): Determination of SMZ in Pharmaceutical preparation (Methoprim tablet) sample by standard additions method, (level one 0.1 mL from 500 µg.mL-1 )** 

# **4. Conclusions**

A simple, rapid, and inexpensive spectrophotometric method for determination of sulfamethoxazole is proposed, which provide gain of sensitivity without the need of additional step as extraction or heating. The method involve mild reaction conditions and gives precise and accurate results. Its usefulness for the cited drug determination in pharmaceutical formulations was demonstrated, suggesting its use as an attractive alternative to many other previously reported methods for analysis of sulfamethoxazole.

# **5. References**

- Asadi, S. (Asadi, Sorayya); Gharbani, P (Gharbani, Parvin), (2013), "simultaneous Determination of Sulfamethoxazole and Phthalazine by HPLC and Multivariate Calibration Methods" IRANIAN JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY & CHEMICAL ENGINEERING-INTERNATIONAL ENGLISH EDITION, 32(2), 1-8.
- Abdulsatar R. S., (2009), "Spectrophotometric determination of sulfacetamide sodium and sulfamethoxazol in pharmaceutical preparations by oxidative coupling with pyrocatechol" J. Kirkuk Univ. Scient. Stud., 4, 33.
- Dinça E., Kadıoğlub Y., Demirkayab F. and Baleanuc D., (2011), "Continuous Wavelet Transforms for Simultaneous Spectra Determination of Trimethoprim and Sulfamethoxazole in Tablets" J. Iran. Chem. Soc., 8(1), 90-99.
- Givianrad M. H. and Mohagheghian M., (2012), "Net Analyte Signal Standard Additions Method for Simultaneous Determination of Sulfamethoxazole and Trimethoprim in Pharmaceutical Formulations and Biological Fluids" E-Journal of Chemistry, 9(2), 680-692.
- Herrera-Herrera, AV (Herrera-Herrera, Antonio V.); Hernandez-Borges, J (Hernandez-Borges, Javier); Afonso, MM (Afonso, Maria M.); Palenzuela, JA (Antonio Palenzuela, J.); Rodriguez-Delgado, MA (Angel Rodriguez-Delgado, Miguel), (2013), "Comparison between magnetic and nonmagnetic multi-walled carbon nanotubes-dispersive solid-phase extraction combined with ultra-high performance liquid chromatography for the determination of sulfonamide antibiotics in water samples" TALANTA, 116, 695-703.
- Hererra-Hererra V., Hernández-Borges [J. ,](http://yadda.icm.edu.pl/yadda/contributor/0604c6bf7f67aa96f982a2d0fa9d5b5c) Borges-Miquel [T.M.](http://yadda.icm.edu.pl/yadda/contributor/72e3672f3bd7da8c11be11365e8f2da4) and Rodríguez-Delgado [M. Á. ,](http://yadda.icm.edu.pl/yadda/contributor/36a43df56354498b44b95cf8eff1b82c) (2013), "Dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction combined with ultra-high performance liquid chromatography for the simultaneous determination of 25 sulfonamide and quinolone antibiotics in water samples" J. Pharma. Biomed. Anal., 75, 130-137.
- Icardo M.C., Mateo J.V.G., Lozano M. F. and Calatayud J.M., (2003), "Enhanced flow-injection–chemiluminometric determination of sulfonamides by on-line photochemical reaction "Analytica Chimica Acta, 499, 57–69.

- Injac R., Kočevar N. and Štrukelj B., (2009), "Optimized Method for Determination of Amoxicillin, Ampicillin, Sulfamethoxazole, and Sulfacetamide in Animal Feed by Micellar Electrokinetic Capillary Chromatography and Comparison with High-Performance Liquid Chromatography" Croat. Chem. Acta, 82 (3), 685–694.
- Liu R., He P., Li Z., and Li R., (2011), "Simultaneous Determination of Sixteen Sulfonamides in Animal Feeds by UHPLC–MS–MS" Journal of Chromatographic Science, 49, 640-646.
- Mahmoud, WMM (Mahmoud, Waleed M. M; Khaleel, NDH (Khaleel, Nareman D. H.); Hadad, GM (Hadad, Ghada M.); Abdel-Salam, RA (Abdel-Salam, Randa A.); Haiss, A (Haiss, Annette)[ 1 ] ; Kummerer, K (Kuemmerer, Klaus), (2013), "Simultaneous Determination of 11 Sulfonamides by HPLC-UV and Application for Fast Screening of Their Aerobic Elimination and Biodegradation in a Simple Test" CLEAN-SOIL AIR WATER, 41(9), 907-916.
- Nagaraja P., Naik S.D., Shrestha A.K. and Shivakumar A.., (2007), "A sensitive spectrophotometric method for the determination of sulfonamides in pharmaceutical preparations" Acta Pharm., 57, 333–342.
- Pamreddy, A (Pamreddy, Annapurna); Hidalgo, M (Hidalgo, Manuela); Havel, J (Havel, Josef); Salvado, V (Salvado, Victoria), (2013), "Determination of antibiotics (tetracyclines and sulfonamides) in biosolids by pressurized liquid extraction and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry" JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY A , 1298, 68-75.
- Pietron, WJ (Pietron, Wojciech Jerzy); Cybulski, W (Cybulski, Wojciech); Krasucka, D (Krasucka, Dorota); Mitura, A (Mitura, Agata); Kos, K (Kos, Katarzyna); Antczak, M (Antczak, Maja), (2013), "Determination of five sulfonamides in medicated feedingstuffs by liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection" BULLETIN OF THE VETERINARY INSTITUTE IN PULAWY, 57(4), 545- 552..
- Raja G. V., Sekaran C. B., Teja D. W., Madhuri B. and Jayasree B., (2009), "Simple Spectrophotometric Methods for the Determination of Sulfamethaxazole in Pharmaceuticals Using Folinciocalteau and Orcinol as Reagents" E-Journal of Chemistry, 6(2), 357-360.

"Sulfamethoxazole" monographs./iarc./fr/ENG/Monographs ...mono79-15. p..., 1-18.

- "Sulfamethoxazole", European Pharmacopoeia 5.0, (2005), 2511, 2512.
- Sohrabi M. R., Fathabadi M. and Nouri A.. H., (2010), "Simultaneous spectrophotometric determination of sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim in pharmaceutical preparations by using multivariate calibration methods" Journal of Applied Chemical Researches, 3(12), 47-52.
- Shaaban H. and Go´ recki T., (2011), "Optimization and validation of a fast ultrahighpressure liquid chromatographic method for simultaneous determination of selected sulphonamides in water samples using a fully porous sub-2  $\mu$ m column at elevated temperature" J. Sep. Sci., 35, 216–224.
- Upadhyay K., Asthana A. and Tiwari N., (2012), "Solid Phase Extractive Spectrophotometric Determination of Some Sulfa Drugs" Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res. 5, 222-226.
- Wormser G. P., (1982), "Co-Trimoxazole (Trimethoprim -Sulfamethoxazole) An Updated Review of Its Antibacterial Activity and Clinical Efficacy" Drugs, 24(6), 459-518.