ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF Arachis hypogaea L. SEED COAT EXTRACT CULTIVATED IN IRAQ

Ahmed H. AL-Azawi^{1*} and Zainab H. Hassan²

¹Biotechnology Dept., Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Institute for post graduate studies. Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq. ²Ministry of Agriculture, State Company for Agriculture Supplies, Baghdad, Iraq. E. mail: ahmedharbi_alazawi@yahoo.com*

Article received 4.11.2017, Revised 22.11. 2017, Accepted 29.11.2017

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to assess the antibacterial activity of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) skin extracts. The phytochemical analysis of the peanut skin extracts was investigated, the result showed a strong presence of flavonoids, phenols, alkaloids and tannins in methanol and ethyl acetate extracts. Antibiotic susceptibility of the bacterial isolates was performed on seven antibiotics represented by Amikacin, Tetracycline, Ciprofloxacin, Chloramphenicol, Ticarcillin, Cefotaxime and Gentamicin by disc diffusion method. The antibiogram for studied isolates revealed high level resistance of *A. baumannii* to all of the antibiotics under test except amikacin, while *Staph. aurous* was resistance to Chloramphenicol and Cefotxime and sensitive to Amikacin, Tetracycline, Ciprofloxacin, Ticarcillin and Gentamicin. The antibacterial activity of the peanut skin extracts was studied on some pathogenic microorganisms like (*Acinetobacter baumannii, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumonia, Serratia marcescens* and Escherichia *coli*). The results show that the best effect was seen against *Staph. aureus* with inhibition zone (10.67 \pm 0.67, 13.00 \pm 1.00 and 14.67 \pm 0.88) in concentration (25, 50 and 100 mg/ml) respectively, with significant difference (P<0.01), while the lowest effect was seen against *A. baumannii* with inhibition zone (4.67 \pm 0.33, 7.33 \pm 0.33 and 10.33 \pm 0.33) in concentration (25, 50 and 100 mg/ml) respectively for methanolic extract.

Keywords: Peanut, Seed coat Etract, Antibacterial, Antibiotic sensitivity, phenolic compounds

INTRODUCTION

The powerful tool for the treatment of several diseases and a keystone of modern medical practice is Antimicrobial therapy. However, the increased behavior againsit of microorganisms to the currently used antimicrobials has created the need to evaluate other agents with potential antimicrobial activity(Abd Alhussain, et al., 2017). In a day a new sources of drugs which have been used effectively in traditional medicine using the natural products in plants of medicinal value. Most of the drugs today are obtained from natural sources or semi synthetic derivatives of natural products used in the traditional system of medicine (Sukanya et al., 2009). Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an important nut, as well as an oilseed crop of the tropical and subtropical world. Peanut skins are low value byproducts of peanut blanching and roasting operations and are currently used as an ingredient of animal ration up to a certain limit (Sobolev and Cole, 2004). Several phytochemicals including resveratrol, flavan-3-ols and proanthocyanidins have been identified in peanuts and evaluated for their potential health benefits (Bolling et al., 2010; Sarnoski et al., 2012 and Hamza and Rashid 2017). Research has shown that peanut consumption provides such health benefits due to high levels of certain phytochemicals (Francisco and Resurreccion, 2008). It is also consist of a suitable amount of phenolics and other health promoting compounds and thus can be

explored for functional food applications (Yu *et al.*, 2005). Peanut stilbenoids show to play roles in plant defense mechanisms, they were evaluated for their effects on economically important plant pathogenic fungi of the genera *Colletotrichum, Botry-tis, Fusarium*, and *Phomopsis* (Sobolev *et al.*, 2011). The aims of this study are detection of active ingredients in peanut skins (*Arachis hypogea* L.) as well as evaluating the antibacterial activity against pathogenic bacteria.

MATERRIALS AND METHODS

Plant material: Raw peanut pods were purchased from the local market in Baghdad city and classified as *Arachis hypogaea* L. by the herbarium of the Biology Department, College of Science, Baghdad University. Pods were manually shelled and the seed coat were collected from the raw peanut kernels. The seed coat were ground using a grinder and stored at -20°C for future analysis.

Preparation of Peanut Skin Extracts: Methanolic, ethyl acetate and aqueous crude extracts were prepared by macerated 100 g of peanut seed coat in 1000 ml of each solvent for 72 hours. After extraction, the mixture was vacuum filtered through Whatman No. 1 paper and the filtrate was dried at 40°C by a rotary evaporator. The resulting extract stored in amber glass vials in a freezer until analyzed. The whole process was completed under dim light to minimize light induced degradation of phenolics, which are generally light sensitive (N'Guessan et al., 2007).

General chemical detection methods: Methanolic, ethyl acetate and aqueous extracts were tested for the presence of the phytoconstituents according to the following standard tests to detected phenols, Flavonoids, Alkaloids and Tannins (Harborne, 1984, Harborne, 1998 and Jaffer *et al.*, 1983).

Microorganisms tested: Acinetobacter baumannii, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumonia, Serratia marcescens and Escherichia coli). Can be obtained from Fatima AL- Zahra Hospital in Baghdad, collected from patients with septicemia and diagnosed by using the VITEK-2 System. Bacterial cultures were maintained on nutrient agar (NA) slops. Subcultures were made monthly and stored at 4 °C until required for use.

Culture preparation: Three – five colonies from the pure culture were suspended in 5-10 of sterile nutrient broth. The turbidity of the test suspension was compared with 0.5 McFarlandturbidity standards (108 CFU / ml) (Sofia *et al.*, 2007).

Antibiotic sensitivity test: Antibiotic sensitivity of the bacterial isolates was determined by the standard disc diffusion method (WHO, 2003). Different antibiotics (Oxoid / England) were used in the present work, Amikacin (Ak), 30 μ g; Cefotaxime (CTX), 5 μ g; Chloramphenicol (CL) 30 μ g; Ciprofloxacin (CIP), 5 μ g; Gentamicin (GM), (10 μ g); Tetracycline (T), 30 μ g; Ticarcillin (TS), 75 μ g. The interpretation of antibiotic susceptibility test resistant, (R) intermediate (I), or sensitive (S), according to CSLI, (2011).

Antibacterial assay: The diffusion method agar well was employed for detection the antibacterial activity. 0.2 ml volume of the standard inoculums (10⁸ CFU/ml) of the test bacterial isolate was spread on Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) with a sterile glass rod spreader and allowed to dry. Wells (6 mm diameter) were made in each of these plates using sterile cork borer. 100 µl from each concentration (25, 50 and 100 mg/ml) was prepared In dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) of the aqueous, methanol and ethyl acetate crude extracts and putting in each hole by using micropipette and allowed to diffuse at room temperature for 30 min. Control (DMSO) experiments comprising inoculums without plant extract were set up. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h for bacterial pathogens. The diameter of any resulting zones of inhibition was measured in millimeters (Valgas et al., 2007).

Statistical Analysis: The Statistical Analysis System program was using to study different parameters. LSD test was used to significant compare between means in this study (SAS, 2012)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phytochemical screening of peanut skin extracts: The preliminary phytochemical screening is a means of evaluating the potential phyto compounds in the skin extract of *Arachis hypogaea*. Phytochemical characterizations of methanol, ethyl acetate and aqueous extracts of *A. hypogaea* are presented in Table 1.

The result showed a strong presence of flavonoids, phenols, alkaloids and tannins in methanolic and ethyl acetate extracts, while alkaloids and tannins were not detected in aqueous extract.

Table 1: Phytochemical screening of peanut seed coat extracts

Phytochemical compound	Methanolic extract	Ethyl acetate extract	Aqueous extract			
Flavonoids	++	++	+			
Phenols	++	++	+			
Alkaloids	+	+	_			
Tannins	+	+	_			
Ctronaly positive Desitive positive						

++ Strongly positive, + Positive, - negative.

The phytochemical finding has been agreed with Chukwumah *et al.*, (2009) which they have reported the presence of tannins, alkaloids and phenols as active compounds in peanut skin. Yu, (2006) has been reported that peanut skins contain phenolic compounds with demonstrated antioxidant properties. Furthermore, earlier study revealed the presence of flavonoids, phenols and coumarins in methanolic extract and the other phytocompounds tannin, saponin, alkaloids were present in trace amounts (Velu *et al.*, 2015).

Antibiotics susceptibility: Antibiotic susceptibility of the bacterial isolates was performed on seven antibiotics represented by Amikacin, Tetracycline, Ciprofloxacin, Chloramphenicol, Ticarcillin, Cefotxime and Gentamicin by disc diffusion method Table 2.

The antibiogram for studied isolates revealed high level resistance of *A. baumannii* to all of the antibiotics under test except amikacin. Moreover *K. pneumonia, S. marcescens* and *E. coli were* resistant to all of the antibiotics except amikacin and Ciprofloxacin, while *Staph. aurous* was resistance to Chloramphenicol and Cefotxime and sensitive to Amikacin, Tetracycline, Ciprofloxacin, Ticarcillin and Gentamicin.

Table 2: antibiotic Sensitive test

Microorganism	Antibiotic						
	AK	Т	CIP	CL	TS	CTX	GM
Staph. aurous	S	S	S	R	S	R	S
E. coli	Ι	R	S	R	R	R	R

S. marcescens	S	R	Ι	R	R	R	R
K. pneumonia	S	R	S	R	R	R	R
A. boumanii	Ι	R	R	R	R	R	R

AK=Amikacin, T= Tetracycline, CIP= Ciprofloxacin, CL= Chloramphenicol, TS= Ticarcillin, CTX= Cefotxime, GM=Gentamicin, S=Sensitive, R=Resistant I = Intermediate.

Drug resistance is one of the natural process whereby organisms develop a tolerance for environmental conditions, these may be due to pre exist factor in the organisms or it may result from acquired some factors, that transfer naturally susceptible strain of bacteria into resistance bacteria. Antibiotic sensitivity *in vitro* is quite different from this obtained *in vivo* because a particular antibiotic is used depending on several factors such as its selective toxicity, drug absorption, metabolism, drug clearance rate, bioavailability and serum attainable level, therefore the risk of increase resistant organisms to antibiotic was developed, on the other hand proper adherence and compliance to drug prescription and dosage on the patients also play a role in the efficacy of the antibiotics in use (Ali, 2011).

Antibacterial activity of peanuts crude extracts

Preliminarily, the antibacterial activity of *Arachis hypogaea* skin extracts was qualitatively evaluated by agar well-diffusion method. For each type of *Arachis hypogaea* crude extracts, statistical test were performed between different concentrations, for methanolic extract as seen in Table 3, the best effect was seen against *Staph.aureus* with inhibition zone 10.67 ± 0.67 , 13.00 ± 1.00 and 14.67 ± 0.88 in concentration 25, 50 and 100mg/ml respecttively with significant difference (P<0.01), while the lowest effect was seen against *A. baumannii* with inhibition zone 4.67 ± 0.33 , 7.33 ± 0.33 and 10.33 ± 0.33 in concentration 25, 50 and 100mg/ml respectively with significant difference (P<0.01).

Isolate	Co	LSD value				
	25	50	100			
Staph.aureus	10.67 ± 0.67	13.00 ± 1.00	14.67 ± 0.88	2.577 **		
E. coli	7.33 ± 0.33	9.33 ± 0.33	10.67 ± 0.33	1.935 *		
S.marcescens	7.33 ± 0.67	9.67 ± 0.33	12.67 ± 0.88	2.704 **		
K.pneumonia	6.67 ± 0.67	9.33 ± 0.67	12.33 ± 0.33	2.812 **		
A. baumannii	4.67 ± 0.33	7.33 ± 0.33	10.33 ± 0.33	3.066 **		
LSD value	1.757 **	1.878 **	1.936 **			
* (P<0.05), ** (P<0.01).						

Table 3: Antibacterial activit	y of methanol peanu	it seed coat extract
--------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

For ethyl acetate extract, Table 4, shows the concentrations 50 and100 mg/ml were the highest effect on *S.marcescens* with inhibition zone 21.00 \pm 0.57 and 22.67 \pm 0.88 respectively. While the inhibition zone on gram positive bacteria *Staph. aureus* was 14.33 \pm 0.67 in concentration 100mg/ml. More-

over the inhibition zone on gram negative bacteria *A. baumannii*, *E. coli* and *K.pneumonia* were 11.67 \pm 0.33, 12.33 \pm 0.33 and 13.33 \pm 3.84 respectively in concentration 100 mg/ml with significant difference (P<0.01).

Isolate	Cor	LSD				
	25	50	100	value		
Staph.aureus	10.33 ± 0.33	11.67 ± 0.88	14.33 ± 0.67	2.588 **		
E. coli	8.33 ± 0.33	10.33 ± 0.33	12.33 ± 0.33	2.169 **		
S.marcescens	16.33 ± 0.88	21.00 ± 0.57	22.67 ± 0.88	3.501 **		
K.pneumonia	6.67 ± 0.33	8.33 ± 0.33	13.33 ± 3.84	3.092 **		
A. baumannii	7.00 ± 1.00	8.67 ± 0.33	11.67 ± 0.33	2.784 **		
LSD value	2.047 **	1.693 **	5.675 **			
** (P<0.01).						

Table 5, illustrates the significant difference (P<0.05) and (P<0.01) between concentrations and bacterial isolates for aqueous extract, the results show that the highest effect on gram positive bacteria *Staph. aureus* with inhibition zone 18.67 \pm 0.67 in concentration 100 mg/ml., likewise, aqueous extract was more active in gram negative bacte-

ria *E. coli* with inhibition zone 15.67 ± 0.88 in the same concentration. While the lowest effect was on gram negative bacteria *K. pneumonia* and *A. baumannii* with inhibition zone 8.67 ± 0.33 and 9.33 ± 0.33 in concentration 100 mg/ml respectively.

Staph.aureus 11.00 \pm 0.58 15.33 \pm 0.88 18.67 \pm 0.67 3.405 E. coli 9.33 \pm 0.33 12.33 \pm 0.88 15.67 \pm 0.88 2.956 S.marcescens 8.33 \pm 0.33 10.67 \pm 0.33 13.67 \pm 0.88 3.461 K.pneumonia 5.67 \pm 0.33 6.67 \pm 0.67 8.67 \pm 0.33 2.706	Isolate	Co	LSD		
E. coli 9.33 ± 0.33 12.33 ± 0.88 15.67 ± 0.88 2.956 S.marcescens 8.33 ± 0.33 10.67 ± 0.33 13.67 ± 0.88 3.461 K.pneumonia 5.67 ± 0.33 6.67 ± 0.67 8.67 ± 0.33 2.706		25	50	100	value
S.marcescens 8.33 ± 0.33 10.67 ± 0.33 13.67 ± 0.88 3.461 K.pneumonia 5.67 ± 0.33 6.67 ± 0.67 8.67 ± 0.33 2.706	Staph.aureus	11.00 ± 0.58	15.33 ± 0.88	18.67 ± 0.67	3.405 **
K.pneumonia 5.67 ± 0.33 6.67 ± 0.67 8.67 ± 0.33 2.706	E. coli	9.33 ± 0.33	12.33 ± 0.88	15.67 ± 0.88	2.956 **
	S.marcescens	8.33 ± 0.33	10.67 ± 0.33	13.67 ± 0.88	3.461 **
A. haumannii 4.67 + 0.33 7.33 + 0.33 9.33 + 0.33 2.664	K.pneumonia	5.67 ± 0.33	6.67 ± 0.67	8.67 ± 0.33	2.706 *
	A. baumannii	4.67 ± 0.33	7.33 ± 0.33	9.33 ± 0.33	2.664 *
LSD value 1.242 ** 2.101 ** 2.101 **	LSD value	1.242 **	2.101 **	2.101 **	
* (P<0.05), ** (P<0.01).					

 Table 5: Antibacterial activity of aqueous peanut seed coat
 extract

The antibacterial activity in this study has been agreed with Lopes, (2011) who have reported the presence of antibacterial activity in peanut skin. Peanut extracts also exerted antimicrobial effects against *Escherichia coli* and *Listeria* monocytegenes (Quist, 2005). Results show that peanut skin extracted was found to be inhibited all tested bacteria, and show better inhibition for the Gram positive than Gram negative. Generally, plant extracts are usually more active against Gram positive bacteria than Gram negative bacteria. Many researchers suggest that flavonoids possess antibacterial activity (Sato *et al.*, 2000, Simin *et al.*, 2000, Zhao *et al.*, 2001 and Stapleton *et al.*, 2004).

CONCLUSION

The preliminary phytochemical screening in the seed coat extracts of *Arachis hypogaea* showed a strong presence of flavonoids, phenols, alkaloids and tannins. The mehtanolic and ethyl acetate extracts of peanut skin possessed the highest phenolic and flavonoid contents than aqueous extract. In the present study, bacterial isolates showed increased resistance to commonly used antibiotics. The peanut skin extracts showed promising antibacterial activity against the resistant bacterial strains. Thus, the study suggests the use of peanut skin extracts in the treatment of various diseases caused by resistant bacteria.

REFERENCES

- Abd Alhussain, A. J., F. G. Abd, et al., Biological synthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticles using Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Global Pharma. Technol. 9: 239-244 (2017).
- Ali M.M., Evaluation of antimicrobial susceptibility & rapid urine screening tests in asymptomatic urinary tract infection in pregnant women in Karbala. Kerbala J. of Pharmaceutical Sci 2: 22-34 (2011).
- Bolling B.W., D.L. McKay, J.B. Blumberg, The Phytochemical Composition and Antioxidant Actions of Tree Nuts. Asia Paci-fic Journal of Clinical Nutrition **19** (1): 117-123 (2010).

- Chukwumah Y., L.T. Walker, M. Verghese, Peanut skin Color: A biomarker for total polyphenolic content and ant oxidative Capacities of peanut cultivars. Int.J.Mol.Sci. **10**:4941-4952 (2009).
- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 21st informational supplement, CLSI M100-S21, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Wayne, PA, U.S.A. (2011).
- Francisco M. L. D. L., A. V. A. Resurreccion, Total phenolics and antioxidant capacity of heattreated peanut skins. J. Food Comp. Anal 22: 16-24 (2009).
- Hamza, E. A. and K. H. Rashid, Some hepatic and renal histological and physiological effects of the artificial testosterone (Sustanon) on female rats. Pak. J. Biotechnol. 14: 369-372 (2017).
- Harborne J. B., Phytochemical methods. A guide to modern techniques of plant analysis. 3rd Edition, Chapman and hall: London (1998).
- Harborne J. B., Phytochemical Methods. Chapman and Hall. London (1984).
- Jaffer H. J., M. J. Mahmod, A. M. Jawad, A. Naji, A. AL-Naib, Phytochemical and biological screening of some Iraqi plants fitoterapia Lix Pp. 299 (1983).
- Lopes R.M., T.D.S. Agostini-Costa, M.A. Gimenes and D. Silveira, Chemical composition and biological activities of *Arachis* species. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 59 (9): 4321- 4330 (2011).
- N'Guessan J.D., A.P. Bidie, B.N. Lenta, B. Weniger, P. Andre, F. Guina, In vitro assays for bioactivity-guided isolation of anti-salmonella and antioxidant compounds in Thon ninja sanguine flowers. Afr. J. Biotechnology **6**: 1685-1689 (2007).
- Quist E. E., Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as a source of antihypertensive and antimicrobial peptides. Thesis, Food Science Department, University of Georgia (2005).

- Sarnoski P.J., R.R. Boyer and S.F. O'Keefe, Applications of Proanthocyanindins from Peanut Skins as a Na- tural Yeast Inhibitory Agent. Journal of Food Science **77**(4): 242-249 (2012).
- Sato Y., S. Suzaki, T. Nishikawa, M. Kihara, H. Shibata, T. Higuti, Phytochemical flavones isolated from Scutellaria barbata and antibacterial activity against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Ethnopharmacol 72: 483–488 (2000).
- Simin K., Z. Ali, S. M. Khaliq-Uz-Zaman, V. U. Ahmad, Structure and biological activity of a new rotenoid from *Pongamia pinnata*. Nat Prod Lett 16: 351–357 (2002).
- Sobolev V.S., R.J. Cole, Note on utilisation of peanut seed testa. J. Sci. Food Agric. **84**: 105-111 (2004).
- Sobolev V.S., S.I. Khan, N. Tabanca, D.E. Wedge, S. P. Manly, S. J. Cutler, Biological activity of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) phytoalexins and selected natural and synthetic stilbenoids. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry **59** (5): 1673-1682 (2011).
- Sofia P.K., V.K. Rajendra-Prasad, A.K. Srivastava, Evaluation of antibacterial activity of Indian spices against common food borne pathogens. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. **42**(8): 910-915 (2007).
- Stapleton P.D., S. Shah, J.M.T. Hamilton-Miller, Anti- Staphylococcus aureus activity and oxacillin resistance modulating capacity of 3-O-acyl-catechins. Int J Antimicrob Agents 24: 374–380 (2004).
- Statistical Analysis System (SAS). User's Guide. Statistical. Version 9.1th ed. SAS. Inst. Inc. Cary. N.C. USA. (2012).

- Sukanya S.L., J. Sudisha, P. Hariprasad, S.R. Niranjana, H.P. Prakash, S.K. Fathima, Antimicrobial activity of leaf extracts of Indian medicinal plants against clinical and phytopathogenic bacteria. Afr. J. Biotechnol 8(23): 6677-6682 (2009).
- Valgas C., S.M. de Souza, E.F.A. Smânia, A. Smânia, Screening methods to determine antibacterial activity of natural products. Braz. J. Microbiol 38: 369–380 (2007).
- Velu K., D. Elumalai, P. Hemalatha, M. Babu, A. Janaki, P.K. Kaleena, Phytochemical screening and larvicidal activity of peel extracts of *Arachis hypogaea* against chikungunya and malarial vector. International Journal of Mosquito Research 2(1): 01-08 (2015).
- World Health Organization (WHO). Basic laboratory procedures in clinical bacteriology. 2nd ed. Geneva, Switzerland (2003).
- Yu J., M. Ahmedna, I. Goktepe, J. Dai, Peanut skin procyanidins: Composition and antioxidant activities as affected by processing. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 19: 364–371 (2006).
- Yu J., M. Ahmedna, I. Goktepe, Effects of processing methods and extraction solvents on concentration and antioxidant activity of peanut skin phenolics. Food Chem **90**: 199-206 (2005).
- Zhao W.H., Z.Q. Hu, S. Okubo, Y. Hara, T. Shimamura, Mechanism of synergy between epigallocatechin gallate and beta-lactams against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **45**:1737–1742 (2001).